

VALERO BENICIA CRUDE BY RAIL PROJECT

Draft Environmental Impact Report
SCH # 2013052074
Use Permit Application 12PLN-00063

Prepared for
City of Benicia

June 2014



VALERO BENICIA CRUDE BY RAIL PROJECT

Draft Environmental Impact Report
SCH # 2013052074
Use Permit Application 12PLN-00063

Prepared for
City of Benicia

June 2014



550 Kearny Street
Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94108
415.896.5900
www.esassoc.com

Los Angeles

Oakland

Orlando

Palm Springs

Petaluma

Portland

Sacramento

San Diego

Santa Cruz

Seattle

Tampa

Woodland Hills

202115

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Valero Benicia Crude by Rail Project Draft Environmental Impact Report

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	ES-1
ES-1 Introduction	ES-1
ES-2 Project Objectives	ES-1
ES-3 Project Setting and Location	ES-2
ES-4 Project Description	ES-3
ES-5 Alternatives	ES-4
ES-6 Environmentally Superior Alternative	ES-7
ES-7 Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved	ES-7
ES-8 Summary of Impacts	ES-8
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose of This Document	1-1
1.2 Project Overview	1-1
1.3 Project Background	1-2
1.4 Key Areas of Environmental Concern	1-3
1.5 Public Comment on the Draft EIR	1-4
1.6 Areas of Controversy	1-4
1.7 Confidential Business Information	1-4
1.8 Organization of the Document	1-6
1.9 Use of this Document by Agencies	1-7
1.10 Permits and Approvals	1-7
2. Summary of Environmental Impacts	2-1
3. Project Description	3-1
3.1 Project Overview and Location	3-1
3.1.1 Introduction	3-1
3.1.1.1 The Refinery	3-1
3.1.1.2 The Proposed Project	3-1
3.1.2 Location	3-2
3.2 Project Objectives and Components	3-5
3.2.1 Project Objectives	3-5
3.2.2 Project Component Summary	3-5
3.3 The Existing Refinery	3-7
3.3.1 Overview of Petroleum Refining	3-7
3.3.1.1 Types of Crude Oil	3-7
3.3.1.2 The Refining Process	3-8
3.3.1.3 Refinery Optimization	3-9
3.3.2 The Benicia Refinery	3-10

	<u>Page</u>
3.3.2.1 Crude Oil Processing	3-10
3.3.2.2 Crude Feedstocks	3-12
3.3.2.3 Refinery Maintenance and Monitoring Activities	3-15
3.4 Components of the Proposed Project	3-17
3.4.1 New and Modified Facilities and Equipment	3-17
3.4.1.1 Tank car Unloading Rack	3-17
3.4.1.2 Unloading Rail Spurs	3-17
3.4.1.3 Tank Cars	3-19
3.4.1.4 Ancillary Facilities	3-20
3.4.2 Project Operation	3-20
3.4.2.1 Tank car Transport and Unloading	3-20
3.5 Future Crude Oil Feedstock	3-22
3.6 Project Construction	3-25
3.6.1 Schedule	3-25
3.6.2 Site Preparation	3-25
3.6.3 Construction Labor Force	3-25
3.6.4 Construction Materials and Services	3-26
3.6.5 Construction Traffic	3-26
3.7 Federal Preemption of Railroad Regulation	3-26
4. Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures	
4.0 Approach to the Analysis of Impacts	4.0-1
4.1 Air Quality	4.1-1
4.2 Biological Resources	4.2-1
4.3 Cultural Resources	4.3-1
4.4 Energy Conservation	4.4-1
4.5 Geology and Soils	4.5-1
4.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.6-1
4.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.7-1
4.8 Hydrology and Water Quality	4.8-1
4.9 Land Use and Planning	4.9-1
4.10 Noise	4.10-1
4.11 Transportation and Traffic	4.11-1
5. CEQA Statutory Sections	5-1
5.1 Significant Unavoidable Environmental Impacts	5-1
5.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Effects	5-1
5.3 Growth-Inducing Impacts	5-2
5.4 Cumulative Impacts	5-3
5.4.1 General and Regional Plans Considered in the Cumulative Analysis	5-4
5.4.2 Specific Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis	5-4
5.4.2.1 Other Recent Valero Benicia Refinery Projects	5-4
5.4.2.2 Other Crude by Rail Projects in California	5-5
5.4.2.3 Other Relevant Local Projects	5-5
5.4.2.4 Other City of Benicia Projects	5-5
5.4.3 Areas of Potential Cumulative Impacts	5-5
5.4.3.1 Air Quality	5-5
5.4.3.2 Biological Resources	5-15
5.4.3.3 Cultural Resources	5-16
5.4.3.4 Energy Conservation	5-16
5.4.3.5 Geology and Soils	5-16
5.4.3.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5-17

	<u>Page</u>
5.4.3.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	5-17
5.4.3.8 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	5-17
5.4.3.9 Land Use and Planning.....	5-19
5.4.3.10 Noise.....	5-19
5.4.3.11 Transportation and Traffic.....	5-20
5.5 Effects Found Not to Be Significant.....	5-21
6. Analysis of Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1 General Consideration of Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1.1 Identification of Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1.2 Alternatives Screening Methodology.....	6-2
6.1.3 Consistency with Project Objectives.....	6-2
6.1.4 Feasibility.....	6-3
6.1.5 Potential to Eliminate Significant Environmental Effects.....	6-4
6.2 Potentially Significant Impacts of the Project.....	6-4
6.3 Alternatives Considered but Dismissed from Further Consideration in this EIR.....	6-4
6.3.1 Locate Tank car Unloading Racks at the Port of Benicia Valero Marine Terminal.....	6-5
6.3.2 Locate Tank car Unloading Racks at the AMPORTS Property Near Benicia Marine Terminal.....	6-5
6.3.3 Receiving Crude from the Proposed WesPac Energy--Pittsburg Terminal.....	6-5
6.3.4 Project with an Onsite Wye Rail Spur.....	6-6
6.4 Alternatives to the Project.....	6-6
6.4.1 No Project Alternative.....	6-6
6.4.2 Reduced-Project Alternatives.....	6-7
6.4.2.1 Alternative 1 – Limiting Project to One 50-Car Train Delivery per Day.....	6-7
6.4.2.2 Alternative 2 – Two 50-Car Trains Delivered during Nighttime Hours.....	6-8
6.4.3 Alternative 3 – Offsite Unloading Terminal.....	6-8
6.4.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	6-10
7. Report Preparation.....	7-1
7.1 Report Authors.....	7-1
7.1.1 Lead Agency.....	7-1
7.1.2 Consultants.....	7-1
7.2 Agencies and Organizations Contacted.....	7-2
8. Glossary and Acronyms.....	8-1
8.1 Glossary.....	8-1
8.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations Used in This EIR.....	8-5
9. References.....	9-1

Appendices

A.	Notice of Preparation/Initial Study.....	A-1
B.	Scoping Report	B-1
C.1	Areas of Controversy—Potential Air Quality Impacts From Increased Use of Heavy Canadian Crudes.....	C.1-1
C.2	Areas of Controversy—Potential Air Quality Impacts From Increased Use of Light Sweet Crudes	C.2-1
D.	Discussion of Confidential Business Information	D-1
E.1	Construction Emissions	E.1-1
E.2	Marine Vessel Criteria Pollutant and GHG Baseline Emissions.....	E.2-1
E.3	Air Permit Application, February 2013	E.3-1
E.4	Air Permit Application, Project Update #1, November 2013.....	E.4-1
E.5	Air Quality and GHG Emissions Supplement.....	E.5-1
E.6	Updated Methodology for Assessment of Risk and PM _{2.5} Concentrations at Receptors near Locomotive Tracks in Fairfield, CA.....	E.6-1
F.	Railroad Crude Oil Release Rate Analysis for Route between Roseville and Benicia	F-1
G.	Valero Emergency Procedures Manual, Sections 203 and 206.....	G-1
H.	UPRR Hazardous Material Emergency Response Plan	H-1
I.	Transportation Impact Analysis.....	I-1
J.	Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program.....	J-1
K.	McGovern Report for City of Benicia Valero Crude by Rail Project	K-1
L.	Union Pacific Railroad Statement re: Preemption.....	L-1

List of Figures

3-1	Project Location	3-3
3-2	Valero Refinery Boundary.....	3-4
3-3	Site Plan	3-6
3-4	Crude Oil Classes	3-7
3-5	Schematic View of Crude Oil Distillation and Downstream Processing	3-8
3-6	Typical Natural Yields of Light and Heavy Crude Oils	3-9
3-7	Schematic of Typical Petroleum Refinery	3-11
3-8	West Coast Crude Deliveries Compared to Valero’s Typical Crudes and Blended Crude Feedstock Capability.....	3-13
3-9	API vs Sulfur in Blended Crudes Processed at Valero Benicia Refinery, 2010 to 2013.....	3-14
3.10	Unloading Rack	3-18
3.11	West Coast Crude Deliveries and Sample of Crudes Available by Rail.....	3-24
4.5-1	Active and Potentially Active Bay Area Earthquake Faults	4.5-3
4.7-1	Evolution of Rail Industry Tank Car Standards for Crude Oil.....	4.7-7
4.9-1	Existing Land Use Designations	4.9-3
4.9-2	Existing Zoning	4.9-6
4.10-1	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels	4.10-2
4.10-2	Noise Monitor Location and Sensitive Receptors	4.10-7

Page**List of Tables**

2-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	2-2
3-1	Available North American Crudes	3-23
4.1-1	Air Quality Data Summary (2008–2012) for the Project Area	4.1-5
4.1-2	Ambient Air Quality Standards and Bay Area Air Basin Attainment Status	4.1-6
4.1-3	Average Daily Construction Exhaust Emissions	4.1-14
4.1-4	Baseline Maritime Emissions Within the Bay Area Air Basin	4.1-19
4.1-5	Annual Net Operational Exhaust Emissions Within the Bay Area Air Basin	4.1-19
4.1-6	Annual Operational Exhaust Emissions within the Sacramento Valley Air Basin	4.1-20
4.1-7	Emissions Factors Comparison for 1,000,000 Barrels Delivered per 1,000 Miles Travelled Outside of the Bay Area and Sacramento Basins	4.1-21
4.1-8	Examples of Emissions Outside of the Bay Area and Sacramento Basins	4.1-22
4.1-9	Maximum Cancer and Noncancer Risk in the Bay Area Basin	4.1-25
4.1-10	Maximum Cancer and Noncancer Risk in the Sacramento Basin	4.1-26
4.2-1	Special-Status Species Considered for the Proposed Project	4.2-5
4.5-1	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale	4.5-6
4.6-1	California Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.6-2
4.6-2	Recommended Actions of Climate Change Scoping Plan Relevant to the Refinery	4.6-5
4.6-3	Project Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.6-11
4.6-4	Baseline Marine Vessel GHG Emissions within California	4.6-12
4.6-5	Project Annual Net GHG Emissions Generated within California	4.6-12
4.6-6	Emissions Factors Comparison for 1,000,000 Barrels Delivered per 1,000 Miles Travelled Outside of California	4.6-13
4.6-7	Examples of GHG Emissions Outside of California	4.6-14
4.7-1	Schools within 1/4 mile of the UPRR Mainline	4.7-23
4.8-1	Designated Beneficial Uses	4.8-5
4.10-1	Average Existing Leq in the Project Area for Daytime, Evening, and Nighttime Periods	4.10-6
4.10-2	Noise Level Performance Standards for Noise-Sensitive Land Uses	4.10-9
4.10-3	Vibration Source Levels from Construction Equipment	4.10-15
4.10-4	Typical Maximum Noise Levels from Construction Equipment	4.10-16
4.11-1	Existing At-Grade Rail Operations	4.11-7
4.11-2	Existing Off-Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	4.11-8
5-1	Potential Projects for Cumulative Effects Evaluation	5-6
5-2	Cumulative Health Risk at near the Refinery	5-13
5-3	Cumulative Health Risk at the Maximum Exposed Individual Receptor	5-14

This page intentionally left blank