1	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
2	FOR THE CITY OF BENICIA
3	HELD ON 8-14-14
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF DVD
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIBED ON: SEPTEMBER 16, 2014
16	BY: Valerie E. Rasmussen, CSR 8900 Registered Professional Reporter
17	Nevada CCR 756 CCRR
18	CCRR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Planning Commission Meeting for the City of Benicia August 14, 2014 2. Beginning of DVD audio transcription at 32:00 3 4 5 6 COMMISSIONER DEAN: This is a public hearing on the 7 Draft EIR for the Crude-By-Rail Project. I know that a lot of people feel passionately about this, both for and 8 9 against the project, and there's a lot of temptation to 10 speak, to tell the Commissioner, "We think this is a good 11 project" or "this is a bad project," but I would really 12 like people to focus their comments on the EIR: Be as 13 specific as you can, what is your comment, what item does 14 it relate to. 15 Ultimately, the staff and consultants are going 16 to have to respond to all those comments in writing, and 17 the more specific you are, the more it helps everybody to get to the real issue at hand. So, with that, I think 18 19 we're ready to go. Commissioner? Yeah? 20 21 COMMISIONER YOUNG: I just wanted to make an 22 acknowledgment. There was a donation made by Mildred Brennan of this book called The CEQA Desk Book, and it's 23 24 available to other Commissioners or I guess to the public, 25 I'm not sure. But it's a very good resource. I wanted to

1 publicly thank Mildred Brennan for that donation. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Are we ready to go? 3 MS. WELLMAN: Chair Dean? If I could just clarify. 4 There were, I believe, 13 people, who submitted 5 speaker cards, and who spoke at the last hearing. And 6 you've had your chance, so if your name was called and you spoke last time, you need to give those who have not had 7 an opportunity to speak this time. Thank you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 10 Okay. So typically we let people representing 11 groups go first and they get 15 minutes. I understand 12 that we have one speaker for a group, Marilyn Bardet, for 13 Benicians for a Safe and Healthy Environment. 14 Do we have any other groups present tonight who 15 have designated speakers? Then, Ms. Bardet, if you're ready to go, 16 we'll start with you, and then after you finish, we'll 17 call the first five additional names. 18 19 And before you get started, can I ask, you're 20 speaking for a group. Can we have a show of hands for 21 people who are in the group that Marilyn represents. 22 Okay. Thank you. 23 (Inaudible comment from the audience.) 24 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Understood. We have some people 25 in the other room. Thank you.

Thank you, Commissioners --1 MS. BARDET: 2 Thank you, Commissioners, for this opportunity to speak with you tonight. I'm speaking on behalf of 3 4 Benicians for a Safe and Healthy Community. On September 5 15th we'll be turning in our full set of comments. 6 Tonight I'll focus, along with Ed Ruszel, our 7 invited speaker, on issues surrounding who and what ultimately governs the logistics operations of Valero's 8 9 Crude-By-Rail Project in the industrial park, and why this 10 is of great concern in examining the claims of the Draft 11 EIR. 12 After my comments, Ed will give a visual 13 presentation of specific rail issues in the park, 14 describing certain conditions and effects that the layout 15 of trackage in the park represents for project operations, 16 issues which are obscured or inaccurately portrayed in the 17 draft report. Valero characterizes the project as their 18 logistics operation, the term used by the initial study. 19 The draft report sticks to the concept, limiting 20 sense the total scope and extent of the project, in its 21 myriad foreseeable impacts and risks to public safety and 22 health here in Benicia, uprail, and down-wind. Local and 23 regional impacts would spiral out from the project's

We understand that crude trains moving between

operations in all directions.

24

25

1 distant sources on their way to Roseville and Benicia are 2 part of the totality of the project's logistics operation. 3 However, the draft reports curtail the counting 4 of the totality, mostly points to Union Pacific's business and/or suggests that such concerns would be beyond the 5 6 scope of CEQA. The report describes the physical components that 7 would allow Valero to import by rail, off-load and store, 8 9 up to 70,000 barrels of crude oil every day, and that's 10 counting the 100 loaded tank cars arriving each day for 11 that purpose, 100 empty rail cars departing, and one 12 50-car train at a time to be unloaded at the terminal. 13 The draft report, however, does not state the exact number 14 of locomotives involved each day, each way. Why? 15 Union Pacific is not part of the Valero project 16 application. The report doesn't describe how the 17 contractual relationship would work between the two 18 corporate giants, or might not work. Yet UP's logistics 19 operations and performance would be pivotal and would 20 override Valero's criteria for operations cited in the 21 It would appear, therefore, that the project's report.

To grasp Union Pacific's role, we must consider the limiting effects of the federal exemption rule as it

rail activity would largely represent a Union Pacific

22

23

24

25

logistics operation.

1 would apply to the Valero project. Under federal exemption, UP retains exclusive 2 authority to control all train movements, train 3 4 scheduling, train composition, type and number of 5 locomotives, volumes of product transported in a single 6 train, train speed, train routes, maintenance, et cetera. UP is not required to inform the public about these 7 movements, including about parking tank cars on sidelines. 8 9 The U.S. Department of Transportation also governs all 10 rail safety issues. 11 The federal exemption rule is therefore a 12 defining factor for project rail operations; yet, the 13 first place the rule is mentioned in the report is at the 14 end of a brief description of Project Alternative, One. 15 This first alternative suggests that the number of trains 16 per day could be limited to one. But the DEIR hedges, trying to explain why it must be rejected. And the quote 17 "UP has taken the position that" ... then referred 18 the reader to the last appendix, Appendix L, where UP's 19 20 own statement of their authority under the federal 21 exemption is outlined. 22 So the alleged advantage of the project is nil. 23 Valero couldn't enforce the alternative. 24 Federal exemption bears down hard on the draft

report's traffic and transportation analysis, and thus

25

casts doubt on the credibility of the draft report itself.

The foreseeable effects of UP control project rail activity on or off-site of refinery property are myriad, but the DEIR touches on those effects very lightly, incoherently. There is no accounting of possible train delays or troubles with UP switching operations.

So let's look at the draft report's expectations for project logistics. The report describes optimal operating conditions, desired by Valero, but who's to say that Valero's request can be complied with 24/7 365 days a year?

I might say that it seems that the DEIR expects that the project would work like a clock with Valero's invisible hand guiding all movements and with full compliance by UP.

Any DEIR description, claim, assumption or impact analysis that assumes optimal conditions and could invite questions about the effects of UP's federal exemption deserves further evaluation.

So what kind of future does the project suggest for the industrial park? With the project operations intensifying, doubling and tripling rail use become a lulu, a local undesirable land use. The report doesn't consider public perception, how project logistics could turn the park into a mini-rail switching yard, mainly

1 serving Valero's interest. The report doesn't even 2 discuss the foreseeable daily increased risk posed by a threat of derailment, involving flammable Bakken oil 3 4 within the park, a real threat that would be posed to its 5 occupants, immediate environs, vital infrastructure, the 6 refinery itself, and the community every day. Now I'll hear from Ed Ruszel. 7 MR. RUSZEL: Good evening, Commissioner, staff, 8 9 neighbors. Let's see if I can make this play. 10 I'm going to try to give you a quick overview of 11 the railroad infrastructure in the industrial park. This 12 is about what we see today. For the most part, that's the 13 basics of the railroad infrastructure in the industrial 14 park. The dark blue lines indicate the main lines. We'll 15 be coming back to this slide. And here's what Valero's been telling us in 16 public. They say it's just a railroad -- it's just an 17 infrastructure project, it's a logistics project and it 18 19

basically ends at their fence line, which happens to be right adjacent to Park Road.

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this is what -- how the infrastructure really works here. This is what the Army left us in the 1960s. This map is showing the railroad infrastructure that the arsenal built in the '40s during wartime for the sole use of the Army. There was no public access. There was no

1 freeways. There was no private enterprise in this area. 2 One thing to notice here is these loops that give 3 good circulation to the park -- to the railroad activity, 4 and also a little Y-connector in here. This gave great 5 flexibility in circulation. It was quite modern for its 6 time. What we see today is a bunch of cul-de-sacs. We 7 notice these loops have been eliminated. This area in 8 9 here is where the Valero property is. These tracks go to the Coke silos here. And they're loading terminals for 10 11 propane. And this is the large storage area alongside 12 Industrial Way, in the industrial park. They have six 13 tracks there, and along with this area here. Another two 14 tracks. It's about 20,000 feet of trackage. They can 15 store about 300, 350 cars there. 16 And here's what we see today. 17 And if I can -- I need to put the cursor on here. What you're going to see here is an animation of 18 19 traffic moving through the industrial park. 20 Jump to the next slide, Amy. 21 The purple highlighted area is Park Road. 22 red line would represent a train of approximately 3,500 23 feet, very close to the length of a unit train. 24 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Excuse me, please. Will 25 you please speak into the microphone.

1 MR. RUSZEL: Sorry. 2 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you. 3 MR. RUSZEL: Thank you. And if you can stand by, sir, 4 we have one more animation to play. 5 So you can see this train moving in and out of the siding areas in the industrial park, across Park Road. 6 The other circled areas are private driveways along 7 Bayshore Road. 8 9 And any kind of switching activity, shunting, making and breaking in trains, requires those trains to 10 11 back up and go back and forth across the Park Road 12 intersection and along Bayshore Road. That's the only way 13 in, the only way out. 14 So again, a quick overview of that area. You can 15 see where the crossings are. This area is a park. area is at Bayshore and West Channel, and along Industrial 16 Way, also. 17 18 So, again, back to the overview here. So we saw the dark blue lines, the major -- the main lines. 19 20 The green lines are the surface connector tracks. 21 And there's also two little red dots here, those 22 are the two ways the trains get off the main line tracks 23 and come into the industrial park. 24 The yellow areas or orange areas, here and here, are where tracks have been extensively improved, both 25

increased their weight-carrying capacity and their storage 1 2 capacity. Tracks have been revitalized that have been derelict and unused for years. 3 4 This area right in here, there's no rail users, 5 but it's a place where the Union Pacific had parked 6 numerous trains. And as Marilyn mentioned, the Appendix L, the 7 railroad exemption, she gave a pretty good description, so 8 9 I'm going to breeze right through here. 10 And that is what we see today. 11 If you can please start the video. 12 So this is March 28 of this year. It was about 13 Friday at noontime. I happened to catch a small manifest 14 train. It was a train made up of all different 15 commodities moving into industrial park, along Bayshore Road across Park Road. This is the kind of traffic delay 16 we see daily. Daily. This was only a 20- or 30-car 17 18 train. So you can look up there -- sorry for the resolution -- but numerous cars on the offramp. And 19 20 here's what it looked like moments later on the interstate 21 highway. You could see cars backed up. This guy is 22 barely out of the traffic lanes, trying to get onto the 23 offramp. This happens on a daily basis. 24 This is what the EIR shows us, the draft shows us, the purple lines, what happens today. The yellow line 25

```
is the cumulative effect, which makes it appear the
 1
 2
     traffic will be even less impacted with two or three times
     train cars.
 3
 4
              Thank you for your indulgence. This is the
 5
     second-to-last slide.
 6
              So this is a letter that I've been
 7
    hand-delivering to all my neighbors, or many neighbors in
    the industrial park. To date I've contacted over 25
 8
 9
    businesses. More than half have expressed serious levels
10
     of concern, and 11 have agreed to allow me to use their
11
    name in public on a letter stating our concerns with the
12
     traffic level here in the industrial park.
13
              That concludes my discussion. And I do have a
14
    brief list of several of my neighbors that I would like to
15
    have included.
16
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Does that conclude your
17
    presentation?
18
        MS. BARDET: Yes, it does, thank you.
19
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you.
20
             Additional speakers, the first five -- and I
21
    apologize if I mispronounce your name --
22
             Rick Slizeski. Stan Lawson. Aline Nunes.
23
    Hadieh Elias. And Ron Dial. We'll give you a minute to
24
     come forward. Whoever comes first can just come right on
    down, of those first five.
25
```

```
1
             Hi.
                   Good evening.
        MR. DIAL: Good evening. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.
 2
 3
     These comments are a summary of a letter, a written
 4
     comment I sent to Amy Million on July 28, 2014. My
 5
    name --
 6
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sir, do you want to identify
 7
    yourself, for the record.
 8
         MR. DIAL: Sure. I was just going to do that.
 9
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Okay.
10
        MR. DIAL: My name is Ron Dial. I'm a Benicia
11
     resident of 24 years. I can see the refinery from my home
12
     and I support the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project.
13
              I worked in risk management for over 20 years,
14
     and worked in local government for 15 years. My
15
    background gives me some insight into the issues spelled
16
     out in the Draft EIR.
              The report is an imposing document that's
17
     appropriate for the technical nature of this project.
18
19
     Yet, if the report were any shorter, it would be
20
     insufficient to address the risk factors and regulatory
21
    realities of the project. The Bakken crude oil is needed
22
     to improve the U.S. energy independence. By refining the
23
    Bakken crude in the Dakotas, requires an infrastructure
24
     that is still years away. Thus, in the near term, by
25
    necessity, the oil must be transported either by pipe,
```

truck or rail to existing refineries.

Research conducted by Dagmar Etkin of the Environmental Research Consulting Group, ERC, a firm specializing in environmental impacts of oil spills, stated that rail transport is much less prone to spill than hauling oil by truck or pipeline. And their website is www.environmental-research.com.

ERC analyzed rail truck and pipeline spills into waterways from 1980 to 2003, and found spillage rates for truck to be nearly double the rates by rail. And this information is not even taking into account the use of the safer 1232 tank car that's specified in the report.

The stabilization process for crude oil should be addressed in the final EIR. This process is currently undergoing regulatory discussion. Stabilization is identified as a process to remove the volatile top-end elements in crude oils such as Bakken light crude, and results in a product that is much safer to transport by rail.

Stabilization has been used extensively in the Texas oil fields where similar crude oil safety issues were found and the crude had to be transported by oil. The one stabilization plan in the Bakken field is due to come on line soon, is not sufficient size to handle the demand. Reports state that additional stabilization

facilities would likely be constructed if there were regulatory pressure on the industry to do so.

The report takes into account the risks, the regulatory environment, and the mitigation factors for transporting crude by rail.

The report also identifies the continuous dynamic nature of the regulatory and legislative agencies overseeing transport of oil by rail. Valero's offer to reduce incoming crude by marine source is an important benefit. Reducing the amount of crude oil transported over the North Bay and Sacramento River inherently lowers the risk of the inland waterways.

Point Number 7, City of Benicia needs the economic stimulus of the new jobs and added tax revenue attached to this project. This is something of significance that should not be overlooked.

In conclusion, some West Coast refinery somewhere will get the Bakken crude and refine it into the needed products. That's the bottom line.

I would much rather see the crude center refinery with a safety record and reputation such as Valero Benicia, than a refinery where the transportation refining is not done with the same degree of safety. There's an opportunity for Benicia to be a front-runner in setting standards for handling Bakken light crude.

1 Perhaps the City of Benicia should make 2 recommendations to state and national regulatory agencies, 3 as well as national and state legislators in favor of 4 crude oil stabilization. Such recommendations would carry 5 more weight if Benicia were already the home of the safest 6 Bakken crude refinery with a model EIR. 7 We should recognize that rejection of this project will not stop Bakken crude from being shipped by 8 9 rail; it's going to be shipped. It will just go elsewhere 10 and likely without the safety mitigation offered by 11 Valero --12 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sir, you've used your five 13 minutes. 14 MR. DIAL: Thank you very much. 15 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you very much. 16 Next speaker, please. I'm going to read those first five names again. Rick Slizeski. Stan Lawson. 17 Aline Nunes. Hadieh Elias. 18 And then a couple of additional ones: Rick 19 20 Stierwalt, Joshua Cross and Lisa Reinerton. 21 Good evening. 22 MS. ELIAS: Hi. My name is Hadieh Elias. I'm a resident of Benicia for more than 35 years. I also have a 23 24 structural engineering business in town for more than 25 25 years.

I'm going to address the seismic aspects of the 1 2 Seismic design is basically ensuring that the demand side of the equation is always less than the capacity side 3 4 of the equation. 5 EIR is not the review of the demand side only, it is also review of reasonableness and realistic estimate of 6 7 the capacity side of the design. The DEIR has been silent on how Valero is 8 9 proposing to achieve the capacity of the railroad cars and 10 tracks to perform safely while traveling or stationary, 11 and being subjected to a large seismic acceleration and 12 displacement demand. 13 The DEIR discusses demand to a limited extent, 14 but not how they're going to address that. So for us now, 15 in terms of design, this is a pie in the sky. Moreover, they refer in the DEIR to building 16 code, but there are -- but there are no explicit 17 18 provisions in the code for a lot of the things that they 19 are doing here. 20 Example: How are they going to address 21 liquefaction and lateral spreading of the rails in an 22 earthquake? 23 Later on when they submit plans, we are not going 24 to be there, just the City Plan Checker and Valero

representatives. None of us citizens and interested

25

parties will be there. This shortchanges the democratic process, as you will not see what they are submitting.

Moreover, they can elect at that time, as they have done in this DEIR, to classify most of what they are doing as proprietary, and thus not subject to review. If the solution is obvious, they should define it now. Either descriptive example, remove certain batch oil and replace it, et cetera, or prescribe criteria for the design to meet. Example: Solution shall result in a maximum of refresh segment of half an inch, et cetera.

If they do not provide the criteria now, then later on they are going to bargain with the City on what is appropriate, reasonable or reasonable limit, and then we are left out of the democratic process; and moreover, the City will be pressured that they are delaying the project, et cetera. City will be in a tough position. They are going to get into an argument with the City on what is reasonable, customary and economical criteria, thus, forcing the City to agree and comply to lower standards.

We do not accept this process of removing this decision from more democratic review by citizens and unloading it to a few City representatives in the future.

They have to give an assessment now of what the quantitative criteria for an acceptable solution is for

this nonstandard project. The City will then have this criteria to check against in future to see if they meet that. The mechanism of design despite this criteria will be in the future, but the specific criteria of acceptable limits needs to be set now.

It is also prudent for us to keep in mind that there will be unknown hazards that will show up in the future when the project begins. Example: New (inaudible) substances, like with other project. Example, Fukushima. Who is going to bear the cost of that? Valero would say, "We did the best we can at time we did the project, which was approved by the City, so society and the committee will have to bear the cost." Is the City going to be left holding the bag for these unforeseen future costs?

Benicia is a healthy, beautiful community. We do not want it to become a heavy industrial-polluted city. This project will produce poor air quality, health risks, home dropping in value, put us at risk of major catastrophe in the future. You are long-term planners. You have to consider the potential risks in the future, not short-term, rosy scenarios by Valero.

Valero is misrepresenting the pollution picture for Benicia by looking at the whole bayonet increase. Are they going to put in writing guarantee that the pollution air quality in Benicia itself will stay the same or

1 improve? They mention that this project makes U.S. energy 2 independent. Are they going to put in writing guarantee 3 that they will not export any of that oil? They dangle 4 the promise of 20 full-time jobs and increase tax income. 5 For how long? How much more tax? The only extra jobs 6 will be for Kaiser to cure our citizens that will suffer 7 illnesses due to this project. Lastly, you are reminded of what your attorney 8 said in the last meeting. The City will have no control 10 on the type of cars that will be used, what type of oil 11 they will bring -- transport, what schedule the trains and 12 the rails --13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry. You'll have to -- your 14 time is up. You'll have to stop there. 15 MS. ELIAS: You cannot make any requirements on that. 16 When you allow someone to come --17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry, we have a lot of 18 speakers. MS. ELIAS: This is our home --19 20 COMMISSIONER DEAN: You're going to have to stop right 21 there. 22 MS. ELIAS: -- you allow somebody to come and live in 23 your home --24 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry. You're going to have 25 to yield the -- you'll have to yield the microphone.

MS. ELIAS: -- decide against this project and protect 1 2 Benicia, which is your home and my home. 3 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 4 Next speakers. Some of these names we've called 5 a couple of times. Rick Slizeski. Stan Lawson. Aline 6 Nunes. Rick Stierwalt. Joshua Cross. And Lisa 7 Reinerton. 8 And then a couple of new ones. Shannon 9 Walsh-Hill. Roger Straw. Ken Miller. And Tim Rose. 10 Hi. Good evening. 11 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Microphone, please. 12 MR. CROSS: Commissioners, I've been a Benicia 13 resident for 24 years. My son just graduated from Benicia 14 High School last year. My daughter goes to school at the 15 middle school. My wife is also a teacher for the school 16 district here. My children had an opportunity to take 17 advantage of the Valero volunteer tutoring at the middle 18 school and high school. I played baseball on the fields 19 that Valero has sponsored and help make improvements. Ι 20 have coached soccer teams that Valero helped sponsor. Ι 21 participate in charity walks that Valero supports. I 22 enjoy events like the Waterfront Festival and Heather's 23 Fair where Valero supports both financially and with 24 volunteers. 25 Valero donated significant amounts of money to a

foster children's camp that my parents direct and is close to my heart. I'm happy to see the provisions that Valero's put in place for this infrastructure rail project. Valero's made good effort to ensure that the project does not alter the safety and the facility or the neighboring areas. They've also made efforts to minimize impacts on traffic by scheduling rail activities during nonpeak hours.

They've agreed to use better rail cars even though the federal regulations don't require them.

They're providing training both locally and uprail for first responders.

The project has many positive benefits for Benicia; adding tax dollars to the community through construction and property tax. It adds a significant number of both short-term construction jobs, as well as additional permanent jobs to run this facility.

Increasing domestic rail crude to Valero reduces the overall emissions in our Bay Area. This project also ensures Valero will be able to sustain its good reputation as a good corporate citizen to Benicia, and continue to provide for our community both financially and through volunteerism.

I urge you to consider the role this project plays on our ability to keep good, safe companies like

1 Valero, and their supporting businesses, here in Benicia 2 for the years to come. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sir, can you give your name for 3 4 the record. MR. CROSS: Joshua Cross. 5 6 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 7 Next speaker, please. MR. STIERWALT: Hi. I'm Rick Stierwalt. I've lived 8 9 here in town for 28 years. And I just want to speak a 10 minute. 11 The DEIR, as I see, is unsafe, it's incomplete, 12 and it falls in unsafe industry standard. 13 One of the biggest issues I see about the whole 14 thing is, it isn't so much Valero, it's that the industry 15 standard is very low and unsafe. The questions that come up: What happens at the 16 time of the spill if there was an accident? Who is to 17 18 blame? How soon does compensation happen? What about the immediate cleanup? Valero has said that because they're 19 20 making the railroad like a subcontractor, that if there 21 ever is a spill, that spill is gonna be on the hands of 22 the railroad itself. 23 So if you make the railroad responsible -- to me 24 there seems to be some kind of a contractual relationship between Benicia and the railroad, because regardless of 25

what Valero's safety record is, their whole thing is 1 2 subbed out. They're putting the entire responsibility on 3 the railroad. I think that's a big issue, and it's 4 unaddressed. 5 So the DEIR is incomplete. 6 And what I want to do -- I know that we've talked 7 on many, many issues. I've been through some of this and you've heard a lot of different stories. One thing that I 8 9 want to bring up is the aftermath of what happened at 10 Lac-Megantic. Okay? And these are issues that aren't 11 addressed in the DEIR and, to me, are very, very 12 important. Okay? 13 Another thing -- okay, before that, okay, what is 14 the railroad route? Do we know that? Has that been said? 15 Where does it go from Benicia to North Dakota? That's 16 like top secret. And I've even written to the railroad line asking for that information. And there's many 17 18 secrets that are held back, so many parts I believe of the 19 DEIR. It's not what's in it, it's what is not in it, is 20 what scares me. And that's a big part of the DEIR. 21 Shouldn't some of these other cities know what's happening 22 through their road, through their tract? 23 So what happens in that entire rail line should 24 be public record, in my opinion. Fewer people know the

route and these cities should know.

25

And see there's several ways of talking about this. We can describe one train has either 1.4 million gallons of crude oil or we can say it's 9.8 million pounds. But I'd like to describe one train a little bit differently. According to Russell Gold and Betsy Morris of the Wall Street Journal, one train car is equal to an energy of 2 million sticks of dynamite. So that's 2 million sticks. So if we're having 50 trains -- so each train that goes through here has the energy of a hundred million sticks of dynamite. And I think, you know, things like that should be brought up in the DEIR about safety, about what we're really talking about here. That's a lot of blast that can happen.

So if you were to put -- take the hundred million sticks, you'd have to put 7 in a bundle, and that would be enough to go -- they're about a foot long -- end to end from the Pacific Ocean to the Atlantic Ocean bundled of 7 all the way across, that's how much energy there is in one train.

Now, some of the things that really happened at the Lac-Megantic crash, it happened a year ago, in Quebec, that there was a train which was put on a hill and it had a bad piston in it, but the engineer was tired, he put in his 12-hour day, it was 11:00 o'clock at night, he didn't put enough brakes on, but what he did, he kept the engine

1 running, in order so the brakes could hold the train 2 there. 3 Well, the fire department came and saw the spill, 4 and then the fire department said, "Well, our brochure says we have to turn the train off." So they turn the 5 6 train off and they went home. And this is 11:00 o'clock 7 at night when the engineer left. So this train took off for seven miles, and it 8 9 dropped 1300 feet in elevation, and it hit the city at --10 it was at 1:30 at night on a Saturday night. There were 11 47 died; 10 of those people were vaporized. There were 30 12 millions leveled. 13 Now, you really have to have an idea what it's 14 like -- this is what truly happened about 10 people being 15 vaporized. When you're vaporized, they don't find one 16 self of you there. 17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sir, I'm going to have to ask you 18 to stop right there. Your time is up. 19 MR. STIERWALT: Thank you. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 20 21 Next speaker, please. 22 MS. REINERTON: Good evening. 23 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Good evening. 24 MS. REINERTON: My name is Lisa Reinerton. I live 25 here in Benicia. I'm going to jump right into this.

Many of the conclusions in this DEIR are based on questionable assumptions that lead to false claims that there are no significant impacts. Is there anything in this report that states that Valero would legally be bound to limiting their crude rail to the 70,000 barrels a day? The rail industry is not bound to this. There's nothing that legally binds the railways to limiting their traffic in the industrial park area to nonrush hour times, or to limit the rail cars used to the new safer cars.

The conclusion of no significant impact is based on this limited scenario that neither Valero nor Union Pacific are legally bound to, and given the need to be competitive would have no reason to honor.

Is there anything that requires Valero to be legally responsible for the cost of cleanup, if there is a spill, or the liability if there is an explosive accident in which people are killed and property destroyed?

Would the City share in this liability since we approve the project?

The effects of the magnitude of this project are vastly greater than the localized risks and impacts mentioned in the DEIR. For example, if there is a catastrophic spill in the Sierras, dumping oil into the Feather River, due to ignoring the outdated infrastructure of the rails and car rails being used, will Valero be held

responsible?

If a rail car explodes in a Davis neighborhood killing innocent people, will Valero and our city be held responsible?

If waterways in the delta are contaminated, which impact all of us in the entire state, who can fix that?

Who will be held responsible? It is easy to say that the risk of an accident is minimal, that Valero or our City Planners will not be held completely responsible.

The statistical methodology in this EIR is not just flawed, it is carefully crafted to skew the reality of the actual risks. And unfortunately it takes more than five minutes to untangle the faulty arguments in any given topic addressed in which no significant risk is claimed.

This is like the story of The Emperor's New Clothes. We all can see this huge increase in bringing volatile crude oil by rail poses a substantial increase in risk and safety, pollution, and risks of spills and dangerous explosions as it moves through our communities, but we are being told by the Powers That Be that there is no significant impact. And not only that, that this is a "green plan" that will be more environmentally friendly.

Valero paid for this DEIR. Was the purpose of this report to address real concerns and environmental impacts because we care about our earth and its

inhabitants? Or was it drafted to find ways to downplay and negate these real concerns so that Valero's project can move forward?

Checking my time.

Steve Hampton, an economist with the State Office of Spill Prevention Response, said the Benician report gives a false air of certainty about something that has far too many unknowns.

This is a quote by him: This is so new. Anyone who says they know exactly what the spill rate is, they don't.

He noted the analysis spill to look at risks the project poses on the rail route east of Roseville where

He noted the analysis spill to look at risks the project poses on the rail route east of Roseville where trains will pass through areas designated by the state as "high hazard" for derailments.

Jeff Mount, a natural resource management expert at Public Policy Institute of California said a one in 111-year spill event for the Valero trains refers to a long-range -- to long-range averages. It doesn't preclude a spill from happening at any time. If several oil trains come through as expected, the spill risks increase.

The EIR needs to realistically address these questions, including the broader geographical scope of environmental impacts and the potential impact and magnitude of explosive derailment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

17

18

20

21

22

The truth is, if we realistically look at this scenario, we cannot in good conscience approve it. actuality, this is not something that should be determined by our local City Planners. The impact of what Valero and the rest of the oil industry are doing is statewide and nationwide, and should be addressed at the state and national level, before we as a town agree to anything. Please postpone your response to this proposal by Valero until the risk factors and environmental impacts of crude-by-rail have been addressed and the resolutions to 11 these issues are worked out at a national level. Thank you very much. 13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 14 Next speaker, please. 15 And we are looking for Shannon Walsh-Hill. Roger Straw. Ken Miller. Tim Rose. Jim Jacobs, John -- Jim Ponder, Gordon Thielvoldt and Greg Yuhas. Good evening. MS. WALSH-HILL: Hi. My name is Shannon Walsh-Hill. 19 I'm a 31-year member of Local 343 Plumbers and Steamfitters. I'm a lifelong resident of Benicia. The facts associated with this project are clear. This 23 project is good for Benicia. It will reduce air 24 emissions, provide jobs, generate additional revenue, 25 support our largest business in the city.

1 Thank you very much. 2 I have a hundred cards from people who feel 3 likewise, and I'd like to turn them in. Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: You can give these to staff. 5 Thank you. 6 Next speaker, please. Good evening. 7 MR. STRAW: Good evening, Commissioners, City staff, consultants, my name is Roger Straw. I'm a 15-year 8 9 resident of Benicia, publisher and editor of the Benicia 10 Independent, and online blog currently dedicated to 11 covering local and international news and events on 12 crude-by-rail. 13 I put a big "X" on all the part of my speech that 14 was going to talk about why I don't want you to pass this 15 because Chair Dean said we shouldn't talk about that 16 tonight. So tonight I'm going to use my time just to offer 17 18 a few comments and questions on the DEIR. You're welcome. 19 20 First, about tank car standards. 21 In April of this year, yet another crude oil train 22 derailed in Lynchburg, Virginia resulting in explosion, fire and a near catastrophic spill into the James River. 23 24 The significance of the Lynchburg tragedy is that one of 25 the ten cars that ruptured and failed was the upgraded

version of the Legacy tank cars, meeting the Association 1 of American Railroads CPC 1232 standard. 2 Between the violent detonations in January in New 3 4 Brunswick, and April in Lynchburg, many people don't 5 realize there were another 21 lesser known derailments of 6 trains carrying hazardous materials. Luckily none of them 7 exploded. 8 So far in North America this year we are 9 averaging a derailment with hazmat every four days. 10 DEIR's estimate of a spill once in every 111 years, I 11 think, is an insult, and perhaps a threat, to those whose 12 lives are put at risk all along the rails and to those who 13 work the trains and the mines and the refineries. 14 Note that both the National Transportation Safety 15 Board and the Association of American Railroads have stated publicly that the improved CPC 1232 tank cars are 16 17 inadequate and unsafe. 18 The Feds, and the rail industry, both, are 19 calling for a brand-new design. 20 The DEIR states that Valero will only lease or 21 buy tank cars that meet that 1232 standard. I have four 22 questions: 23 First of all, how will Valero's commitment be 24 monitored for compliance? And what consequences will

follow if Valero is found to be out of compliance?

25

1 Secondly, what would happen if Valero was unable 2 to locate enough of these cars for their purposes? 3 Thirdly, and most importantly, how would Valero's 4 use of 1232 tank cars assure the safety of Benicia and our 5 uprail neighbors when the NTSB, and even the railroad 6 industry, are on record stating that these cars are unsafe? In other words, how can this project be certified 7 when tank car standards are currently in process of review 8 9 and reform with design and manufacture somewhere off in the distance? 10 11 Fourth question, can this project be put on hold 12 until a new standard is finalized and an adequate supply 13 of post 1232 new -- new design tank cars is built and sold 14 or leased by Valero? 15 A secondary of concern -- I better hurry up 16 here -- automated collision avoidance systems. Positive 17 train control is a federally mandated automated 18 crash-avoidance technology that can prevent deadly 19 disasters on the rails. Congress passed a measure in 2008 20 requiring PTC to be installed on 60,000 miles of rail 21 lines in the United States to be completed by the end of 22 December 2015. But as I understand it, implementation of 23 this system is not on schedule. 24 Six questions, as fast as I can -- one minute. 25 I raised this issue with the City in prior

communications, but I find no mention of the positive 1 train control or any of the other automated collision 2 avoidance systems in the DEIR. Where is it in the DEIR? 3 Is positive train control now in place or 4 5 scheduled for activation in Northern California? And most 6 particularly, along Union Pacific lines leading to and 7 from Benicia? Third, what other automated technical mechanisms 8 9 are available? Do any of them quard against hot spots or wheel failures or track failures or other sources of 10 11 accidents derailments -- switching failures? 12 Fourth question, how can our Commissioners find 13 out more about automated collision-avoidance systems in 14 Northern California? 15 Number 5, has Union Pacific been approached about 16 these concerns, and if so, what is their response? Final question, can this project be delayed until 17 federal and State authorities implement positive train 18 19 control and similar systems? 20 Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 22 Next speaker, please. MR. THIELVOLDT: Hello Commissioner and board members. 23 I'm Gordon Thielvoldt, a current resident of Benicia. 24 25 Been a property owner of Benicia for 45 years.

1 When I first got here, it was not the greatest 2 place to live. I think Vallejo was the place to go. 3 In my talk here, I want to talk about what John 4 Hill said last meeting, when he said Valero is the 5 refinery you want to run Benicia -- the Benicia Refinery. 6 I don't -- I'm not sure everybody really understands why that's important. I have a unique bit of experience 7 having been in a refinery early days, 1968, and then did 8 retire from Valero in 2005. And what I observed is that 9 Exxon, in its early days, has tremendous standards, 10 11 engineering excellence, and that's built into the 12 refinery. 13 Valero has the highest community values of any 14 refinery I've seen anywhere in the world. How do I know 15 that? Since 2005, I've been all over the world working 16 international and joint venture projects. I have never seen anything, any caring for the community like I saw at 17 18 Valero. So you have the benefit of this unique experience 19 20 here in Benicia with Valero running this refinery of this 21 engineering excellence and the community values that 22 Valero brings. 23 Now, what's that mean to this project? Well, 24 this project I see as a tipping point for, okay, you're 25 going to continue -- Valero is going to continue with

It's not making money right now, but with the 1 Benicia? project, yeah, they will. Is that a big deal? Ahh, maybe 2 3 there's a way around it, maybe not. But it is one of 4 those factors that if it doesn't work out, it makes 5 decision-makers point in one direction or another. 6 So is that important? I think that you don't have to look too far. You look over at Vallejo was --7 when I first came to Benicia -- was the place to be, the 8 9 place to live, the shipyard is going strong. And now it's 10 gone. Certainly with the changes since the refinery, 11 maybe that wasn't all, but it certainly sure did help. 12 And here we are today, and Benicia is definitely the place 13 to be. And I think the community's made excellent use of 14 the funds that have come their way through the industry 15 and the industrial park and all the things that have been 16 gained from that experience. I know the early days, industrial park, they had 17 to look really hard to find the first few people in there, 18 and the refinery was the first one. 19 20 So, my experience is, yeah, we need to support 21 our industry. We don't want to put them at a competitive 22 disadvantage in the marketplace because that doesn't work 23 for anybody. 24 Thank you very much.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEAN:

25

1 Okay. And we're at Ken Miller. Tim Rose. Jim 2 Jacobs. Tim Ponder. Greg Yuhas, Y-U-H-A-S. Jack Bethards, B-E-T-H-A-R-D-S. And Rudy Holthuis. 3 4 Hi, good evening. MR. JACOBS: Good evening, Commissioners. And thanks 5 6 for the opportunity. My name is Jim Jacobs. I'm a 7 representative of the International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 3. Trying not to repeat some of the 8 9 things that have already been said tonight. 10 Operating Engineers is absolutely behind this 11 Crude-By-Rail Project, and we feel that Valero has gone 12 completely over the top with preparing this DEIR. And 13 we're urging the community of Benicia to listen to what 14 the gentleman before me said, about business, about 15 safety, and about his running the Benicia Refinery, 16 because it's spot-on factual. I think they've gone over 17 and above. And I wanted to stand here and publicly 18 express my support as an operating engineer and a 19 representative of the Operating Engineers to push this 20 DEIR forward and get this thing going. 21 Thank you for your time. 22 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 23 Okay. Next speaker, please. 24 And also Sam Scrutchins. Adrienne Sterrano. 25 Paul Leimone. Herb Forthuber. Again, I apologize if I'm

1 butchering your names. 2 Good evening. 3 MS. RUBINSTEIN: Hi. My name is Bobbi Rubinstein and 4 I am speaking out of turn because I just spent this 5 afternoon in the emergency room with my husband, and I 6 want to get home to him, so I hope you'll allow me to just 7 speak very quickly. 8 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry. What is your name 9 again? 10 MS. RUBINSTEIN: Bobbi Rubinstein, and I'm a resident 11 of Benicia. COMMISSIONER DEAN: 12 Okay. Go ahead. 13 MS. RUBINSTEIN: Yeah, I really want to get home to 14 him, since we were in the emergency room, and I hope 15 that's okay. 16 During the course of the public comments today, I've heard repeated testimony regarding the projects newly 17 18 created jobs and their significant positive impact and their importance in value to the city of Benicia and its 19 residents. 20 21 While I applaud bringing new jobs to Benicia, I 22 take issue with the fact that the Valero project would 23 bring new jobs to our community. Unfortunately, 24 throughout the DEIR document, the references to the impact 25 of such newly created jobs, note that the number of jobs

is not significant. For example, regarding the DEIR's conclusion about population and housing, the temporary addition of a construction workforce would not be considered a significant impact, nor would the addition of approximately 30 full-time equivalent permanent employees.

The proposed project would require access to an available construction labor pool. Adequate labor exists in the Bay Area to fill the number of jobs the project would create, and the project would not require to import labor. I'm quoting from the DEIR, and in the letter that I submitted to Amy; I have the exact page reference. I don't think I should go over that right now.

Referencing the DEIR's conclusion regarding growth inducing impacts, construct -- quote, construction and operations associated with the project would not encourage new development or induce population growth, and the project would neither directly nor indirectly induce support short-term or long-term population growth.

Again, I have the reference.

The DEIR's transportation impact analysis states flatly, the proposed Valero/Benicia Crude-By-Rail Project would not increase the number of employees at the refinery. And again I cite the area in the DEIR.

According to my understanding of the DEIR, the jobs created by the project, both temporary construction

1 and long term, will have no significant impact for the 2 City of Benicia in any category examined. Based on the 3 DEIR, I can only include (sic) that the jobs are not a 4 significant factor for purposes of the DEIR analysis. 5 Shouldn't the final environmental report clarify the 6 effect of the project on jobs? 7 I really don't fully understand. It seems that it's saying one thing; it's saying another thing. I'm 8 9 just trying to clarify. But I understand that from the 10 DEIR there is not an impact on jobs in a positive way. Thank you very much and thank you for your 11 12 indulgence so I could get home. 13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: You're welcome. Thank you. 14 Next speaker, please. 15 And again Ken Miller. Tim Rose. Jim Ponder. 16 Greg Yuhas. Jack Bethards. Rudy Holthuis. Scrutchins. Adrienne Sterrano. 17 18 Hi, good evening. MR. HOLTHUIS: Hi. I'm Rudy Holthuis and I've lived 19 20 in Benicia for about 23 years. There was a letter in the 21 Opinion section of the Vallejo Times on July 10th that 22 said "Why the Rush on Crude?" And I could fully 23 understand the perspective, as mentioned here by a few 24 folks, in terms of the risks and such, and asked, "Why the rush? Why don't we wait on the Valero plan?" And I'm 25

"Why wait?" 1 asking: 2 Years ago I lived in this vault of countries where we seeked about nine to 10 percent of our oil: 3 4 Venezuela. We left it because it was getting more and 5 more unstable, especially after they nationalized the oil 6 companies. That was over 50 years ago. Has Venezuela improved since then? Has the Middle East gotten any 7 better in the past 10, 20, 30, 50 years? What's the rush? 8 9 Are they asking, Why can't we just continue with business as usual with the East countries? Because that's what 10 11 some may be saying. 12 Valero's plan is to bring the majority of its oil 13 from North America, and drastically reduce its dependency, 14 which is our dependency, on foreign oil. 15 Why don't we choose an alternative? Why don't we get another incremental step towards freeing ourselves 16 from foreign, unstable countries, where we often may be 17 paying, even indirectly, from our gas money to other 18 19 governments? 20

In short, I support Valero's effort to bring in more domestic oil. I support the move towards energy independence. I support Valero's effort that will bring 20 new jobs to the city via their company.

21

22

23

24

25

After paying foreign countries -- many of those in effect do not align with our interest -- for

1 many decades, I don't think it's a rush. I think it's 2 finally time. 3 Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 5 Good evening. 6 MR. LEIMONE: Good evening. My name is Paul Leimone, 7 resident of Benicia for 23 years, retired Oakland firefighter on the hazmat team for approximately 15 of 8 9 those years. 10 I just want to say that I think that the risk of 11 a big accident is very minimal, and I think it's worth the 12 risk that we take. I don't see people giving up their 13 cars and riding bicycles. They're still a lot of people 14 on the road. We need the fuel, and I think that Valero is 15 very conscious of being very safety-oriented. Just look at Chevron with their incidental fire and all the costs 16 that that caused. And none of the refineries want any 17 accidents, and I think they are very conscious of trying 18 19 to be very safe. 20 And I do, like the last gentleman, think it's 21 very important for us, as citizens of the U.S., to keep 22 our money here, and keep it out of the hands of some of the other unstable countries that we give money to. 23 24 I just want to thank you for your time and I hope 25 that we can get Valero moved on as quickly as possible.

1 Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: All right. Thank you. 3 Next speaker, please. MR. FORTHUBER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I'm Herb 4 5 Forthuber. I've lived in Benicia since 1990. As a 6 resident since 1990, and a local business manager in 7 Benicia, I have been following the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project with extensive interest. This project is worthy 8 9 of support from multiple perspectives. 10 First, as the EIR report states, compared to the 11 project, the no-project alternative would result in higher emissions of criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases 12 13 within California. Global greenhouse emissions would be 14 higher with the no-project alternative than with the 15 project. 16 One of the main interests of Benicia, as evidenced by the activities of the Sustainability 17 18 Committee, is to reduce the total amounts of greenhouse gases produced in Benicia. As the manager of Alfred 19 20 Conhagen, Inc. of California, located in the industrial 21 park, we received a BRRIP grant for installing 22 energy-efficient lighting in an effort to reduce our total 23 power consumption and reduce our carbon footprint. This 24 was a highly successful project with reductions of over 31

percent in our carbon footprint.

25

Valero has already improved the environment by drastically decreasing air emissions in the past three years. The Crude-By-Rail Project will allow them to continue to decrease air emissions. I feel strongly that the Crude-By-Rail Project will have a positive impact on the reduction of the total greenhouse gases generated, thus is worthy of support by the Planning Commission.

Valero has shown a major commitment to the local community through millions of dollars in taxes paid, support of local charities, and has created high-quality jobs for Benicia residents. Many of the local businesses in the industrial park rely heavily on the support work provided by the Valero refinery.

Speaking as a business manager, Valero is our largest customer. Conhagen supports 25 good jobs in Benicia. Without Valero, I do not know if we could continue to be a viable business in Benicia. We must not take for granted that Valero will keep this refinery open for an indefinite period, if the economics do not support keeping the refinery operating on a profitable bases.

History has shown that Valero-owned nonprofitable refineries have shut down. Examples are both the Aruba and Delaware City refineries. The economic impact of these closures on the local towns where the refineries are located was severe. I quote from 2009: It was

1 devastating when we heard that Valero was going to close 2 the doors, says Delaware Governor, Jack Markell, Democrat. 3 I went in shortly thereafter and talked to the workers, 4 and honestly, I walked in, I was sick to my stomach. 5 news stunned workers on the site like Ken Garbenger. It. 6 was a shock and it was a change in mind-set. A lot of us that had been there for a while never thought we would see 7 the day that the refinery would be shut down. 8 9 I would not want to see the impact on the city of 10 Benicia if the refinery closed or was sold. One only 11 needs to look at an example close at hand at the impact 12 that the closure of Mare Island Naval Shipyard had on 13 Vallejo. 14 We have no idea what type of corporate citizen we 15 would see under a new company ownership if the refinery 16 were sold. Valero has gone out of its way to show that it 17 takes the concerns of the community seriously. 18 19 Environmental Impact Report proves that this project will 20 reduce air emissions and create opportunities for Benicia. 21 Continuing to stand in the way of this project is a 22 disservice to Benicia. 23 Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Also, before you start, let me call some more 25

1 Pat Toth-Smith. Jackie Prange. Michelle names: Rowe-Shields. Don Shields. And Jim Stevenson. 2 3 Hi, please go ahead. 4 MR. BETHARDS: My name is Jack Bethards. I represent 5 Schoenstein & Company, pipe organ builders in Benicia. 6 We moved here after 124 years in San Francisco. Benicia is a picture-perfect town, and we want to 7 keep it that way. Benicia wouldn't be what it is today 8 9 without a thriving industrial park. And everybody knows 10 that a shopping mall needs an anchor store. The same is 11 true of an industrial park, it needs a large, 12 well-financed, well-managed company that brings a lot of 13 business to town. Our anchor is Valero. 14 One reason we moved here was the economic 15 viability assured by Valero. It is in the best interest 16 of Benicia to help Valero maintain its profitability and stay in Benicia rather than moving to Texas as so many 17 18 California businesses do. Everyone knows what happens 19 when a small town, such as Vallejo loses its anchor 20 industry. 21 Valero is not only a very well-managed company 22 with a proven environmental and safety record, but also an 23 outstanding corporate citizen. They have done a lot for 24 this town, purely on a voluntary basis. 25 I have reviewed the current Crude-By-Rail

```
1
     Project; it involves some risk. All human endeavor
 2
     involves some risk. The prudent approach is to balance
     risk against reward. I believe that the risk in this case
 3
 4
     is very small, and that the reward that is successful and
 5
     thriving Valero brings to Benicia are very large. I'm
 6
    also convinced that Valero has outstanding environmental,
 7
     safety and security programs, as does the Union Pacific
    Railroad. It is in their best interest to assure the
 8
 9
     safest equipment and operation as possible. After all,
10
     they will bear the brunt of costs if they fail to do so.
11
              I hope that the Commission will give the Valero
12
    project a fair hearing based on a realistic risk-benefit
13
     analysis.
14
              Thank you.
15
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
16
              Next speaker. Comments on the EIR.
17
              Good evening.
        MS. TOTH-SMITH: Hi. I'm Pat Toth-Smith, a Benicia
18
19
    resident.
20
              Here are my comments on the DEIR -- some of my
21
     comments on the DEIR.
22
              I disagree with the Crude-By-Rail Project because
23
     of the type of transport that is proposed. Presently the
24
    majority of domestic frack oil is transported in .111
25
    Legacy rail tanker cars, with disastrous results.
```

have been over eight major derailments and explosions in the past year. These cars were deemed unsafe by the National Transportation Safety Board in the 1990s for transporting oil that ignites at room temperature.

Bakken crude has a low flash point and has been compared to jet fuel. New federal recommendations will require a phase-out of these cars, but only over the next three years, by October 1, 2017.

As I read in a DEIR, Appendix L, Union Pacific Railroad statements regarding preemption, the U.S. Department of Transportation confers all authority for rail activity and train movement, trail composition, train scheduling to Railroad companies -- in this case Union Pacific -- which I interpret as the Railroads have the authority to decide which tankers will make up the train configuration, the length of the train, which routes are taken, and the times these trains will come into Benicia, not the shipper Valero.

As stated in the DEIR, Valero plans to use 39 different crude sources. So in light of all these issues, how can Valero guarantee that these dangerous .111 Legacy tank cars will not be used? The upgrade .1232 version that Valero has stated they will lease or purchase, have fared no better. 10 of the 13 tank cars that jumped the tracks near downtown Lynchburg, Virginia, were model CPC

1232, said Eric Weiss, a spokesman for the National 1 2 Transportation Safety Board in a Reuters article on May 9, 3 2014. And I have the article here, which I'm going to 4 submit in. 5 The April 30 Lynchburg, Virginia derailment and 6 explosion leaked a large amount of toxic pollutants into 7 the St. James River affecting the drinking water of downstream towns. Unlike ship transport that has been 8 9 time-tested and built with double hulls for safety, the 10 railroad tankers have not. The DEIR is flawed because it does not include 11 12 uprail Sierra Nevada Mountain areas that these crude 13 trains will traverse. If Valero's permit goes through 14 without scrutiny of these areas, Valero's oil, which may 15 include Bakken and/or tar sands, which is an exceeding 16 hard oil to clean up after an oil spill, contained in these unsafe tank cars, would travel alongside our 17 18 precious Sierra snow-melt water routes. These trains 19 would barrel along paralleling the Feather River, past 20 Lake Oroville, a reservoir which supplies a large

In the process, they would traverse antiquated iron bridges such as a thousand-foot Clio Trestle, spanning the Feather River Canyon, and built in 1909, and

percentage of California's drinking water and/or the Yolo

21

2.2

23

24

25

River.

other antiquated trestles on the way to the Bay Area.

The trains would have to traverse the densely populated areas of Roseville, Sacramento, Davis, Benicia and also the sensitive Suisun Marsh. So a derailment and explosion in any of the state of California's high-hazard areas, areas of vital national resources and nearby waterways cited in a June 10th, 2014 oil-by-rail safety and California report, could cause a fire that could last for weeks, pollute our precious water supply and cause human casualties.

I disagree with the statement in the DEIR that crude-by-rail transport is more environmental than ship transport, because this past year with all the train derailments and explosions that have occurred, the human casualties, the polluted waterways and the toxic chemicals released in the air, these facts paint a very different picture.

Thank you.

I'd like to submit this, this and this. And I've also submitted this in a comment section, so I don't know if I need to resubmit it, in the DEIR. But it's a safety report.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry, I didn't catch your name. Could you state that again.

MS. TOTH-SMITH: I'm sorry. For the third time, Pat

```
1
     Toth-Smith.
 2
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
 3
              Next speaker, please.
 4
             Hi, good evening.
 5
        MS. ROWE-SHIELDS: Good evening. I'm Michelle
    Rowe-Shields. And again, I concur with exactly everything
 6
 7
    Pat Toth-Smith said. Pretty much it would be a repeat.
     So, that's it.
 8
 9
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Oh.
        MS. ROWE-SHIELDS:
10
                            So that's it. And in opposition to
11
     this project.
12
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you.
13
              Everybody, if you agree with previous speakers,
14
    you can just say that. You don't need to walk through the
15
     whole presentation if somebody just said it before you.
16
     We have a lot of speakers to get through tonight, so we
     appreciate being concise, to the degree possible. Thank
17
18
    you.
19
              Good evening.
20
        MR. SHIELDS: Evening Commissioners. My name is Don
21
     Shields and I'm going to alert Kitty, in IT, that when I
22
     finish my brief comments, if she could have the DVD cued
23
     and play that for me.
24
              Dr. Constance Beutel and I collaborated on the
25
     development of a computer simulation of derailing tank
```

cars in Benicia's industrial park. This is a short video; 1 2 it's less than two minutes in length. The scene is played twice. The first is just with audio. And the second 3 4 time, there are titles overlay that essentially commentary 5 on the action sequences. 6 There are three issues I would like the 7 Commission to consider: CPC 1232 tank cars are not proven as safe. 8 9 Number 2, how will Waters End, Hillcrest 10 residents and the industrial park workers be trained, 11 warned and provided with protective materials in the event of a disaster. 12 13 Number 3, what are the economic and environmental 14 disaster recovery and business continuity plans to make 15 the community whole after a disaster? We urge you to make clear in writing to the City 16 Council, whatever your recommendations are, with your 17 rationale for your decisions for the benefit of all 18 Benicians. Thank you. 19 20 And if you could play the video. Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Do we have that video? 22 (Video playing.) 23 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you. 24 Next speaker, please. 25 MS. PRANGE: Good evening. My name is Jackie Prange,

1 and I'm an attorney with the National Resources Defense Council. 2 3 So I don't think there's any need to repeat a lot 4 of the valid community concerns that have already been 5 raised here, and I'd like to focus on the EIR itself as 6 you guys have requested. As it stands now, the Draft EIR is legally 7 inadequate. We'll elaborate more in our written comments 8 9 but I'd like to just give you -- highlight a couple 10 important areas. 11 First, the EIR does not disclose all significant 12 impacts, nor does it identify all feasible mitigation 13 measures and alternatives. 14 On air quality, the major flaw of the EIR is the 15 improper base line. This is a new project; therefore, you 16 must use the actual, physical existing conditions, not 17 hypothetical, permitted conditions as a baseline. 18 includes disclosing what the actual three putt is right 19 now; that information is missing from the DEIR. It also 20 includes disclosing what changes in crude slate will 21 happen, and how those changes will impact air emissions. 22 Those changes will cause significant air quality 23 impacts that must be disclosed and analyzed in the EIR. 24 API gravity and sulphur content are -- pardon the

pun -- crude measures, of the overall environmental

25

impacts on emissions. Bakken crude is more volatile, that 1 2 means it has more reactive organic gases, higher levels of toxic air contaminants. Tar sands is also very dirty and 3 4 poses unique air quality concerns as well. 5 Similarly, transportation emissions are 6 significant in the Bay Area, not just in the Sacramento 7 area. 8 The EIR admits that rail is more polluting than 9 re-transportation. Another major area that needs to be addressed is 10 11 the hazards. The EIR must disclose and analyze the 12 significant impact that an accident would have. There's 13 no doubt that Bakken crude is extremely volatile and that 14 tar sands is incredibly difficult to clean up. 15 If -- there's virtually no discussion of what 16 would happen to communities on the rail line if there were 17 a major accident. 18 Simply put, the City can't have it both ways. 19 can't claim that there's no significant risk because of 20 mitigation measures, and also claim that those same

mitigation measures are not enforceable because they're preempted.

21

22

23

24

25

And even assuming some of those mitigation measures would be implemented, such as the use of the 1232 tank cars, the risk would still be significant here.

1 I think as Mr. Straw and others have pointed out tonight, 2 those are the exact same type of rail cars that were involved in the Lynchburg accident in Virginia. And 3 4 that's why you're seeing concerns raised, not just from 5 environmental groups and community groups here, but other 6 public agencies. 7 Because air and hazard impacts are significant, the EIR must evaluate all feasible mitigation measures. 8 9 There are many mitigation measures available. We'll 10 detail those in our written comments. 11 And, at the very least, the project could reduce 12 offloading capacity. 13 In sum, the EIR must be revised to address these 14 and other concerns raised, and it must be recirculated for 15 public comment. 16 Thanks. 17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Okay. Our next -- looking for Jim Stevenson, 18 Norma, we have no last name for Norma, but Norma. Damien 19 Luzzo. Jon Van Landschoot. James Kreidler. And Giovanna 20 21 Sensi-Isolani. 22 Are any of those people present? We'll give them 23 a minute. 24 Jim Stevenson. Norma. Damien Luzzo. Jon Van 25 Landschoot. James Kreidler. Giovanna Sensi-Isolani.

1 And then following them, Madeline Koster, Matt 2 Biers-Ariel, Katherine Black, Kathy Kerridge and Rebekah Ramos. 3 4 Good evening. Just come on down to the 5 microphone. 6 MS. SENSI-ISOLANI: Good evening. My name is 7 Giovanna Sensi-Isolani and I am a 21-year resident of Benicia, and I have a business in Benicia for the last 10 8 9 years. And I am very concerned about approval of this 10 project for many reasons that have already been stated. 11 One of them is the whole idea of the cars that 12 are not safe, and we have found out from the previous 13 speakers that the new cars that have actually been tested 14 are no safer than the present cars, and that last 15 accident, 15 of the cars that tipped over were the new 16 ones and exploded. So it is really important that we keep 17 that thing in mind. I'm carrying Sunflowers today, like we did last 18 19 time, because we are trying to remember the 48 people who 20 died in Canada a little bit over a year ago. And I don't 21 want to see somebody else in a few years carrying 22 Sunflowers for people in Benicia who died from a similar 23 accident. 24 The other areas that I'm really concerned about

are the rail bridges and the tracks. I know that there's

25

been a lot of talk about bridges recently, and a lot of talk about their actual safety, and that there is very, very few inspectors that are actually going out and checking the bridges, that this would come through as one of our previous speakers talked about. So it's really important that that be addressed in the DEIR, that the tracks are actually safe, and especially the bridge that actually would carry the crude right into Benicia.

The other area that I'm concerned about was the idea of air quality. I don't believe that the air quality in Benicia is going to improve. Maybe the air quality in the middle of the Bay, where these ships will not be going will improve, but I don't live in the middle of the Bay, I live in Benicia. And the air quality is already very, very touchy in this area, as we all know. Many of us suffer from asthma and coughs, and that is because of that air quality. So I think the report should reflect the air quality in Benicia, not in the middle of the Bay.

The other areas that I'm concerned about is some sort of assurance that if something does happen, we're not left holding the bag like the town up in Canada was. The railroad went out of business, and the town was left holding the bag.

I know that personally I have to pay insurance when I get in my car and drive, with the possibility that

something might happen, and then I am covered and insured, that my responsibility will be met. I do not see why Valero does not hold insurance for some sizable amount of billions of dollars to assure that they would be held responsible if anything should happen in Benicia. I doubt very much whether any insurance company would cover them, and if no insurance company would cover them, then maybe the risk is too big for us, all the citizens in Benicia.

I have a business on First Street and I've talked to some -- quite a few of the people on First Street and they feel the same way as I do. I will make sure that before the 15th that we get letters from them.

And I do agree that a lot of people in town are not very well-versed and do not know what is going on, because it has not been publicized very much. It has begun to be publicized. On my way here today, I heard on KQED that this meeting was being held and that the public opinion was open until the 15th of September. So I applaud you for doing that.

So I really think that as a Commission, you really need to represent all of the citizens of Benicia and all of the businesses. Last meeting I heard one of the businesses that is right along the railway tracks saying that they have over 25 employees, and they are not sure whether they would be able to stay if the Bakken

1 crude oil comes through on these rail bombs, as they 2 approach our town. So if Valero does increase the jobs by 3 25 people, and other businesses in the industrial park 4 leave because they do not feel that they can hold their 5 businesses there and be safe, then it isn't like we are 6 really improving the total job situation in Benicia. The last thing I want to say is the line that 7 everybody's heard is we are going to have our own oil 8 9 here, we won't be expecting to get oil from other 10 countries, but the reality is there is no guarantee that 11 the oil that comes into the Valero refinery is going to 12 stay in California or even in the United States. There's a very good chance it will go to the highest bidder and 13 14 that probably will be China. 15 So I want you to keep your mind on all of us as 16 citizens, and remember that if we have to carry flowers 17 for people who died in Benicia, you're the ones who have to make a decision for all of us. 18 19 Thank you very much. 20 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 21 Good evening. 22 MS. BLACK: Good evening. Good evening, Mr. Chair, 23 and members of the Commission. My name is Katherine Black, I am a Benicia resident. I'm with Communities for 24

a Better Environment, the Sunflower Alliance and the Bay

25

Area Refinery Community's Coalition, but I speak today as a member of the Benicians For a Safe and Healthy Community.

I'm going to talk today about the consultants who were involved with drafting the DEIR. I mean no disrespect to City staff with my comments.

I was a lead litigation trial paralegal for over 30 years. I handled mostly complex civil litigation cases at a federal court level in many jurisdictions throughout the country and literally several hundred cases over the years. I was deeply involved with trial preparation and assisted attorneys at the counsel table while in court. In my position, I worked directly with high-level, highly educated expert witnesses and consultants. One of the things I learned is how these consultants work. It works like this:

They are given an answer that the attorney wants them to testify about, and then they work backwards to come up with the ways to reach that conclusion. This practice is common knowledge in the legal industry. The consultants that prepared this DEIR are no different. As an example, Valero has stated in the DEIR and in its propaganda, that the chances of a derailment are one in 111 years. This gives the impression that the chances of a derailment are very slim.

In order to say that, the consultants work backwards from that conclusion, manipulated the data, and use statistics going back 40 years when in reality, the shipments of dangerous Bakken crude exponential increase of rail-car derailments and disasters has only been going on intensively in the past few years.

In 2008 there was an average of 9,500 crude oil cars shipped annually. In 2013 there was an average of 434,000 crude oil cars shipped annually. That's a 40-fold increase with exponential increase in rail-car derailments.

If the consultants were to refine their data to cover since, let's say, 2010 through 2013, which would make the data more contemporary and accurate for today, their risk-analysis figures would be vastly different. I would like to know what that risk would be for that period. That is my question.

This is a clear example of how consultants start with their answer, then misrepresent or manipulate the data, or if need be, mischaracterize the truth and bury that mischaracterization deep in the DEIR where it can't be easily found, then come up with the answer that would be palatable for the Planning Commission and the public. Don't buy it.

Consultants that work on environmental reviews

come from the industry which gives them a bias towards 1 industry from the onset. Although the City pays them, the 2 City actually gets the fee directly from the applicant: 3 4 This was confirmed to me by Valero at their last 5 propaganda meeting at the Ironworkers Union Hall. 6 So in essence, it's Valero who pays the 7 consultants. He who pays the Piper chooses the song. Make no mistake, these consultants have no interest in 8 9 making Benicia safe and healthy, they have no interest in 10 clean air or clean water or keeping you or your family safe with this project. Their only interest is doing what 11 12 they need to, say what they need to say to keep their 13 client Valero happy, and get this project passed. If they 14 didn't operate in this manner, they would be ostracized by 15 their industry and not be able to obtain clients 16 elsewhere. This is their job. I implore the Planning Commission and citizens of 17 Benicia, please do not consider the DEIR to be without 18 huge flaws or Valero to be an authoritative figure and 19 20 believe that they have your best interest at heart. They 21 simply don't. 22 This project is all about maximizing Valero's 23 project or otherwise it would not be doing it, and it 24 would continue to obtain its crude by marine delivery.

There is no upside for the City of Benicia. Only an

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

upside for Valero, and a downside for Benicians by the increased noise, pollution, traffic, potential property devaluation and daily increased risks, which are huge. I implore the Planning Commission to please consider the health and safety of the citizens, workers and business owners of Benicia before Valero's profit. Please do not approve this project. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Next speaker, please. MR. VAN LANDSCHOOT: Hi, I'm Jon Van Landschoot. I've lived here since 1986, I guess that's 28 years. I want to identify myself with Roger Straw, what a great minister he is. And the lawyer who was here a little while ago, made me proud to be an ex-lawyer. And the video, I hadn't seen that before. My first thing is, to Amy, if I could, we've been having trouble with water lately, and so the City twice

My first thing is, to Amy, if I could, we've been having trouble with water lately, and so the City twice has sent everybody in town two things about water. Once was odd/even days, and then Monday, Wednesday, Friday; Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday. That was about water and that was all good.

Why in -- and I don't want to swear so I'll just say "bleep" -- doesn't the City tell everybody in town with an ad in the Vallejo paper, the CC Times and the Benicia paper what's going on? I'm the guy -- one of the

```
guys who puts up signs. I get people coming out saying:
 1
 2
     What's this all about? I heard about it. They know
 3
    nothing about it.
 4
             We've also had an enormous number of thefts of
    signs that have been stolen. A lot. But we've got a lot
 5
 6
    more, and I just keep putting them up.
              I have four quick things I want to talk about.
 7
     One is safety. Pollution. Water. And Petco. And
 8
    really? An environmentally superior project? I'm going
10
     to try not to laugh on that one.
              Safety: Now, I heard -- I know some folks that
11
12
    work for Vallejo, and a member of the fire department guy
13
    was here last evening, and he said, You know, we've been
14
     getting all kind of kudos and we got trophies. And, you
15
    know, for the last few years you've been getting from the
16
     State of California really good stuff, "We're really safe"
    and all that stuff. I say, "Cool. That's really cool.
17
    But I suggest two problems with that ..., " the lawyer
18
19
    here. There's a different product, and there's a
20
     different delivery system. It isn't the same ol' product
21
    and it isn't the same ol' delivery system that got them
22
     those medals and those trophies.
              The different product is that muck. And I'm not
23
24
     swearing, I'm just saying the word "muck" with an M.
25
    Because that's what it is. If you see it, you could
```

actually make a snowball out of it, except you wouldn't want to touch it with your hands. And I'm embarrassed because I'm from Canada and some of this stuff has come out of my old country, and I'm sad about that, but we don't need to use that here.

That stuff has a flash point after you add all the Benzene and stuff, on the offgas is 73 degrees.

Somebody said earlier that's room temperature. How many days in this town do we have 73 degrees weather if that thing spills? A lot.

The other one is -- oh, and the firefighter said "We've been trained." Not on this stuff you saw on that video. The trains aren't going to explode or derail in front of a police or a fire department or an emergency response group. They're gonna blow up or derail wherever they want to. Just recently, three or four weeks ago, in Seattle, underneath I think it's the Marigold Bridge.

Luckily they did not explode. What if they would have done that? Boy oh boy. Seattle is a pretty city.

The other one is the delivery system. The idea that -- and it says in here, and it says in the newspaper and stuff that it's better to come by rail because there's less accidents. Maybe I got it wrong, but was it a boat that wiped out Lac-Megantic? Was it a boat that wiped out Lynchburg and the James River, historic river, Jamestown?

1 How many boat accidents have you heard in the 2 world, not even in California, that have spilled oil in 3 the last, say, 10, 15 years, because of the double- and 4 triple-hull tankers? None. But they want you to believe 5 that the train is better. 6 They also want you to believe that there will be 7 less pollution. Well, they count the pollution only when it's here, because the Bay Area. But for the boats, they 8 9 count the pollution all the way from the Golden Gate up 10 here and all the way back. One way you can get rid of 11 that is electrify our dock. That way the ships do not 12 have to keep their diesels going to keep power for the 13 pumps. You don't have to do that. 14 Okay. I'm going to skip ahead. 15 The environmentally superior project would be to 16 keep Valero doing what it's doing right now. You say: "Where you gonna get the oil from?" 17 Well, North American crude oil. We want North 18 American crude oil. Mexico. Pemex will sell it here. 19 20 They have West Coast terminals. You can go on the 21 Internet; there's four or five of them together. Not too 22 far from Mazatlan. Check it out. They can get their oil. 23 Don't do this. Don't saddle this town with a 24 bomb. 25 Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 2 Next speaker, please. 3 MS. RAMOS: Good evening. 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Can I just hold you right there? 5 You want to take a break? Okay. I'm sorry to 6 interrupt, but I think we'll take a quick break after this 7 speaker. So if you want to go ahead. 8 Sure. Thank you. MS. RAMOS: 9 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 10 MS. RAMOS: My name is Rebekah Ramos. And I'm a homeowner here in Benicia and I'm opposed to the project. 11 12 I won't go and reiterate many of the questions and the gaps and the holes in the DEIR that have already been 13 14 reiterated. I'll try to focus on some unique things that 15 I did not hear. One of the things that -- you know, I know we 16 talk about this DEIR as being this incredibly technical 17 document, 800-plus pages. But based on all the questions 18 and holes in the DEIR, it really ought to be twice as 19 20 thick, and I know that doesn't make any of your jobs 21 easier, and nobody is looking forward to doing that kind 22 of due diligence, but I think we owe it to ourselves in this community, and not just in this community, but for 23 24 all the communities that are along the railroads that do 25 not get a vote. We are the only ones that get a vote in

this, and that's incredibly profound when you think about it.

This group of people standing here in front of us are going to decide the fate of not only our community and the trajectory that we take in the future, but the future of all communities that are on the rail. They get a voice, they can voice their opinions, but that's it. They do not get a vote, because of the way this process works. And I think that's incredibly significant and we cannot forget that. So please keep that in mind. We really do need to do our due diligence.

One of the other things that I noticed is that I don't feel this project is really consistent with Benicia's own Climate Action Plan, which makes a commitment to reduce emissions and to seek out alternative forms of energy. So I think that this project is entirely inconsistent with that.

One of my biggest concerns is really our ability to respond to a catastrophe, such as a derailment, a spill, or explosion. I did not see anything in the DEIR and I did not hear anything in the last month's presentations that convinced me that we're adequately prepared, except to call for help, because we do not have the resources to tackle this kind of catastrophic event; yet, there's plenty of data to be had just in the last

1 So many communities have suffered what we may be, year. 2 ourselves, be putting us in peril. We can pull that data 3 and use it in the DEIR to see what would actually be 4 required to respond to an event that would take place if we had a derailment, a spill or an explosion. That data 5 6 is not there. Are we prepared? We have not asked that question, and I'm really concerned that we are not 7 8 prepared. 9 Now, I know, and respect that Valero contributes a significant amount of money to our coffers. 10 11 Significant. 25 percent is no chump change. However, 12 what would happen to our coffers if we had a catastrophic event, not even one as big as the Lac-Megantic? What if 13 14 we had some kind of derailment, some kind of spill, some 15 kind of explosion, if there was property values to be 16 devalued? What happens to us in our City coffers when property values go down? What happens to our City coffers 17 if we're found liable because we're the only ones that get 18 19 a vote? No other communities get a vote. What if they 20 find us liable? What happens to our coffers? I know 21 Valero significantly contributes to our coffers, but that 22 doesn't mean that we are obligated to put ourselves and 23 other communities in harm's way by keeping them 24 profitable, by allowing them to continue to do more 25 dangerous types of operations that have not even been

```
1
     federally regulated yet. Why would we do this?
              I heard earlier that Valero is an anchor store.
 2
 3
    Benicia is not a shopping mall, and we should not be
 4
    making our decisions based on such models. This is about
     safety. This about our future, and what trajectory are we
 5
 6
     going to take our community and the communities in this
 7
     country into the future.
 8
             Thank you.
 9
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Okay.
10
              So the Commission is going to take a quick break.
11
     Can we hold it to 10 minutes or so, and be back about
12
     9:15?
13
              Speakers: Hold your positions. We'll just start
14
     up right where we left off and get back. Thank you.
15
              (Recess taken.)
16
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: Can we get started again? Thank
17
    you.
             We've called a number of names, and the people
18
19
    have not come forward, but I'm going to go back to the
20
    beginning of the list, in case there's any late-comers who
21
    haven't heard their name called and have arrived in the
22
    meantime. So names we've called previously: Rick
23
    Slizeski. Stan Lawson. Aline Nunes. Ken Miller.
                                                         Tim
24
    Rose. Jim Ponder. Greg Yuhas. Sam Scrutchins. Adrienne
25
     Sterrano. And Jim Stevenson.
```

And also Norma. Damien Luzzo. 1 James Kreidler. Madeline Koster. Matt Biers-Ariel. 2 And I think we have Kathy Kerridge ready to come 3 4 forward. Why don't you come forward and we'll get started 5 again. Thank you. 6 Good evening. 7 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN SPEAKER: Microphone, please. 8 MS. KERRIDGE: Is it on? Great. 9 I have a few comments just to make about the 10 It's inadequate in many ways. I'm just going to DEIR. 11 address a couple. Valero is and the DEIR is very 12 secretive about exactly what type of oil will be brought 13 here and exactly the sources that are crude. But we do 14 know from the listing that they provided, they want to 15 bring in Canadian tar sands crude and Bakken crude. 16 Jim Hanson, a noted climate scientist, has said the development of the tar sands means game over for the 17 18 climate. 19 Valero may reduce greenhouse gases here by this 20 project, but there's no discussion or analysis of how that 21 will be offset by bringing in tar sands, which releases 22 many more greenhouse gases in its production than regular 23 crude. This is a major deficiency. 24 What are the true greenhouse gas emissions considering everything? You can't just pick and choose 25

here, and that appears to be what the DEIR did.

What kind of crude will they be processing? What are the emissions from production of the crude through the refining of the crude for tar sands? Where is this in the DEIR?

Regionally we can focus much more on the safety of the transport of these extreme crudes. The DEIR overlooks its safety between Roseville and Benicia, as if these trains originate in Roseville. That's an extreme deficiency.

Every train route going into California passes through mountains. We know that these trains will come across the Donner Pass, through Feather River Canyon or through Dunsmuir. That's where the railroads go. These are all areas that have been designated as rail high-hazard areas by the California Office of Emergency Services; yet, there's no discussion of rail safety in these areas in the DEIR.

Dunsmuir had a horrendous rail derailment not so long ago that destroyed all life in the river for about 20 miles. The rail lines in California go over 7,000 water crossings. Five cups of oil can create a sheen of one acre of water.

I haven't come up with anything in the DEIR about these risks. Where is the analysis of the risk of these

dangerous rail routes in the DEIR?

Bakken crude has been involved in too many fire and explosive derailments to keep track of. The National Transportation Safety Board said in January 2014, as far as routing these trains, where technically feasible require rerouting to avoid transportation of such hazardous materials through populated and other sensitive areas. Yet they'll go through Sacramento, Davis, Fairfield, Dixon, Truckee and Benicia, as well as numerous other communities.

The idea of a derailment in a town like Davis, where the tracks run parallel to downtown and which has a history of recent derailments isn't really even discussed.

There's no discussion of what would happen if there was a major fireball derailment in the Sierras during the dry season.

Where is the analysis of the gravity of the risk in the DEIR? There's nothing that I have found. There's just a simple statement that says, "one every 111 years," when they've looked at 40 years, instead of the last two or three when all these accidents have happened.

There's no analysis of any depth about the emergency response teams in rural California. There aren't hardly any.

Take a look at the Rail Report that was submitted

1 earlier about the emergency response teams and the lack 2 thereof, and the fact that they don't have training and 3 they don't have equipment. Doesn't matter what Benicia 4 thinks; what about when this is going over the Donner 5 Pass? What about their emergency response teams? 6 It states that Valero will use the 1232 rail 7 cars, but these, as many people have said, have split and broken open already. There's no real analysis of why they 8 9 would be safer. Where is the analysis of those rail cars 10 in the DEIR? 11 Locally there have been a couple recent 12 derailments. July 7th there was some kind of spill. As 13 safe as Valero is, accidents happen. And the long and 14 short of it is, is Valero doesn't control most of this 15 project. 16 The DEIR does an inadequate job of dealing with the risk of derailment and subsequent explosions and 17 spills for the whole of California, and it does virtually 18 no job whatsoever when it talks about the actual risks. 19 20 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 21 Next speaker. 22 MS. KOSTER: Hello, my name is Madeline Koster, and 23 I'm here because I live within 300 feet of the Valero 24 property in what used to be called The Old Highlands. 25 And I understand that Valero pays quite a bit in

1 taxes, and taxes are based on profit. And we all pay 2 taxes, and I buy Valero gas at quite a high price, so I 3 quess that helps Valero with their profits. And also they 4 give donations, and I also give donations, even though I'm 5 a retired teacher and I've been working class my whole 6 life, but it turns out that when you give donations, charitable donations, it also reduces your taxes. So it's 7 kind of a win-win. 8 9 And I'm glad that Valero is making a profit, and 10 that from that profit we get taxes, that's somehow how our 11 nation is working, but from the DEIR -- I don't understand 12 why this hasn't been read before, but this is the Table 13 2-1, Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the 14 Valero Benicia Crude-By-Rail Project, Impact 4.1-1B: 15 Operation of the project would contribute to an existing 16 or projected air quality violation. Significant and unavoidable. 17

Impact 4.1-2. The project could result in a communi -- a cumative (sic) considerable net increase in criteria pollutant and own its own precursor emissions. Significant and unavoidable.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you probably all heard the song from long ago, it was kind of like the Star Bangled Banner in '76: "The sky was red thunder rolling overhead." Well, this was June 27 -- sorry, June 26th, exactly seven weeks

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 before tonight, there was thunder rolling over my house at 2 25 Corte Dorado. Thunder, thunder. My husband and I were outside, and it was like: What's going on? The thunder 3 4 in the sky? And one of my wonderful neighbors called me, 5 whose property is actually -- her fence line is on Valero 6 property, and she said, "Please call the Air Quality --Bay Area Quality Management District and find out what's 7 going on. And she said the sky is absolutely black with 8 9 smoke here. I'm talking about something on June 26th, 10 just seven weeks ago. 11 So I did call, and they explained to me that a 12

pipe had broken and there was a fire at Valero. Okay. This is just an ordinary thing happens, accidents happen.

So we've heard at least 20 people here, and in July say that Valero is perfectly safe about everything.

Well, you know what? No one is perfect. So an accident happened, and pretty soon we heard all the fire engines going up East 2nd Street. And so the fire didn't reach my backyard, I'm really glad about that.

And later I heard that Valero has paid the Bay Area Air Ouality Management District hundreds of thousands of dollars for violations of air quality. And I'm going: "What?" And I'm told also that the City of Benicia never gets a penny of this. And the City isn't notified of this.

```
1
              So, actually, just the other night, I did call
 2
    myself again, 1-800-334-OGOR, and I was told that this is
     true, and I was told that the City is not notified when
 3
 4
    violations occur, and does not receive any part of the
 5
     fine.
 6
              So I am urgently begging the Planning
 7
     Commission -- I actually gave Amy a envelope with the
    phone number, in case you don't have it. And you ask for
 8
     the refinery inspector, and I think that the City of
 9
10
     Benicia deserves to have a complete write-out of the
11
     frequency of these violations, how often they've occurred,
12
    how drastic they are, and what fines have been collected.
13
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm going to ask you to stop right
14
     there. You've run out of time.
15
        MS. KOSTER: Okay. Better safe than sorry. Thank
16
    you.
17
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Thank you.
18
             Next speaker. Not to my knowledge.
19
             Hello.
20
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Good evening.
21
        MS. NORMA: Hello.
                             My name is Norma. I think my card
22
     was called earlier. I just want to speak to this one item
23
     of the question of how is it -- how are the citizens of
24
     this town being informed in either -- are they given an
25
     accurate picture of Valero? Because I got a mailer --
```

probably everyone in town did -- from Valero, and it spoke about -- it was -- one of the points -- one was talking about how this would actually low -- improve air quality. You know, I'm skimming through it, wow. How can -- great. And then it said, you know, that the ships wouldn't be leaving their, you know, gas in the air. But as somebody else said, that should have long since been fixed by electrifying the dock so they can turn off their engines.

Anyhow, I looked at it carefully and it really meant -- implied to me very much that this project was going to improve -- and they show -- here too, on this thing, they cite a particular item in the DEIR, and they say that this will be a beneficial impact to air quality in the Bay Area, BAAQ, whatever -- people don't know what that means. "Oh, says right there they researched it and it's going to benefit," and they think -- they're thinking right here in Benicia. So that's not true.

I want -- is it average of air quality of the whole Bay Area? What's air quality going to be right here in Benicia? I'm afraid to say that it's going to be probably much worse. And I want to know if in this DEIR if I dig deep enough, am I going to find a data table with hard data showing what -- what the air quality is now, given the shipping and the transportation we right now

```
1
    have, compared to reliable, accurate data about what it
 2
    would be if this project were fully implemented?
 3
              If there isn't, I implore you to require them to
 4
     do that research and present it to you.
 5
              But I also -- I'm really shocked that Valero
 6
    would keep putting these little bullet points in there and
    not saying at least a footnote that, oh, of course it may
 7
    be much higher in Benicia, but average for the whole Bay
 8
 9
    Area would be improved. That would be truth in
10
    advertising. But this is -- I think they should be above
11
     doing these slight-of-hands, and people in the town here,
12
     they're not going to go to DEIR. They're just going to
13
     say, "Oh, what are these people complaining about? It's
14
     going to improve our air quality."
15
              So I would like you to ask Valero to not be
16
    pulling these snowjobs on our citizens and go specifically
17
     footnote, so nobody is fooled, you know.
              Okay. I think I'm done. There, I gave you two
18
19
    minutes. Bye, thank you.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
20
21
              Okay. Next speaker. And while this gentleman is
22
     coming forward, Nancy Reiser or Reeser. Jan Cox Golovich.
23
     Paula Szloboda. Roberta Rubinstein. And Aimee Durfee,
24
    D-U-R-F-E-E.
             Hi. Good evening.
25
```

1 MR. CARROLL: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Tom Carroll. I live at 495 Camellia Court here in 2 3 Benicia. I've lived there for 26 years. My wife and I 4 have raised three children, all went through the Benicia 5 schools. Been a great place to live. 6 During that time, Benicia really has prospered and we've all benefited from that. And during that time I 7 saw really a partnership between the community and the 8 9 refinery. The refinery benefits from the location close to 10 11 transportation, all the infrastructure available in the 12 former arsenal. Of course it's near the Greater Bay Area, 13 so a lot of customers nearby, and there's a well-educated 14 and skilled workforce here in Benicia. 15 The community benefits from all of the jobs, from the refinery being the largest employer, a lot of good 16 paying, middle-class jobs, all the property and use taxes 17 that the refinery generates that support essential City 18 services, critical funding for our school district. So 19 20 it's in our mutual best interest that the refinery remain 21 a viable entity. 22 Unfortunately, our energy markets have changed. 23 It's no longer really possible to be competitive 24 processing all the imported crude oil.

25

Our current president and the presidents before

1 them -- or him, have stated that as a nation we should 2 have a goal of energy independence. American-produced crude oil means jobs for other Americans and their 3 4 communities, which we should also support. 5 Most new American crude oil, it's new in fields, 6 is transported by rail, because pipelines do not exist to 7 move it any other way. Crude-By-Rail means less crude moving through the 8 9 Bay and less exposure to oil spills. But rail safety is a concern, as it should always 10 11 The City should require the rail offloading facility 12 is designed with current best practices. It should engage 13 with our elected federal representatives to urge the 14 federal government to improve rail safety requirements 15 related to oil. 16 But in summary it's in our mutual best interest for our community to ensure that the refinery remains a 17 18 viable entity. This project is needed both by the 19 refinery and the community to ensure our future 20 prosperity. 21 And Crude-By-Rail can be designed, operated and 22 built safely and I urge you to support the project. 23 you. 24 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 25 Hi. Good evening.

MS. GOLOVICH: Hi. Jan Cox Golovich, and I'm going to talk about the DEIR.

Now, last time I was here, I handed out to all of you one of these little packets. I had it all prepared and I know you took it home and read every word with bated breath, but there's been some updates since then and I want to talk about those.

My packet was about the letter that our four congressmen wrote to the Feds because they were so concerned about the risks of crude-by-rail. And in that letter, they asked the Feds to do four things. One of them was to provide a report to the level of compliance by the railroad and petroleum industry to the May 7th Emergency Order. As we all know, the Order was called -- the Feds called crude-by-rail "an imminent hazard." So we all know that it's dangerous. So any talk up here about how safe it is, the Feds have already said that it's a danger.

So what is the status of that? Well, I don't know if the Feds have provided a report to our congressmen, but I can tell you from my own research that one of the -- at least one of the voluntary measures that was in this Emergency Order has not been followed; and in fact, it's been openly defied, and that is, the voluntary measure to slow down the trains to make them safer. When

in fact, last week, BNSF announced that not only weren't they going to go slower, that they were going to double the speed from 30 to 60 miles per hour. Okay.

Why is this important? It's important because in the DEIR, the assumption is that all of these voluntary regulations are going to be followed and everything is going to be wonderful, when in fact the DEIR needs to make the assumption that these aren't going to be followed, that they're only voluntary and there's no way to enforce them. So in that way, this DEIR is totally inadequate. It needs to go back and be redone and recirculated.

Why did BNSF do that? Why did they say they need to make the trains go faster? Well, as it turns out, our rails are overcapacity, and the crude-by-rail is just in its infancy. If all of the projections pan out for crude-by-rail, there's going to be double the rail cars, and our rail system will be in really big trouble.

At this point in time the Farmers Union, the National Farmers Union, have written to the Feds to say their agricultural crops are not getting to market on time because the Crude-By-Rail is clogging up the rails.

There is nothing in the DEIR that addresses the fact that when buildout is done, that our rails are going to be over-capacity, that it's going to impact Amtrak across the nation, and it is already impacting our

1 agricultural products that are so vital to our nation, 2 including our big wheat production. The letter asked that the Feds expedite their 3 4 regulations on the rail cars because there is none right 5 now for crude-by-rail. And the Feds did put out a draft 6 regulations about a month ago. They're very, very, very weak, and they don't address the issues that the 7 congressmen asked for. One was the positive train control 8 9 that I won't go into because Roger Straw already brought 10 that up. There's no mention in the DEIR about positive train control, and that's a mandated legislation. It's 11 12 supposed to be in place by the end of 2015. It will not 13 The railroads haven't even been close to complying 14 with that. But it should be addressed in the DEIR. 15 They asked that the Feds expedite the phasing out of the .111 trains rail cars. These draft regulations do 16 not do that. It's a slow -- a slow phase-out of them. 17 And there's also exemptions. 18 19 So again, our DEIR assumes that the upgraded 20 trains will be on the road, and they need to not do that. 21 Thank you. I saw the light. 22 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm going to have to stop you 23 there. 24 MS. COX GOLOVICH: I'll just send you another lovely 25 packet that you can spend your evenings reading.

```
1
    you.
 2
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
 3
              Next speaker, please.
 4
        MS. DEMPSEY: Hello. I'm Mrs. Dempsey from the Glenco
 5
    Vallejo/Benicia community. I'm not sure if my card got --
 6
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry, I missed your name.
 7
        MS. DEMPSEY: Mrs. Dempsey.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Oh, thank you.
 8
 9
         MS. DEMPSEY: I'm not sure if my card got shuffled
10
     somewhere in the process.
11
              I am here in opposition of the Valero project.
12
     In review of the Environmental Impact Report, there
13
     appears to be numerous questionable assertions in support
14
     of the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project. For the most part,
15
    nearly all of the various potential impacts on the
16
     environment and risks to public safety have been deemed as
17
     less than significant and with no mitigation required.
              Some of the EIR contentions are flappable and
18
19
    would be laughable if the circumstances were not of such
20
     dire significance.
21
              There's a couple of examples I want to bring up.
22
    Under Impact Item Number 4.2-7, it's noted that the annual
23
     output of 730 annual cars passing through the Suisun
24
     Marsh, a federally protected wetland, that we as a public
25
     should not worry about any of the potential derailment, or
```

breach of the integrity of any tank car, because the 1 2 statisticians have estimated that such an event would not 3 likely occur within 262 years. I don't know where they 4 pulled that number from. 5 As you are aware, the DOT has indicated that over 6 the last year we've had more derailments than we have over 7 the past 40 years. There's also, under item -- the DEIR Item Number 8 9 4.3.4, we've been advised that as a public we shouldn't 10 worry about our historical resource and unique 11 architectural resource of Benicians as our California's first state capital. You know, we don't -- there are so 12 13 many things that we have to be concerned about. 14 Obviously, loss of limb and life is at the premium, but we 15 also need to take into account that this is a very 16 precious gem as California's first capital that we need to ensure its continuance. 17 I am aware that Valero has a very active 18 19 philanthropic contribution program, which is admirable, 20 but it should be noted that these contributions are only a 21 minuscule representation of Valero's total profits, and 22 that their contributions are tax deductible.

And in closing what I would like to say that:
Benicia Officials, please remember that the short-term
financial benefits of the proposed Valero project can

23

24

25

never be outweighed by the huge public health and safety 1 2 risk that are at stake. You officials may be in your 3 positions for a limited period. But your decisions 4 regarding this project may sentence the public to a legacy 5 of harm that might span over decades, perhaps a century, 6 and for our future generations to inherit. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 8 Next speaker, please. 9 Then -- hi. Yeah, just come forward. Good evening. 10 11 MS. DURFEE: Hi. My name is Aimee Durfee. I live in 12 Martinez, and I'm with the Martinez Environmental Group. 13 As you know, Martinez is the home of Shell and Tesoro 14 refineries. And we currently have volatile Bakken oil 15 trains coming through our town every seven to ten days in 16 the east. We didn't have any public hearing to stop them. So we know how those Davis and Sacramento people feel 17 about this project. And we're kind of starting to feel 18 like collateral damage between all of these different oil 19 20 projects, and this one is no exception. There's a few reasons why Martinez residents are 21 22 concerned about this project. There are a few of us here tonight. The first issue is actually relevant to broader 23 24 than just Martinez, which is: What are the precautions 25 being made to protect the water supply in Contra Costa

County? We are in a drought, and if there was a derailment in Yolo County or Sacramento County, that's where most of Contra Costa gets our water. So where is that in the EIR?

The second question: What if the Valero refinery has a temporary shutdown? I know that refineries do that from time to time. If the cars keep coming in, they're going to backup, and where are they going to go? They may end up in Martinez, because we have a large switch yard over there. Has our city been notified? The DEIR doesn't address this.

And the third issue is: What are the plans to respond to a spill? If there was a spill on this side of the Bay, it would head on over to us, and it would poison our marina. It would affect many other towns in the Carquinez Straits. Is Valero going to assist our town when that happens? "When" it happens. Is Valero going to assist other surrounding towns?

I just want to finish with another point, which is a lot of people have been coming up here and saying that they're worried about Valero not being competitive, Valero going out of business, about Benicia becoming like Vallejo. I just want to remind you, as the Planning Commission, that you have the responsibility to take the long view. And that according to British Petroleum, we

```
1
     only have 53 more years of oil left on the entire earth.
 2
     So in my lifetime, all five of these refineries are going
 3
     to be out of business. And so why would you endanger our
 4
     towns, our health, our livelihood for such a short-sided
 5
    reason?
              Thank you.
 6
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                            Thank you.
 7
              Next speaker, please.
              Hi.
                   Good evening.
 8
 9
         MR. KIRCHOFFER: Gentlemen, good evening. My name is
10
     Jim Kirchoffer. I'm from Benicia.
11
              The Draft EIR in Section 4.72, states that,
12
     quote, A project could pose significant hazard to the
13
    public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable
14
     upset and accident conditions -- I think that means a
15
     wreck -- involving the release of hazardous materials into
16
     the environment, parentheses, less than significant,
17
    parentheses, quote.
              That sounds like an oxymoron, but whatever.
18
19
              The consultant for this project, for this EIR,
20
    provided a figure of the quote, estimated risk of an
    accident resulting in a release of more than 100 gallons
21
22
     is approximately 0.009 per year, which corresponds to an
23
     estimated frequency of occurrence of once per 111 years.
24
     We've heard that figure mentioned two or three times
25
     tonight. I've seen it two or three times in newspapers,
```

1 the last one in the Sacramento Bee. It seems to have taken a life of its own. 2 Since the section itself notes four derailments 3 4 involving, quote, a significant fire and/or explosion, 5 quote, in the past year, I was puzzled about the 111-year estimate, and asked an actuary friend of mine in San 6 Francisco to confirm the consultant's estimate. He said 7 he would need to see the actual figures used in order to 8 9 give an answer. I request, therefore, that until the 10 actual figure which the consultant used are made available 11 for confirmation by independent actuaries, further action 12 on this entire area be delayed. 13 Numbers are important. Let me share a personal 14 experience with numbers and percentages. When requesting 15 a second cortisone injection in my back for pain, I was 16 told that those on warfarin for anti-coagulation therapy -- that's me -- ran the risk of even the slightest 17 18 amount of blood going into the spinal column and causing 19 one to become a paraplegic. I asked what were the 20 percentages. He said .05. I passed on that injection. 21 The risk-reward was, shall I say, unacceptable. 22 So is Section 4.7-2. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. While you're coming forward, still looking for 24 25 Nancy Reiser. Paula Szloboda. Adela Fernandez, followed

1 by Rodney Robinson and Cheryl Zook. 2 Hi. Go ahead. MS. SZLOBODA: So I'm Paula Szloboda. 3 Oh, hi. 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: 5 MS. SZLOBODA: And I have been a resident in Benicia 6 for 22 years. I'm also health educator and a health 7 activist and a professor. 8 I would like to concur with many of the 9 statements that were previously made by other speakers, 10 especially the one that the DEIR draft is flawed, because 11 it only looks at the best possible outcome, and it fails 12 to look at all of the possible problems for upline people. 13 Like the previous gentleman who spoke right 14 before me, I'd really like to see the actual figures that 15 were used to calculate the risk of an explosion happening 16 once in 111 years, given how many explosions there have 17 been just recently. I'm also really wondering how we can call this a 18 "clean project," when we're using the dirtiest possible 19 20 oil in Bakken crude, and how are we calculating that the 21 greenhouse gases will be reduced when we're using oil that 22 is known to produce much more greenhouse gases in its 23 production. 24 I've attended all of the meetings that Valero has 25 sponsored, and a couple of things have really struck me.

1 I've heard many times when people have asked what is the 2 plan for dealing with the catastrophic emergency. The 3 answer seems to be: We have a great safety record. 4 true answer, when pushed, is: We don't have any 5 additional safety plan in place. 6 I haven't seen any blast zones calculated. That information hasn't been given to the people who live and 7 work in those areas. 8 9 And I think also we need to think about the 10 totality of this project. As a community, we have a grave 11 responsibility to the other communities who we are putting 12 at risk by this decision. Two speakers ago or three speakers ago, there was 13 14 a woman who spoke about not just thinking about the 15 immediate profitability, but thinking about the long-term 16 cost. And I would also like us, and all of you who will be making these decisions, to think about our 17 grandchildren's grandchildren, and what decision will 18 19 ensure the safety of our environment and our future, not 20 just in the immediate future, but in the long-term future. 21 Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 23 Do we have another speaker lined up back there? Again, Adela Fernandez. Rodney Robinson. Cheryl Zook. 24

Followed by Andres Soto. Sheila Clyatt. Ethan Buckner.

25

1 And Jane Koski. 2 Why don't you just come forward. Yeah. Hi. Good evening. 3 4 MR. SOTO: Good evening. My name is Andres Soto and 5 I'm a resident here in Benicia and my son, his wife and 6 his two sons also live here in Benicia. And I'm here to urge you to find that you need to 7 recirculate this EIR because it is fatally flawed. 8 9 I've spent most of my life in Richmond, and I 10 currently work in Richmond for Communities for a Better 11 Environment. Also a member of Benicians for a Safe and 12 Healthy Community; Sunflower Alliance. And this is like 13 déjà vu all over again. 14 You know, when we were in Richmond dealing with 15 the project and their Environmental Impact Report, we 16 heard folks from building trades unions come up and say, "Oh, you know, Chevron is like the Cadillac of 17 refineries, " and we're hearing that same kind of refrain 18 19 here about Valero. 20 And then, of course, there's always the threat 21 that: "If the project is not approved, well, we may have 22 to reassess, you know, whether or not we can viably stay 23 here and move out." 24 These guys aren't going anywhere. It's all about

location, location, location. Access to deep-water ports,

25

and urban populations. That's why they came here and that's why they're going to stay here. All that's just, you know, Chicken Little.

I do know one thing is that when these refineries, because their management makes decisions to override workers, as well as OSHA, what you find is that, you know, you get a catastrophic event like we did in Richmond in 2012, and Richmond's property values went down, because the refinery's operations were shut down, as well as the general harm to the business properties and the residential properties. And now the City of Richmond is dealing with the debt because of that, and slashing services.

Valero's business model does not involve extraction. So these guys are depending upon the open market. They're going after the Bakken crude and the tar sands, because it's discounted. It's cheap. They're being cheap about this.

And so if we can stop projects like this that put our communities at risk, we will actually help to stop the demand for this project -- for this product.

And we also know that Valero is a nonunion facility. So that's also part of their business model.

And, you know, so you're going to have to ask yourself a question: Do you trust big oil and big rail to protect

1 your safety? I think the history of the United States 2 shows otherwise. This whole idea about energy self-sufficiency, 39 3 4 of the sources listed in there are only 6 from the United 5 States. 39 are from outside of the United States. 6 When they derail, this material cannot be 7 extinguished. It burns out. The result is contaminated land, contaminated air, and contaminated soil. 8 9 And we already know that the dot 111s, 112s and 10 1232s, the 1232s may be safer than these others, but they 11 are not safe, and we have to put our health and safety 12 first. 13 The whole idea of electrification of the port is 14 not even examined, and it should be if they're really 15 about reducing emissions. But we know they're not about 16 reducing emissions; they're about making profits. 17 They can use solar, just like Chevron finally agreed to install 60 acres of solar because of community 18 19 pressure at the Richmond refinery. 20 And then, you know, when it comes to the 21 cumulative impacts, that's not even really accurately 22 discussed. Another grounds for the recirculation. What about water consumption? This refinery 23 24 consumes the equal amount to the rest of the City of

Richmond. What kind of water diet are they going to be

25

1 on? Are they going to comply with that? Those signs up 2 there? And then, you know, we also know we can't trust 3 4 the Air Quality Management District right now. We're 5 actively in a suit against their district and Kinder 6 Morgan for giving them a permit to bring in this Bakken and tar sands into Richmond, without any kind of CEOA 7 review. And that hearing date is on September 5th. Come 8 9 to it, in San Francisco. 10 And then I'm really ashamed of the Solano County 11 Board of Supervisors for not weighing in on this. Yolo 12 County has already done it. We know the Attorney General 13 is going to do it. And I think that the City of Benicia 14 and the County of Solano should consider, like Contra 15 Costa did, and the City of Richmond did, in establishing 16 an industrial safety ordinance, an ordinance that actually gives you some authority to regulate the activities of 17 18 safety in the refinery beyond relying upon regional 19 sources. 20 So once again, urge you to recirculate this 21 fatally flawed EIR. 22 Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 24 Any more speakers in the back? Adela Fernandez. Rodney Robinson. Cheryl Zook. Sheila Clyatt. 25

```
1
     Buckner. James Koski.
 2
              Okay. How about Mark Sally? Bobbi Rubinstein.
    Greg Mitchell. Davita Atwood -- or C-A-W-O-O-D. John
 3
    Matthews. Bill Welch.
 4
 5
             Hi, just come forward.
 6
        MR. MATTHEWS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. My name
 7
     is John Matthews. As a long-time resident of Benicia, and
     as a chemical engineer retired from the petroleum refining
 8
 9
     industry, I ask that you approve the Draft Environmental
10
     Impact Report for Valero's Crude-By-Rail Project.
11
              Preventing (sic) the refinery from bringing in
12
     crude-by-rail will be beneficial not only for the economic
13
     effect on our community, but also for our nation, by
14
    providing Valero greater flexibility to choose crude oils,
15
     especially those from domestic sources.
              The Draft EIR has shown environmental benefits
16
     for our community by reducing air emissions, and economic
17
18
    benefits by creating additional local jobs.
             Valero's commitment to safety and operations is
19
20
     demonstrated by the excellent safety record that the
    refinery has maintained over the years.
21
22
              For these reasons, I believe the Valero
23
     Crude-By-Rail Project deserves your support. Thank you.
24
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
             Next speaker, please.
25
```

MR. SAITZ: Hello. My name is Frank Saitz. I'm a 54-year resident of Benicia, California. I'm only here today to speak on behalf of the project and I just want to remind everybody in this room of 9/11, the day of infamy and epiphany, when all those planes crashed.

If we could have foreseen that event, we wouldn't have had those planes fly that day. But we couldn't see it coming, could we? And all we're doing today is talking about what could have, should have, and might happen. We don't know.

But at the end of the day, Valero has done their due diligence. They went out of their way to provide this Commission, the City, and so on, so forth, with all the information required and necessary to get this project off the ground.

I think the opposition, in their minds, are in the right, because of what they're seeing as derailments. But, you know what? At the end of the day, you know, the marine life and waterways, are just as much impacted if something goes wrong, too. And the only thing is, nothing has yet, but if it does, we'd be complaining about the way we bring the oil in through the waterways.

So we're always looking for an out: We can't do it this way because of this. We can't do it that way because of that. At the end of the day, I believe that if

there was an emergency, it would be handled. Nobody would be harmed; minimal damage would be done. It's not like everybody is just going to turn their cheek and look the other way. Responders would be there, and the cleanup would take place, and it would be minimal to any environment.

And as far as wetlands and protected refugees -refuges around the areas where the rail cars would be
coming in and out, I believe a hundred percent that the
Union Pacific Railroad, they might have a lot of traffic
on the railways, but they have controllers who watch that.
They're not trying to put trains to where they're going to
collide with each other and have emergencies. They're
very due diligent about how they transport anything on the
rails, whether it be produce, like the one lady spoke of,
or cars, or oil, whatever it may be.

I think a lot of the talk here tonight has been about the derailment, the crowded railways, so on, so forth.

At the end of the day, it really comes down to:

Is Valero doing their due diligence in providing the oil to arrive here safely and efficiently and still be able to make a product where they can make money, keep their gates open so they continue to employ, not only Benicians, but other surrounding areas?

1 And that's all I have to say. 2 And I hope the Commission, when they go down and 3 they go over all the statements, and all the paperwork 4 they have in front of them, they look at the big picture, which is: You can't predict the future. And if we could 5 6 have, 9/11 would have never happened. 7 That's all I've got. Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 9 Next speaker, please. 10 Hi. Good evening. 11 MR. MITCHELL: Good evening. I'm Greg Mitchell and 12 I'd like to yield my time to Phil Daum, who is a rail car 13 expert. 14 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. 15 MR. DAUM: Hi. Good evening, Commissioner, Chairman, and all Commissioners. My name is Phil Daum. 16 17 senior managing consultant for Engineering Systems, Incorporated in Aurora, Illinois. 18 19 I have attended a couple of Valero-sponsored 20 public outreach meetings in March and June of this year, 21 and I'm glad to be here tonight to be a resource to you. 22 In evaluating the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project, you are faced with making decisions and applying your 23 24 knowledge on railroad operations on hazardous material 25 transportation safety, on tank car design, on tank car

derailment performance, and on risk management strategies.

Valero has asked that I be here tonight to be a resource for you on these topics.

You should know a bit about my background and experience. I have 33 years of experience in the rail industry. This includes my work as director of engineering for Union Tank Car Company, and my selection as a program director for two international consortiums that improve tank car safety through research.

A current focus of my career is in accident investigations to determine root causes, and to use that information to continuously improve the safety of hazardous material shipments.

Based upon my experience, I was selected to investigate the derailments at Lac-Megantic, Quebec; at Casselton, North Dakota; at Plaster Rock, New Brunswick and at Lynchburg, Virginia.

This is important to you because these are some of the accidents that are being presented by opponents, that -- where they point to these as the reasons to not pursue the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project here at Benicia.

I want you to benefit from some of my personal experiences as an investigator on these accidents. This will allow you to identify the facts and the opinions that matter in the decision regarding rail safety, and the

industry's continued focus on safety.

One of the issues is federal preemption, which holds that the authority to regulate railroad operations and tank car standards belongs to the federal government.

Note that the rail cars delivering crude oil to Valero comply with the current -- comply with and will be current with federal regulations as they develop. All the relevant rail cars such as the -- referred to as the Legacy DOT 111 tank cars will not be used or accepted by Valero for delivery of crude on this project.

Valero rail cars have thicker shelves, stronger steel, rollover protection for the top fills, external head shields that guard against puncture in the event of derailment.

A few weeks ago DOT announced proposed rules for crude oil and other flammable materials. Among other things, these rules proposed enhanced tank car standards, a testing and classification program for the materials that are being handled by the tank cars, railroad operational requirements for high-hazard flammable trains, or trains that carry more than 20 cars.

Note that Valero is ahead of the proposed requirements, as they will not be using any of the Legacy DOT or 111 rail cars on this project.

I mentioned some of the accidents and

investigations in which I participated. My work was sponsored by the RSI-AAR Railroad Tank Car Safety Research and Test program.

Since the 1970s, the railroads, the tank car owners, the (inaudible) industries, have worked together with U.S. and Canadian governments to improve tank safety standards for railroad tank cars. This tank car safety project conducted research and testing with U.S. DOT and Transport Canada to evaluate design concepts for improving the survivability of tank cars in the -- tank cars in accidents.

This research led to safety features such as the head shields, the double-shelf couplers, the bottom fittings protection, the top fittings rollover protection, and other features that are incorporated on the newer rail car designs that Valero will be using in Benicia.

Union Pacific's plan to split the unit train into two equal halves, and to use two locomotives, one at the head end, and one imbedded further back, in each 50-car split, also contributes to safety. This provides exceptional control for braking the 50-car split which, if it must be stopped quickly, in an emergency.

This will also reduce the time required at grade crossings for switching the cars in the facility.

I'm glad to be here tonight to answer any

```
1
     questions. And I thank you.
 2
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you.
 3
             Next speaker, please. Hi.
 4
        MS. CAWOOD:
                     Hi. My name is Davita Cawood.
 5
    resident of Benicia. I've been a resident of Solano
 6
     County for almost 20 years.
              I am -- I'm a concerned citizen because I feel
 7
     like I have lack of information. And I live up near 5th
 8
 9
     Street, up the hill, and I feel several of my
10
     common-resident area people have had lack of information.
11
              I feel that I'm gonna have some noise pollution,
12
     air pollution, quality-management issues, that haven't
13
     really been addressed.
14
             And I'm not totally against this project, it's
15
     great that we have jobs, but the thing is, I think you
16
     guys really need to just look into this a little bit
     further before making a hasty decision.
17
              And I'm concerned, what is it going to be like 50
18
19
    years down the future? 20 years down the future? Are you
20
    guys going to be here on this Council saying yes or no?
21
     Is the next generation going to be able to handle this
22
     okay? I think we need a little bit more safeguards in
    place. I think we need to say, if there was an accident,
23
    we have the resources to handle this.
24
25
              I really think that we need to look into it a
```

1 little bit further. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Okay. Mark Sally. Bobbi Rubinstein. 3 4 Welch. Desiree Labar. Gino DiCaro. 5 Hi. Good evening. 6 MR. WELCH: Good evening. My name is Bill Welch. 7 Dear Planning Commissioner, Members. Thank you for the opportunity to speak this evening about this vital 8 9 project that affects the future of the Valero Benicia 10 Refinery and also the city of Benicia. I am a resident of Benicia and lead chemist for 11 12 the Valero Benicia Refinery laboratory, and I would like 13 to make -- read a statement for your consideration. 14 Please think for a moment about what makes 15 Benicia such a wonderful place to live: Vibrant parks, a 16 public library and community center, and safe streets, 17 just to name a few. Now imagine Benicia, if the tax revenues used to 18 fund vital sources like our fire department and police 19 20 department were severely reduced. That is what would happen if Valero no longer 21 22 operated in our community. 25 percent of the annual 23 general fund revenue would vanish. It is an ever-changing economy, many industries 24 25 are adapting and finding better and more efficient means

of operation to ensure continued stability.

In Benicia's case, this new means of productivity is the addition of a third-option transport: Crude oil by rail.

Crude-By-Rail is a cost-effective method crude transport that would allow Valero Benicia Refinery to remain competitive in a shifting marketplace, and among the other Bay Area refineries. It would also reduce the risk of spill compared to current modes of crude transportation. Benicia has thrived since the addition of Valero to our community.

According to a recent economic study, Valero contributes 7 million in taxes to the City of Benicia each year, and has donated 13 million to local charity causes in the last decade.

The refinery directly employs 450 local workers, and requires an additional 250 contract workers on site each day. The economic activity associated with the refinery supports 3,900 jobs in the region. Allowing the Valero Benicia Refinery to implement their proposed Crude-By-Rail Project would only see these numbers increase. More jobs and tax revenues are good for Benicia.

I encourage you to support Benicia's future and the future of our wonderful town by approving this

```
1
    project. Thank you very much.
 2
              Respectfully submitted, Bill Welch.
 3
              Thank you.
 4
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
 5
              Next speaker, please.
 6
        MS. LABAR: Hello.
 7
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Hello. Good evening.
        MS. LABAR: I'm Desiree Labar. I'm a Benicia resident
 8
 9
    and I'm here this evening to express my support for the
10
    Valero CBR project.
11
              This project will benefit our community in a
12
    variety of ways, including, most importantly, an overall
13
    net decrease in greenhouse gas emissions.
14
              The project will also help ensure Valero's
15
     viability as a source of jobs and economic activity for us
16
    here in Benicia, Solano County, and statewide, where this
     industry directly or indirectly employs more than 300,000
17
18
    people.
              The Environmental Impact Review process found
19
20
     that the project will not adversely impact the
21
     environment. In addition, it complies with all California
22
     Environmental Quality Act requirements.
23
              Valero Benicia's a responsible company and a good
24
    neighbor. They are committed to safety and to the
25
     community. Please take the necessary steps to approve
```

1 this project so that our community can start to benefit 2 from the project's positive impact. The Valero CBR project is good for our economy 3 4 and good for our air. Please join me in advocating a swift approval on this project. Thank you. 5 6 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 7 Good evening. MR. DiCARO: Good evening. Thank you, Commissioners. 8 9 My name is Gino DiCaro, and I'm the vice president of Communications with California Manufacturers and 10 11 Technology Association. 12 CMTA has been around since 1918. I certainly 13 have been around only since 1996 at CMTA. 14 CMTA represents manufacturers on many issues, 15 helping them to operate competitively in California. Of 16 course, it's important that we all support manufacturers, as our workers earn some of the highest wages among all 17 18 sectors, and the manufacturing sector investments create 19 tremendous ripple effects in our economy. 20 Valero's Crude-By-Rail infrastructure Project 21 will ensure the refinery remains a strong, healthy and 22 safe member of the local community. By investing in the 23 refinery's ability to remain flexible and competitive --24 underscore "competitive" -- the City of Benicia will

benefit from the strong tax base and high-paying jobs it

25

1 | provides the community.

As manufacturers, decreasing our dependence on foreign oil, of course, is very important, as our fleets require the least expensive fuel to get our products to market.

By allowing Valero to access North American crudes that, as of now, as you know, are not readily accessible in Benicia, we are lessening our dependence on foreign oil, and providing consumers and the manufacturing community with discount fuel that will help the economy grow.

We'll see jobs grow at Valero in Benicia, but also within the rest of the manufacturing community in California and Valero's supply chain. That benefit can be realized with a positive environmental impact.

The Environmental Impact Report conducted by the City of Benicia shows there will be no net increase in emissions from refining crude oil, and a reduction in marine delivery of crude, which will result in lessening of emissions of delivery. I apologize for the duplication there.

The environmental and cost benefits, along with Valero's impeccable safety record, and its commitment to using railroad tank cars that meet or exceed all rail safety standards should give Benicia and other communities

1 ample reason to support this project. 2 I myself live in West Sacramento, and I work in downtown Sacramento, both locations of which are close to 3 4 the rail proposal from Rocklin to Benicia. I as an 5 individual support this proposal, as well. 6 And I can't, of course, leave tonight without 7 emphasizing how your decision will help the overall business climate for manufacturing. California's 8 9 manufacturing growth currently lags the rest of the 10 country. Since 2010, California's grown its manufacturing 11 employment base by less than half percent. The rest of 12 the country has grown by more than 6 percent. 13 Manufacturing investments also lag the rest of the 14 country. In 2013, we had only -- California had only 1.5 15 percent of the country's new and expanded manufacturing 16 facilities. We were among the worst in per-capita 17 investments among all states. California and Benicia simply must do better to 18 attract its fair share. This project in Benicia, by all 19 20 accounts, is a win for everyone: The economy, our 21 middle-class workers, manufacturers, the environment, 22 Benicia and Valero. 23 CMTA asks for your full support in Valero's 24 proposal to bring less expensive crude safely to the City

25

of Benicia. Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Mark Sally. Bobbi Rubinstein. Alan Miller. 2 Dale Cross. Dan Smith. 3 4 Hi. Just come on down to the front, whoever's 5 ready to go. 6 MR. SMITH: Hi. I'm Dan Smith. I've lived in Benicia 7 since 1987. I'm a homeowner and a former member of the City Council on the Economic Development Board. 8 9 Valero and the fossil fuel industry are currently a huge part of Benicia's economy. Given the realities of 10 11 the peak oil science, this apparently may not always be 12 the case. I, like many people in Benicia, hope that the 13 Benicia Refinery can remain competitive throughout the 14 rest of the fossil fuel era. 15 Recent financial statements show that Valero, as 16 a company, is doing quite well indeed. And the Benicia 17 Refinery is one of the newest and youngest in the nation. The job of this Draft Environmental Impact 18 19 Report, however, is not to ensure Benicia refinery's 20 competitiveness in the industry. It is to measure the 21 prospective environmental impacts for expanding the 22 refinery's rail yard. 23 Simply put, I think the recent safety history of 24 transporting oil by rail is given very short script in the 25 Draft EIR.

1 Union Pacific's federal exemption, in particular, 2 makes this history a critical environmental factor. 3 For reasons enumerated by my predecessors up 4 here, I think that the evidence that this is a significant 5 safety risk to Benician residents and workers is so 6 underestimated, that the Commission should reject this 7 Environmental Impact Report as inadequate. 8 Thanks for your attention. 9 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 10 Hi. Next speaker. 11 MR. MILLER: Hello. I'm just taking pictures of 12 myself. There I go. There I am. 13 My name is Alan Miller. I represent the group 14 SOFIVA, S-O-F-I-V-A. It means sticking our foot in 15 Valero's ... it's actually not a real group, it's just me. I have lived 50 feet from the railroad tracks in 16 the City of Davis, California since 1987. 17 I felt very safe until the year 2006, when I was 18 19 standing next to the tracks, next to my house, and Union 20 Pacific ran a liquid petroleum gas train westbound, 21 through a left-handed crossover, with a posted speed of 10 22 miles an hour at 47 miles per hour. If you want to have the crap scared out of you, you should see liquid 23 24 petroleum gas cars rocking back and forth. The train damn near derailed. And a friend of 25

mine who is a track engineer said, "It probably should 1 2 have." I didn't think much of it until in 2009, Union 3 4 Pacific ran an eastbound train, with hazardous materials 5 in it, that I also witnessed, through the same switch, going over 30 miles an hour, a 10-mile-an-hour switch. At 6 that point, I realized this was not just one engineer who 7 messed up, this was a problem. 8 9 So I called the Surface Transportation Board, who 10 told me, "Well, there was no accident, so we can't 11 investigate it." 12 So I called the FRA, who investigated it, and 13 said, "Oh, yes, in the Union Pacific manual, the west 14 switch at Davis actually should have had the numbers 15 reversed." 16 And I said, "What the hell does that have to do with the near accident that I just witnessed and reported 17 to you?" 18 And they said, "Oh, we don't regulate that. It's 19 20 a crew issue with Union Pacific." 21 These are the federal bozos who investigate 22 safety. Now, apparently, according to Valero, there is 23 24 unlikely to be a similar accident to the one in Canada because, well, in Canada, that was a human error. I ask 25

1 you: What is going through a switch at 47 miles an hour?
2 It's supposed to be 10. That's human error.

What about, when on the sidetrack -- what they call the "West Pass" in Davis -- the California Northern ran the train too fast and shoved a bulkhead flatcar across both main lines? Had an oil train been going by, such as happened in North Dakota: "Boom." That's what would have happened. That was human error.

What about when a car got stuck on the tracks -due to human error -- and the capital corridor rammed into
it and the car burst into flames and derailed the train,
just outside of Davis? More human error.

What about when a train running through Davis westbound in about 2005 -- eastbound in 2005, and a trailer fell off the side of the car, holding onto the side of the train, scraped the side of the train that was parked in the tracks next to it. Right in the middle of Davis. Human error, they didn't tie down the car well enough.

Okay. How do we prevent this? You can't have flammable liquids going through the middle of the population centers of California. Must be nice to live in this town, you have a big hill in between you and the refinery and the railroad. The upline towns do not.

Therefore, I present to you:

The Oil, Flammable and Hazardous Gas Cargo 1 2 Northern California Rail Bypass of Populated Areas. miles long. Approximately three to four billion dollars 3 4 in cost. This will bypass every single town that is 5 currently threatened: That includes Oroville, Marysville, 6 Roseville, Sacramento, West Sacramento, Davis, Dixon and 7 Fairfield. It uses mostly old rail routes. There are 11 8 major civil structures that would have to be constructed. 10 I have this entirely mapped out, and it is a viable 11 alternative. 12 There was \$2 billion potential harm in Canada. 13 So this will cost Valero three or four. Pretty good 14 insurance, if you ask me. 15 So I say to you -- I say to you this evening, 16 that not only should Valero build this rail bypass, I demand it. I demand it. 17 Smile, everybody. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 20 Next speaker, please. 21 MR. CROSS: I'm not sure I can top that. My name is 22 Dale Cross. I'm a resident of Benicia. I've lived, worked here, most of the last 45 years. 23 24 My children and grandchildren were raised here, 25 and have attended or are still attending Benicia schools.

We greatly enjoy the small-town, family-friendly 1 2 atmosphere of Benicia. We enjoy the city parks, recreational areas, the waterfront, public library, public 3 4 schools, and the great infrastructure that the City 5 enjoys, made possible, in large part, by the tremendous 6 tax base and philanthropic efforts of Valero. I'm also director of a local camp and mentoring 7 program for foster kids. And Valero has generously 8 9 supported this program for the last 10 years, and a 10 tremendous number of foster kids have benefited from that. 11 I have read the Benicia Crude-By-Rail Project, 12 Draft EIR report, that I found with no problem at all by 13 Googling and getting onto the City website, which I found 14 lots more information about the project. 15 The Draft EIR shows that there will be a net decrease in greenhouse gas emissions. It will result in 16 no significant environmental quality impact for Benicia in 17 18 California, including refinery emissions, rail safety, 19 noise and traffic. 20 It will significantly boost the local and 21 regional economy by creating over 20 full-time jobs, 22 good-paying jobs, and 120-skilled craftsman jobs during 23 the construction period, and generating millions in taxes,

25 reduce the U.S. dependence on foreign oil.

24

wages and economic benefits. It will also reduce -- help

Valero is working to ensure they stay competitive 1 2 in this commodity market, while at the same time creating as little impact to Benicia residents and businesses as 3 4 possible. 5 Valero's Benicia Refinery is constantly monitored by multiple government agencies, including the Bay Area 6 Air Quality Management District, and is required to meet 7 or exceed the criteria, as set forth by these numerous 8 9 agencies. 10 With the Valero Benicia Refinery being one of the 11 most advanced refineries in the nation, and having a 12 commendable safety record, this project will allow them to 13 stay competitive and continue doing what the refinery was 14 designed to do: Be one of the safest and most 15 environmentally friendly refineries in the country. 16 Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Next speaker, please. 18 Okay. How about Sil Preciado? Craig Snider. 19 20 Dave Fry. Larry Oppenheimer. Jasmin Powell. 21 Hi. Good evening. 22 MR. FRY: Good evening. My name is Dave Fry. I'm a 23 13-year resident of Benicia. 24 First off, I'd just like to state that I support 25 the Crude-By-Rail Project here in Benicia.

1 I wrote this great monologue here, but most of 2 it's been said, so I'm going to summarize. So the Crude-By-Rail Project provides Valero 3 4 Benicia Refinery a third means of receiving crude oil, 5 while reducing emissions, increasing jobs in Solano 6 County, and reducing foreign crude (inaudible). The crude-by-rail is a safe, cost-effective 7 method of crude oil transport that allows the Valero 8 9 Benicia Refinery to remain competitive in a shifting 10 marketplace and gain a competitive edge among the Bay Area 11 refineries. 12 A reputable third-party company hired by the 13 elected Benicia officials compiled and quantified the data 14 in the Draft EIR. The data is real and accurate. 15 Many folks have called the data in the Draft EIR 16 voodo magic. But just because we do not understand how the mathematic computations work, does not mean the data 17 18 is false. Commission must look at the facts, decide without bias, on this matter, and not rely on newspaper 19 20 write-ups as appropriate, variable data. 21 Benicia needs Valero. And Valero needs the 22 Crude-By-Rail Project to stay competitive. Without 23 crude-by-rail, the Valero Benicia Refinery will cease to

Do not let our beautiful town turn into oil.

exist, and so will Benicia in the long run.

24

25

1 Thank you for your time. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Hi. Good evening. 3 4 MS. POWELL: Good evening. My name is Jasmin Powell, 5 I'm a resident of Benicia, and have been for the past 42 6 years. 7 I'm also a business owner in the industrial park and I am currently president of the Benicia Industrial 8 9 Park Association. 10 I support the validity of the EIR and believe 11 that the EIR to be complete and acceptable. There's no 12 quarantees in this world; however, if I was going to 13 choose a company to be in charge of this project, I would 14 choose Valero. 15 Valero has proven itself over the years to be a 16 good community partner and a safe employer. Valero has such a high safety history and safety standards that 17 18 Valero's earned the Cal/OSHA VPP Star Site designation. 19 There's only two refineries in the state that hold this 20 designation. It's quite an honor and not something that's 21 easily achieved. 22 This is proof that Valero goes above and beyond 23 when it comes to setting, maintaining a safe work 24 environment for the company and its employees. Valero has 25 proven that safety is of the utmost importance to the

```
1
     company and to our community. The Benicia Industrial Park
 2
    Association supports Valero and this project and requests
     that the Planning Commission approve this project.
 3
 4
              Thank you.
 5
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
 6
             Hi. Next speaker.
 7
        MR. PRECIADO: Good evening.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Good evening.
 8
 9
         MR. PRECIADO: My name is Sil Preciado, and I'm a
10
     Benicia resident for 20 years, and I'm here in support of
11
     the project. I'm going to leave my notes in my pocket to
12
     try to abide by your request to not repeat.
13
              I agree with the folks that are speaking in favor
14
     of the project.
15
              Just mention two points. One, there was mention
16
     about refineries not being shut down in urban areas,
     earlier. All you've got to do is look down in the L.A.
17
18
     Basin, there's been a shutdown there, and other places
19
     across the nation.
20
              The other point that I want to make is, you know,
21
    as long as we keep driving, these fuels are going to come,
22
    whether they come in these crude rail cars, to be refined
23
    at Valero. If they don't come in the crude rail cars,
24
     they'll come in gasoline, diesel. As long as we are
25
     driving, there's a demand for them; they're going to show
```

They're going to show up in rail cars and by ship. 1 up. 2 So it's going to happen. And that's all I have to say. 3 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you. 4 Looking for Larry Oppenheimer. Craig Snider. 5 Followed by Dave Dickey. Rick Stierwalt. And Frank 6 Saitz. 7 Hi. Good evening. MR. SNIDER: Hi. My name is Craig Snider. I was the 8 9 regional environmental coordinator for the Forest Service 10 for about 10 years out on Mare Island, basically all the 11 national forests in California, looking at a lot of 12 environmental impact statements, environmental analyses, 13 and so forth. 14 My wife and I, during our weekend, reduce our 15 carbon footprint. We put some solar panels on the roof, 16 we drive an electric car, and recently acquired a hybrid for longer distances. I would submit that if more people 17 18 did that, we wouldn't even be talking about this right 19 now. 20 One of the concerns that I have right away 21 looking at this is that, as somebody earlier pointed out, 22 the DEIR seemed pretty biased, as if it was designed to 23 basically rubber-stamp the Valero proposal as they wanted 24 it.

One reason for that, an obvious one to me, is

25

this Alternative 3, the offsite unloading terminal. That alternative alone should have been more of a preferred alternative, or at least an environmentally better one, because that essentially would eliminate all of the issues that people are having in town here, with the proximity of the tank cars and so forth to the people in town, and all the impacts it would have on the industrial park, and so forth. And yet when you read the Impact Report, it just, you know, kind of: Well, yeah, I guess, you know, it's not really all that much better. But, wow, it would be like night and day to pipe the crude in from someplace else and have the depot, basically the terminal, at a different location. It would be a game-changer, really, as far as Benicia goes, and allow the refinery to tap that crude without bringing the risk into town.

Second thing that I was concerned about were the human effects, particularly as they relate to the section on hazards, Hazardous Materials Analysis, Section 4.7, because it's going to affect communities, not just this community, but communities elsewhere, as other people have pointed out. So the idea is that by inviting 100 tank cars a day into the Valero refinery, that action adds to the significant risk caused by the recent uptick in these high-hazard flammable trains that we're seeing throughout the country.

And you don't have to really take it from me.

One of the gentlemen back here pointed out, we've got some new regulations proposed to deal with these types of trains. And I was looking to see, you know, why do they want to do these regulations. And so this is what they say. They say, this notice of proposed rule-making is intended to address serious safety and environmental concerns revealed by various recent train accidents and incidents involving high-hazard flammable trains.

This rule-making is proposing requirements designed to lessen the frequency and consequences of train accidents involving unintentional release of flammable liquids and high-hazard flammable trains.

The growing reliance on trains to transport large volumes of flammable liquids, particularly crude oil and Ethanol, poses a significant risk to life, property and the environment. These significant risks have been highlighted by the recent instances of trains carrying crude oil that derailed in Casselton, North Dakota, Aliceville, Alabama, and Lac-Megantic, Quebec, Canada, and recent instances of trains carrying Ethanol that derailed in Arcadia, Ohio, and Cherry Valley, Illinois.

These regulations just came out on August 1, a couple weeks ago. They're just starting to look at them now and make some -- you know, think about them, and get

1 some comments on them, and you have an opportunity for the 2 public to weigh-in, and experts to decide whether or not 3 these regulations are adequate or not. And some folks 4 here have indicated that they don't think that they are. 5 But some of the things that they address are 6 really important, I think, to what is going on here. 7 These regulations include things like notification of State Emergency Response Centers, or other appropriate 8 9 state-delegated entity of petroleum/crude oil train 10 transportation. 11 Phase-in requirements for updated braking devices 12 and braking systems. Sounds to me like we've got outdated 13 braking systems and devices now if we need to update them. 14 And speed restrictions for rail cars that do not 15 meet the State for DOT specification, as well as a slow 16 phase-out of the .111 cars. So, you see, there's a lot of things in these 17 18 regulations that are important to be settled and 19 determined before approving this project. And that would 20 be just --21 My final point is, no decision on the proposal

My final point is, no decision on the proposal should be made until these new regulations governing high-hazard flammable trains -- that's their term. A new term, because it's a new concept that hasn't been dealt with well in the regulations up until now. Until those

22

23

24

25

regulations are fully vetted and finalized in accordance 1 2 with law, there should be no decision on this proposal. Thank you. 3 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 5 Next speaker, please. 6 Larry Oppenheimer. Dave Dickey. Rick Stierwalt. 7 Frank Sautz or Saitz. I'm not sure if it's S-A-U-T-Z or 8 S-A-I-T-Z. 9 Okay. Already spoke. 10 Anna Rikkelman. Teresa Jensen. Larry 11 Fullington. 12 Hi. 13 MS. RIKKELMAN: Good evening. My name is Anna 14 Rikkelman. I've been residing in the Bay Area for 25 15 years. 16 I'm speaking in front of you tonight to highlight my support of progress, protection of the environment, 17 18 positive influences on our Bay Area economy and the 19 creation of jobs, all of which are noted in the EIR draft. 20 I'm here tonight to speak in support of Valero 21 refinery's Crude-By-Rail Project. 22 First and foremost, my reference to environment: 23 Valero has consistently supported and worked to improve 24 the environmental safety and modernize the refinery in 25 Benicia. Past projects approved by the Benicia Planning

1 Commission have seen success in reducing emissions, 2 waste-water discharge and overall energy consumption. Transport of crude-by-rail will significantly reduce air 3 4 emissions by shifting away from transport-by-ship, just as 5 noted in the Draft EIR. The Crude-By-Rail Project is the 6 next step to improve the refinery while keeping in 7 compliance with the strict environmental standards set forth by local, state and federal regulatory agencies. 8 9 Regarding progress, the project is not the first 10 of its kind, so why all of this attention and hullabaloo? 11 The need to transport crude-by-rail has grown 12 significantly in the past few years as America has found 13 significant domestic energy sources throughout the U.S. 14 Just as recent in the August 1st and June 11th Wall Street 15 Journal, it was reported that crude-by-rail projects to 16 help transport domestic resources to other parts of the 17 country are growing. These projects are growing. 18 projects are being approved in other parts of the country. 19 The project here provides more of an opportunity 20 to also buy American by using domestic sources instead of 21 sources outside of the U.S. and abroad. 22 When you look around this room at those who 23 oppose the Valero Crude-By-Rail Project, I really have to

question: Is the opposition really about the technical aspects of this project? Is it about the Draft

24

25

Environmental Impact Report? Or is it really about progress and opposition; opposition to anything and everything that may look, smell or feel like progress?

And finally, regarding positive impacts to our Bay Area economy and job creation. These Bay Area refineries are huge employers in our communities. They provide great paying jobs and they have wonderful benefits, even into retirement. There aren't that many employers or that many industries out there anymore that offer such.

The Valero refinery alone employs 450 workers, with an additional 250 contractors and consultants who support this refinery on a daily basis. It's projected that this project would create about 120 skilled craftsman jobs during construction, and at least 20 additional full-time jobs.

And the refineries are also, as well as Valero, very good charitable supporters of the community. This refinery alone, I understand, has given almost \$14 million to local charities over the past 10 years. Comments were made earlier tonight sort of sneering at those statistics. You know, for any of those of us in the room who have been unemployed in the past, as I have, you know, one job, even if it's 20 jobs, just to have one of those 20 would be a great asset to have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If anyone in this room has ever fund-raised, maybe \$14 million to local charities over the past 10 years isn't a big deal, but if you've ever fund-raised or had some personal pet causes of charitable organizations, even a \$100 donation means a whole lot. I do believe that this Valero refinery's proposed Crude-By-Rail Project does provide a new opportunity to continue to refine crude oil in a very safe, environmentally conscious way. It's also going to decrease emissions, increase domestically source crude and create jobs, all of which are stated in the Draft EIR. It's a good thing. It represents progress. I'm happy to speak tonight in support of the project. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Hi. Good evening.

MR. FULLINGTON: I'm Larry Fullington. I've lived in town for 29 years. I think history, reputation and track record are important segments in responsibility in handling -- I'm sorry -- in sustainability of a project, especially one of this degree. This refinery has been in this town since 1969, much longer than many of the homes in our town. It was built and operated by Humble, and then Exxon, and then ExxonMobil until Valero came in in 2000. During that entire time of 45 years, I don't believe there's been even one recorded case of a tank car

overturning, rupturing and exploding on the refinery grounds. This seems to me like a whole lot of safety and good responsibility.

As to safety of Valero specifically, they are one of the safest in the nation. They have received the prestigious VPPP Star award from Cal/OSHA for going above and beyond the Cal/OSHA safety standards and procedures.

They have maintained this every year since 2006 and have gotten recertified three different times since that time. They truly care about safety and they practice it.

Now, let's talk about the volatile cargo that will be coming in. There are many types of crude used in processing the finished products, some more volatile than others. But there is no crude coming in now, or will be coming in, that comes even close to the volatility of some basic, everyday products. These products, such as propane and butane, have been produced at refineries for years. I don't recall anyone protesting the production of these products. The protest started because the Benicia refinery, Valero, wanted to get a permit to upgrade their premises and to make their business more efficient safely.

As to the transporter, the Union Pacific company is one of the most prestigious firms in the country, and has a long and distinguished safety record in our nation's

1 history.

The Draft EIR says that an all-train release accident from Roseville -- not all over the country -- from Roseville to here, is a probability factor -- and there's where the "111 years" comes from. In the Suisun Marsh, the factor is once every 262 years. That's pretty much off the charts. And these people are qualified people. They're doctorate degrees, they worked hard, they earned what they got, and I suspect they are integrity.

One of the favorite examples used by the opponents of this project seems to be the terrible accident that happened in Lac-Megantic, Canada, last year. As we know, an unmanned train got loose, ran down a hill doing 60 to 70 miles an hour, derailed and blew up. It killed 47 people. It was tragic.

Also, the circumstances up there were not even close to the situation here in the Valero refinery area. There's no comparison. And the reason I say that, Valero's project would be on level land, no hills to race down, the speed limit is 10 miles per hour, which it would probably be much less than that on numerous occasions. If a car derailed at that speed on level ground, it would probably do exactly what it did do recently, just sit down on the road dead, with no significant damage. As I recall, they were back in business in about half a day.

1 Also, Valero will be using only the new 2 reinforced cars for the project. And they're gonna be made by reputable, first-class companies; Greenbrier is 3 4 one of them and Trinity is another one. 5 After 45 years, with no tank car explosions at 6 this refinery's entire history, I would say that bodes well for the next 45 years. 7 Now, let's look at some of the other benefits 8 that Valero brings. I don't want to go into too much 9 10 repetition, because you've already heard them. 11 20, full-time jobs, good-paying jobs, Benicia needs those. The 120 skilled craftsman that will be here during the 12 13 construction phase, Benicia needs those. The millions in 14 taxes, wages, economic benefits, of course. 15 Reduce our dependence on foreign oil, that's number 2, behind safety, with me. That's absolutely 16 vital. 17 Ensuring our city can continue to provide by the 18 19 services that, yes, they gave 24 percent of our general 20 fund last year; I won't dwell on that too much, you've 21 heard that recently, but it is significant. 22 In the last decade Valero and their employees, as 23 previously mentioned, \$13.7 million to various charities. 24 These are good citizens who care about their city. Valero is a responsible, safety-oriented company, 25

1 and we are fortunate to have them as a good corporate 2 neighbor and partner. I urge you to support this project. 3 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 5 Next speaker. 6 Okay. Teresa Jensen. Nick Daspota. Dan 7 Broadwater. Wafa Alfatesh. Jimmy Zimmerman. Tamara 8 Barjick. 9 Hi. MR. DASPOTA: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is 10 11 Nick Daspota. I'm a resident of Richmond. I've lived 12 there for 30 years. 13 A lot of the discussion tonight has focused on 14 the benefits of the project, but I want to focus your 15 attention more carefully on the question exactly who 16 benefits. All of the assertions made over the last couple of hours need to be examined more closely. 17 18 Perhaps you've heard, perhaps you know this, that since 2005, the average consumption of petroleum, gasoline 19 20 in particular, in the United States, has been declining 21 since 2005. Over the last 10 years there's been a decline 22 in the consumption of gasoline, according to the U.S. 23 Energy Information Administration. And the reason is, 24 because of greater fuel efficiency and more locally because of the recession, people drive less, they don't 25

use their cars as much, consumption goes down.

Corporation invested in the current paradigm, no less than Exxon, forecasts that by 2035 there will be a 20 percent decline in the consumption of petroleum products, and Cambridge Energy Association makes the same prediction of 20 percent decline. So why this enormous increase in the transport of crude?

Well, the answer is obvious. It's for the export market. It's not for the domestic market. So we have to ask ourselves: Who benefits from this? It's not that we will enjoy greater energy dependence or lower prices, because those prices are set on world market. The people who benefit are not residents of this area. They're the entities that license the land, they're the shareholders of the energy companies, they're the shareholders of the railroad companies. Those are the people who benefit.

And who bears the burden? Long term, the people who bear the burden are in this room, are in this community. They bear the risks. And the longer that we delay a transition into a clean energy future, the longer we put off the support for wind, hydro, solar, the more we risk long term. There's a kind of a technological imperative that works here. Maybe it's a capitalist comparative: Because it's possible, it's necessary.

Well, because it's possible, it isn't necessary.

1 We don't want to go down this route. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 3 Next speaker. 4 Good evening. 5 MR. BROADWATER: Yes. Good evening, Commissioners. 6 And thank you very much for your time allowing me to speak. My name is Dan Broadwater. I'm business manager 7 of IBEW Local 180 in Napa, California, but my jurisdiction 8 9 is part of Solano County, which entails the Valero 10 refinery. I did turn in a letter of support for the 11 project. A lot of the items that I've put in my letter 12 have already been spoken about tonight. So there were a 13 couple other items that I heard this evening that I 14 thought was worth addressing. 15 And several of the speakers have been very 16 passionate about their opinion, you know, on the project, 17 and I just keep going back to the fact that, with your position up there: It's about the law and it's about the 18 19 facts, and that's what you're going to base your opinion 20 It doesn't matter about how I feel or what any of 21 these other folks feel about this project. It's about 22 facts-specific about this project, and about this document 23 that we're talking about here. 24 If I'm not mistaken, you know, the way I 25 understand it, the Draft Environmental Impact Report will

1 become the Environmental Impact Report, and hopefully be 2 approved, and approved by the City Council, is it's a local document. I hear all of this talk about the 3 4 upstream issues with the railroad and Bakken crude and all 5 of this stuff. I think all the facts are in the 6 Environmental Impact Report. If those folks don't choose to do the research and read it themselves, it's a 7 complaint that maybe they haven't been informed about it 8 9 and stuff, get online like the rest of us did, and read 10 the thing. It's not our fault that some people aren't 11 savvy enough to get the information on their own. 12 You know, talk about being personally involved 13 with a project like this. My family moved to Benicia 14 right at the beginning of the war, and my grandmother 15 loaded bullets out there at that place, and all during the 16 war. Grandfather was a carpenter. When that refinery was 17 built, he worked out there, you know. So, I do have ties to this city. I have more 18 19 ties than just my family. I represent the people that 20 make their living out there, that help support this city. 21 I hear all this talk about these billionaire oil 22 refineries and big business and stuff. Man, I wish every 23 person that worked out there at that refinery was a 24 millionaire. Due to the stock that they own in that 25 thing, they deserve it. They work hard for it. And who

am I to tell somebody how to do their business, how 1 2 they're going to bring their crude in here? Shame on 3 anybody that would dictate to a company how to run their 4 business. You know? 5 So, please: The facts. Utilize the facts to 6 make your decision. Thanks. 7 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Hi, good evening. 8 9 MR. RYBARCZYK: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Tom Rybarczyk is 10 yielding his time to another person who has a speaker card 11 submitted, Mr. John Flynn. 12 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. 13 MR. FLYNN: Chair Dean and members of the Commission, 14 my name is John Flynn. I'm an attorney assisting Valero 15 on various legal aspects related to the Crude-By-Rail Project. Good evening. 16 What I'd like to do tonight in these brief 17 remarks is add some additional, and I think essential 18 19 context, to the discussion about the review process for 20 the project DEIR. The purpose of an EIR, as you've heard 21 already, is to disclose project impacts, project 22 alternatives, and to propose mitigation measures where 23 necessary. But there are numerous exemptions under CEQA. 24 Not every development project approved by a government 25 agency in the state of California is subject to CEQA

1 environmental review. That's how the law works. 2 how it's worked from the beginning. 3 More to the point: CEQA applies only to 4 discretionary approval. And there is no City discretion 5 involved in the operation of railroads. That's a 6 fundamental fact about this project. That's because we decided as a nation, not as a state, not as a county or as 7 a city, but as a nation, a long time ago that railroads 8 9 were so important to moving people and goods around the 10 country, that a patchwork of rules that change from state 11 to state, county to county, and city to city, would be 12 completely unworkable. 13 It doesn't take much imagination to comprehend 14 that reality. 15 So the exclusive right to regulate railroad operations belongs to the federal government. The word we 16 17 use to express that reality is "preemption." So what are the implications of preemption for 18 19 the DEIR review process? 20 First of all, it means that the DEIR, now out for 21 public comment, goes above and beyond -- far above and 22 beyond -- what CEQA requires. In fact, it is our view 23 that the DEIR even goes beyond what the federal law 24 permits. Since the City has no legal authority to regulate railroad operations, the City could, therefore, 25

have legally excluded impacts of rail operations from the DEIR altogether, but the City has not done so, with the obvious intent of promoting full disclosure.

The City has also correctly acknowledged in the Draft EIR that there are federal limits on the City's discretion to approve railroad operations and to impose mitigation measures and conditions of approval.

I know that everyone in this room would agree the context is essential to any fair discussion about anything important. Half-truths of course serve no good purpose. And context in this case includes the reality of our federal legal system, and the preemptive right of the federal government to regulate the railroads.

The effects of rail operations are, therefore, outside the discretionary authority of the City of Benicia, and are, in addition, therefore, outside the scope of CEQA, and certainly cannot justify any delays in the review process for the DEIR, and it is precisely because of that federal preemption. That the fact that there is a rule making pending currently with respect to tank car safety standards, that that cannot be an excuse -- one that's been kind of urged upon you by a number of speakers so far tonight -- that cannot be a reason because of federal preemption. It's a decision-making process that belongs exclusively to the

1 federal government. That cannot be a reason for delaying 2 the permitting process for this project. Now, does that mean your voice -- and I'm talking 3 4 now to everybody who is listening in the room, otherwise, 5 television, wherever they might be listening -- does that 6 mean you don't have a voice about tank car safety? No, it doesn't. It does mean that the right agency is the 7 Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Administration in D.C. 8 9 And that comment period is still open. It's open until 10 September 15. It's not right, fair or reasonable for 11 anybody in this room to say or make demands upon this City 12 to do things that it doesn't have the power to do. But 13 there is an agency where that voice can be heard, and 14 that's where those comments should be directed. 15 The City has drafted an EIR for review that it 16 can be proud of, going above and beyond. The importance 17 of that aspect of this process cannot be overlooked in tonight's discussion or hereafter. 18 We look forward to a full hearing on the EIR and 19 20 on our project application at the earliest time possible, 21 and I thank you for your consideration of my comments. 22 COMMISSIONER DEAN: All right. Thank you. 23 Hi. Good evening. 24 MS. ALFATESH: Hi. Good evening, Commission, Board, Staff and my fellow neighbors. My name is Wafa, and I'm a 25

Benician citizen. I'd like to begin by thanking you for 1 2 giving me and the people before me an opportunity to get up here and voice our opinions on this project. 3 And as a Benicia citizen and someone who's had 4 5 some background in environmental engineering, I gave the 6 City of Benicia's Draft Environmental Impact Report a very 7 thoughtful consideration. And after my review, I really did believe that it was very thorough, complete and 8 9 comprehensive and pretty clear. And like people said 10 before me, just because we didn't understand some things, 11 doesn't mean they're not true. We should really be 12 focusing on the facts here. 13 And, yeah, so I do support the EIR going forward. 14 Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 16 Jerry Zimmerman. Tom Rybarczyk. Chris Price. Kevin Coleman. Ed Yarbrough. 17 18 Hi. Just come forward, whoever's ready. 19 Good evening. 20 MS. PRICE: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the 21 Planning Commission. 22 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Could you say that again. 23 MS. PRICE: I said, Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and 24 members of the Planning Commission. 25 My name is Christine Price. I've been a Benicia

1 resident for two years, so I'm very new to this, and I 2 just would like to reiterate that I'm not a supporter at 3 this point of time for this rail project going through. 4 My property backs onto Valero open space, and my 5 personal experience -- well, first of all, when I bought 6 my property backing up onto the open space, nothing was disclosed to me by Realtors or anything, that this project 7 was even in the works. I would have seriously considered 8 buying a property in Benicia had I known these things. 9 10 Anyway, I'm a concerned citizen, that not enough 11 information has been made public. I knew nothing about 12 this project until somebody called me and said, "Get a 13 copy of this report." And I'm not saying that I don't 14 know all of the things that have been staged about Valero. 15 I believe tonight you wanted comments on the draft report. 16 I believe it is flawed based on many things that have been said tonight. I have read it. There's a lot I do and I 17 18 don't understand, and I come from nearly 30 years as a legal professional. 19 20 So I'm just standing up here to say, I'm a 21 regular, retired person, come to live in Benicia. I 22 believe my house property will go down if this project 23 goes through. 24 And I would ask that you would very carefully

tonight, would discern between what's been said at this

25

```
1
    meeting regarding the EIR report and everything else,
 2
    because that's what I -- we were informed by Mr. Chairman,
     that we were to speak on tonight.
 3
 4
              And I just appreciate everybody who spoke from
 5
     the opposition of this report going through at this time.
 6
     It needs to be looked at very, very carefully, from every
    angle. And I believe that you have a responsibility to
 7
     get more information out to the Benicia public, regular
 8
 9
    people. People in my area know nothing about this
10
    project.
11
              There's another thing I'd like to say is:
12
    Accidents do happen. It happened to me two weeks ago.
13
    Not one accident happening is worth the loss of a human
14
     life, because when you have had like a child die
15
    prematurely in a tragic accident, nothing stands the risk
16
     of you not looking at what the risks are of bringing a
    project like this, the impact on Benicia that it will
17
    have, if there is an accident.
18
19
              Thank you very much.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
20
21
             Hi, next speaker.
22
        MR. YARBROUGH: Hello. My name is Ed Yarbrough. I've
23
     lived in Benicia for 42 years. My wife Linda and I have
24
     raised our family here. I have two sons and four
25
     grandchildren that live in this wonderful community.
```

I support this project one hundred percent.

And I'm also a retiree of the Benicia Refinery.

I worked there 35 years. In my work there, I was over the fire department. I was over the rail lines. I was over the docks. All of oil movements. I had responsibility for equipment reliability. And the one thing I can say is that I'm really proud that I worked for employers that were honest, believed in excellence in operation, and honesty. And if you wanted to get in trouble for any one of these employers, was to go out and lie to the community on behalf of the company. Your career would be over.

What I'd like to say, too, is that I've been fire trained Texas A&M up in Reno. Nevada, as well. I have taught those classes. I have coordinated the schools, in fact. I've worked with the City of Benicia's Fire Department -- it was Ken Hanley back then -- but worked with them extensively. I have fought petroleum fires. I know a little bit about it.

What bothers me, one of the things that bothers me, when they talk about propaganda, is that people want to compare the energy that's in dynamite to the energy that's in a rail car. It's true the energy is the same. You can compare them on energy. But not on explosiveness. What you have in dynamite, it detonates. All the energy is released in fractions of a second. It's huge. And

there's a massive wave of energy and damage from that.

What you have in petroleum products is a boiling liquid expanding vapor explosion. What that is, is in a container, you heat the container, it gets hot enough, container fails, and you have a large fire, and a minor explosion, as compared to dynamite. So it's not even comparable. Dynamite, you have that match of it down there, and it exploded. I'd want to be miles from that.

Rail car on fire, worst-case situation -- I've read the EIR -- it says the nearest resident is 3,000 feet, the closest one. If that ever happened, I'd be glad to go stand at that residence and watch the City's professional Fire Department and Valero's professional Fire Department control, contain and extinguish that. There's just no comparison of those.

Secondly, another thing that bothers me, is if you're against crude-by-rail, you're against domestic crude. That is the only way that domestic crude can be ran on the West Coast. There are no pipelines. There's no other way to get it here. So if you're against domestic crude, don't want the country to run that, that means by default you're for foreign crude.

Now, we talk about relative risks. The risk of someone in Benicia being injured by this Crude-By-Rail Project is minuscule. But the risk to our children, our

grandchildren, of depending on foreign crudes, is our 1 2 government will go to a shooting war in a heartbeat over 3 crude and energy supplies. They have done it. They will 4 They have to do it. And certainly there would be 5 a risk to the youth of Benicia in the military to go and 6 try to continue to secure those things. So we ought to all be doing everything we can to 7 get on domestic crude, and that includes crude-by-rail. 8 9 The risks are far greater than they are if you leave it like it is. 10 11 The EIR is good. I've read it. It's done by 12 professionals who have integrity. They're not gonna lie 13 in these things, they're going to give their best 14 estimates. If the situation were reversed, and Benicia 15 Refinery had been bringing in crude-by-rail for all these 16 years, and they wanted to change and start bringing in 17 more by ships, we'd have the same activist groups that would be bringing in all kinds of issues and question the 18 EIR for doing that. So this is change, and it's change 19 20 for the better. 21 We should all back this, support this, and do our 22 best to see that it's approved. 23 Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 24 25 Hi. Next speaker.

MR. COLEMAN: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Kevin Coleman. I'm a business agent for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 180.

As a business agent of IBEW Local 180, I help represent over 600 electricians throughout Napa and Solano counties, including many who have worked at the Valero Benicia Refinery and continue to do so on a variety of projects.

Contrary to what was mentioned earlier by the gentleman who works in Richmond, Valero has been a great partner of the local building and construction trades.

While the work here is diverse, one thing remains the same: Valero has demonstrated a consistent day-in, day-out dedication to safety that is truly commendable.

The Valero Benicia Refinery is a model partner on projects. The site is one of the newest in the nation.

And the refinery's emphasis on extensive standards and protocols ensures a safe work environment for all.

Fair wages, economic activity stimulated by construction, and the temporary jobs it requires, and a commitment to community safety, these all make Valero a community partner that benefits all of us.

The Crude-By-Rail Project is an opportunity for the refinery to remain competitive, as you've heard, while creating 20 permanent, full-time, good-paying jobs, and

requiring over 120 skilled craftsman jobs during 1 2 construction. This will provide the significant economic boost to the region, and will help Benicia's largest 3 4 employer remain competitive. 5 The Draft EIR adequately and reasonably addresses 6 the risks involved and signifies a project that is a win-win proposition for Benicia and Valero. I thank you 7 for your time. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 10 Looking for Jerry Zimmerman. Tom Rybarczyk. Youmans, Y-O-U-M-A-N-S. Then Jerry Stumbo. Tom Russell. 11 12 Any of those speakers available? 13 Rebecca Sqambati, S-G-A-M-B-A-T-I. 14 Hi. 15 MS. SGAMBATI: Good evening, Commissioners. I 16 appreciate your time. I appreciate your consideration of this project. And I want to just start by thanking the 17 City staff for the Draft EIR and all the work that has 18 gone into that, and the thorough review that they've put 19 20 this project through. 21 I also want to thank the Valero employees that 22 have participated in development of this project, and thank the hundreds of supporters that have made their 23 24 voices heard in support of the project. 25 I am the director of engineering of major

projects at the refinery, so my group has been very involved in this project development, and we believe in this project.

This project will provide us crude feedstock flexibility, which allows us to remain as one of the strongest regional refineries that produce clean burning fuels. This project ensures our refinery is able to employ over 450 local workers, with over 250 additional contractors. It fuels the local economy, with an additional 120 construction jobs. It also provides 20 additional full-time jobs upon completion.

In total, Valero's activities create or support 3900 jobs in the region, creating 1.6 billion in additional compensation in the region. Annually, the direct and indirect compensation Valero generates in the region is comparable to the Solano County's entire professional scientific and technical industries.

Since 2006, Valero has paid over \$3 billion to contractors, with over two-thirds of that being to businesses in the region, and 400 million to contractors in Benicia, generating 4.3 billion in economic activity. To put this in perspective, this is the equivalent of completing the recent Carquinez Bridge upgrade 15 times over.

Our tax contributions represent approximately 25

percent of Benicia's 2013 general fund. This \$7.7 million 1 2 is enough to fully fund the entire fire department, the City Council, the City Attorney, and the City Clerk with 3 hundreds of dollars left over. 4 5 We are vested in this community on a charitable 6 level as well, and donating untold hours to several 7 programs, including our high school tutoring program. Over \$13.7 million has been donated to local charities 8 9 over the last decade, including children charities and the local food bank. 10 11 In closing, I'd like to ask that the Commission 12 approve our Crude-By-Rail Project request. Thank you for 13 your time. 14 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 15 Next speaker, please. 16 MR. RUSSELL: Hello Commissioners. Thanks for the opportunity. My name is Tom Russell. I'm a Benicia 17 18 resident for 24 years. I'd like to speak on a point on 19 the DEIR that I haven't heard yet discussed. 20 CEQA requires the Environmental Impact Report to evaluate likely outcomes of this proposed project, and 21 22 they, as we know, are many and varied, the outcomes. 23 I'd like you to consider the following: First, 24 for many years now the oil industry as a whole has made it 25 clear that they want to move Canadian tar sands and Bakken

crude to the coast as quickly as possible for export. 1 2 Specifically for export as not refined fuel but as crude oil. 3 4 In fact, President Barack Obama used his power of 5 executive office just last month to all but terminate a four-decade restriction against exporting domestically 6 7 produced crude oil. 8 Secondly, in 2010 Valero applied for and received 9 free trade zone, protecting them from federal trade tariffs. 10 11 Valero spokesman, Bill Day, was quoted as saying, 12 "This will assist with exporting finished fuels." 13 Thirdly, Valero is limited by the air quality 14 permit to a maximum amount of oil it can refine on any 15 given day, but there's no restriction on how much oil can 16 be brought in or shipped out of the refinery. 17 And this has been said over and over, but I think it bears repeating, in the circumstance that Union Pacific 18 will not agree to any limitations on the volume of product 19 20 it ships, the frequency route, configuration of such 21 shipments, as we have talked about. 22 The fifth point here is, according to this 23 proposal, Valero's port asset is being idled; since 24 they'll be bringing in crude-by-rail, they will not have

25

any need for its port.

1 Return-on-investment theory suggests that that's 2 not in their best interest. When I learned about all of these things, I 3 4 wondered what is keeping -- so let me go back two 5 sentences. 6 The likely outcome of this is that Valero will bring in as much crude as is physically possible by crude 7 trains, in whatever configuration, and whatever times UP 8 9 sees fit. Valero will run their refinery capacity regardless of what the domestic demand is. And then any 10 11 additional crude stock will be sold overseas and shipped 12 out of the port. 13 The claim of environmental impact there being 14 lessened will therefore be negated by using those ships. 15 When I learned about all these issues, I wondered 16 what is keeping the oil from being exported currently, and 17 it's one thing. The fact that Valero needs an offloading rack to make this a workable situation. 18 By green-lighting this project you'll green light 19 20 that offloading rack and the refinery will have everything 21 it needs in place to turn Benicia into a major, if not the 22 largest, crude export hub on the West Coast. 23 The DEIR allows for it. It's a likely outcome. 24 And as such, it must be -- it must be -- addressed in the EIR. 25

1 The last one thing I want to say is to the 2 previous speaker who called this project "progress" and 3 accused me of being just afraid of progress. I contend 4 that pumping chemicals into the earth to extract some of 5 the ugliest crude available, and then burning it, is far 6 from progress. Thank you for your time. 7 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah. Thank you. Before we call any more speakers, I get the sense 8 9 maybe the Commission should take a break. I guess the 10 question is how much longer are we going to be. We have a 11 lot of cards left. Keep going? 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Microphones, please. 13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: So the Commission says no, we'd 14 like to continue. And we'll keep going until we hear all 15 the speakers. Okay. We'll call some more names. Okay. Chris Wilburn. Joe Muehlbauer. 16 M-A-C-H-L-bower. Cameron Wicklow. James Bolds. Don 17 18 Cuffel. 19 Hi. 20 MR. WILBURN: Good evening. My name is Chris Wilburn. 21 I work for the Valero Benicia Refinery as manager of 22 business services. That's basically the equivalent of the 23 financing accounting manager of the refinery. I live here 24 in town. My children attend school here. They're active 25 members of school sports and band. I've coached sports

teams in Benicia: Baseball, softball, soccer and 1 football. I volunteer for schools and other community 2 3 events. I support local businesses and I pay taxes. I'm 4 a part of this community. 5 The refinery is also a part of this community. 6 It provides volunteers for community service and purchases 7 goods and services from someone as small as the local hot dog stand, to a multimillion-dollar scaffolding company. 8 9 I believe in this project. It provides flexibility to our business. Any business owner wants 10 11 flexibility in the inputs they need to procure to make 12 their finished product, whether it's cabinets or tires, 13 concrete, jewelry, groceries, or our business: Refined 14 petroleum products. 15 It also adds jobs at the refinery to provide 16 additional economic impact to the community. I believe in the safety culture of this plant and 17 this company. I know you've heard a lot of it before, so 18

I believe in the safety culture of this plant and this company. I know you've heard a lot of it before, so I'm going to phrase it a little different way. In the State of California, there are 1.8 million businesses.

Only 88 of them are certified as VPP Star sites. So I'll do the math for you. That's five in one-thousandths of a percent. So it's a very rare company, and that's the company you're dealing with.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe in this Draft EIR. The City has met

its responsibility in preparing this Draft EIR and it 1 2 addresses all the pertinent impacts of this project. I believe the City has, in providing sufficient time, for 3 4 the community to review the Draft EIR and provide 5 feedback. 6 I believe the Planning Comission should support 7 this project and approve as we move forward in this 8 Thank you. process. 9 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 10 Next speaker, please. MR. BOLDS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of 11 12 the Commission. My name is James Bolds. I am managing 13 consultant of Bolds & Associates from Montgomery, Texas. 14 My firm specializes in railroad tank car consulting. 15 have 33 years' experience in the specification and 16 maintenance of railroad tank cars transporting hazardous materials. 17 18 Since early 2012, I have been retained by Valero to assist in the specification and acquisition of new rail 19 20 tank cars, including the tank cars that will be used for 21 this project. I am glad to be here tonight to lend my 22 expertise to the information being placed before this Commission. 23 24 I have a Bachelor's degree in mechanical 25 engineering and a Master's in business. I have extensive

experience in the specification and maintenance of tank 1 2 cars. Through my experience at Union Carbide for 27 3 years, I became the subject matter expert in the 4 transportation of hazardous materials in tank cars for the 5 corporation. 6 Over the past 25 years, I have served as chairman 7 of numerous task forces of the AAR tank car committee. The AAR is the Association of American Railroads, which is 8 9 an industry association whose membership includes all of 10 the major North American railroad companies. 11 AAR's mission includes development of technical 12 standards directed at the safe operation of railroads. 13 Please note, that the AAR is not a governmental agency. 14 One of the missions of the tank car committee is 15 to develop tank car standards, which include the CPC 1232 16 tank car, specifications for the safe transportation of 17 crude oil, Ethanol and petroleum products. My role for Valero, in the acquisition of these 18 CPC 1232 tank cars, is to develop and recommend tank car 19 20 specifications, review construction drawings for the new 21 tank cars, and inspect the tank cars through the 22 fabrication and construction process.

Valero is committed to the acquisition of tank cars that meet the CPC 1232 specification. And in addition, they're committed to complying with federal

23

24

regulations. All tank cars that Valero has ordered for 1 2 this project indeed meet the CPC 1232 standards. I would like to make it clear, that CPC 1232 cars 3 4 exceed the requirement of current federal regulations for 5 tank cars. The U.S. Department of Transportation 6 regulates and enforces tank car specifications. Tank cars 7 that transport crude oil are identified as Department of Transportation or DOT 111 tank cars. DOT 111 tank cars 8 9 built to the CPC 1232 standard incorporate additional 10 safety features. The CPC 1232 tank car standards were 11 recommended by the Association of American Railroads. The 12 DOT is currently reviewing those standards for inclusion 13 into the federal regulations. 14 Please note, CPC 1232 safety features include: 15 One, fabricated from the best available, 16 high-strength steel for tank car construction. 17 Two, the steel is thicker than the Legacy DOT 111 18 tank car construction. 19 Number 3, they have re-closing safety valves 20 which allows for controlled release of pressure and 21 minimizes the release of the tank car contents. 22 Number 4, these tank cars have top fittings protection which protect all of the top valves. 23 24 And Number 5, they're equipped with head shields, 25 which gives the car resistance against puncture potential.

1 The Legacy DOT 111 tank car is not equipped with 2 these additional safety features. Nor, will those cars be used in this project. 3 4 Valero has acquired CPC 1232 specification tank 5 cars for this Benicia project. The AAR considers the CPC 6 1232 tank car safe for the shipment of crude oil, that's why the specification was developed. 7 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sir, I'm going to have to ask you 8 9 to stop there. You've run out of time. 10 MR. BOLDS: Very well. Thank you, sir. Appreciate 11 your time. 12 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER YOUNG: I'd like to talk about how we're 14 going to continue this. It is 11:30. We previously said 15 11:30 was our cutoff time. I have to admit that I'm 16 starting to fade a little bit, and not giving all the 17 concentration that this project requires, and I'd like to 18 discuss whether or not we should continue this onto the 19 September meeting. None of the Commissioners have had a 20 chance to make comments yet, and I want to be able to do 21 that. And I think it's a little late in the night to 22 expect full concentration. This is a very important 23 project, and I think it deserves everybody's best 24 attention.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Well, we have a number of

1 speakers standing. Why don't we go through the folks that 2 have been called so far, and then we'll have that conversation. 3 4 Okay. Hi. Next speaker. 5 MR. MUEHLBAUER: Hi. My name is Joe Muehlbauer, and 6 I'm a Benicia resident. I'm a member of the Benicia 7 Community Sustainability Commission. I'm also a Valero employee. 8 9 I also tutor at the Benicia High School through the Valero tutoring program, that actually my wife started 10 11 a couple years back. I coach Little League baseball, and 12 I frequent the James Lemos Pool downtown where my kids are 13 enrolled in swim lessons. 14 Just as you all are, I am here tonight because 15 I'm an involved member of this community. And the reason 16 I am an involved member of this community is because I really do value where I live and the community we live in. 17 18 To me the Crude-By-Rail Project really represents an investment to the community. It's an investment in our 19 20 community and an investment in our safety. It allows 21 Valero refinery to continue to support the City, to 22 continue to support our police and fire departments with 23 the staffing, the state-of-the-art equipment, and the 24 training that they have.

And there have been a few fair comparisons made

1 tonight to Mare Island and Vallejo. I do offer one more, 2 just reading on my phone here during some of the talk, 3 found out that, according to The Times Herald, Vallejo 4 actually right now is in the midst of a 27-year-high crime 5 spree. This is the highest crime rate that Vallejo's had 6 in 27 years. And last year they had 14 homicides in 2013 alone; whereas, Benicia, much to the credit of our Police 7 Chief and officers, is at the lowest crime level in 27 8 9 years, and hasn't had a homicide since 2011. 10 So what I realized is that having a strong, and well-supported police department really does save lives. 11 12 This project not only represents the investment 13 in safety, but it represents an investment in our 14 environment. As a state in 2006, we set a target to 15 reduce our greenhouse gas emissions to the year 2000 16 levels by 2010. As a City, we took this to heart and 17 developed a climate-action plan to achieve this goal. how are we doing? 18 Well, in accordance with the City of Benicia 2010 19 20 Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report, and that period where we 21 were starting to reduce our emissions, they actually went 22 up by 41 percent. They went from 487,000 metric tons a

I see this project as an opportunity. The experts that put together the Draft EIR state that the

year, to 689,000 metric tons a year.

23

24

Crude-By-Rail Project will reduce greenhouse gas emissions 1 2 by 255 metric tons of CO2 every year. That alone, this one, single project would help -- in the context of 3 4 Benicia's emissions, it would get our emissions levels 5 down to our Year 2000 targets, below our Year 2000 6 targets. So I do see this project as an investment in our 7 community. An investment in our topnotch school system; 8 9 an investment in our homes and our property values. Much 10 as the gentleman that spoke before me, I'm also a chemical 11 engineer. I just got an MBA from UC Berkeley. And this 12 is an investment that I wouldn't miss. Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you. 14 Hi. Good evening. 15 MR. WICKLOW: Good evening. Thank you, Commissioners. 16 Out of respect for everyone's time, I'll be brief. My name is Cameron Wicklow. I'm as a resident of Benicia. 17 I've lived here most of the last 15 years. And I support 18 19 the project because of what it means for Benicia. It's 20 rare to have a project that has so many benefits, to 21 energy dependence, to reducing greenhouse gases and local 22 job creations and emissions reductions. 23 I'm also an engineer who has worked in or with all areas of the refinery, including the environmental, 24 safety and operations departments. My first-hand 25

1 experience with the policies, procedures and people --2 great people -- in these areas and the rest of the 3 refinery, gave me the confidence to want to live in 4 Benicia, and specifically to buy a house practically on 5 the fence line, next to two coworkers, next to an employee 6 from Chevron, who choose to live this close to the refinery, based on what we know about it, and to raise our 7 children here. 8 9 As an engineer in this industry for over 15 years, I may have a little additional understanding of the 10 11 EIR than the average resident, or at least I'd like to 12 think so. I also know I'd never be an expert in all the 13 areas covered by the EIR. 14 Honestly, I'm pleased to see everyone here. 15 think we're all here for the same cause. We all have good intentions. We all are trying to do the right thing. But 16 as an engineer, I can't use anecdotes, rumors or emotions 17 to make my decisions. We have to use the real data and 18 19 rely on real experts. And I'm really pleased to see that 20

the City has done that. And, in fact, the City has gone,
I believe, beyond that, based on the full EIR, which we've

had many experts consulting over for the last year.

22

23

24

25

Let's think about how long we've been talking about this and working on it. It's been well over a year now.

So as Commissioners, you should feel confident that the City has done everything and more than is necessary to evaluate the environmental impacts of this project.

And as a resident of Benicia, I'm urging you to move forward and capture the many benefits to the community and the environment as soon as possible. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CUFFEL: Good evening, Commissioners -- pardon me -- City staff, and members of the public who are still awake.

My name is Don Cuffel. I'm the environmental manager at the Valero Benicia refinery. I've worked there for 33 years. I recognize that for many people -- excuse me -- this may be the first time that they have attempted to understand the complexities of a Draft EIR. So it's understandable to me that some folks arrive at the wrong conclusion, particularly if they don't have some additional context. So my goal tonight is to replace fear with understanding -- let's start that process -- because many people who come to this podium come here with fear.

To do that, I'm going to explore two specific areas in the Draft EIR, and I promise I'll get it done under five minutes.

The air quality section in the Draft EIR states that the locomotive emissions will result in a significant and unavoidable impact. On the face of it, that sounds really ominous and potentially harmful, but the reader has to understand that the vocabulary in CEQA has a different meaning than everyday language. The word "significant" in CEQA context means that a significant threshold was exceeded, but not that there are necessarily health impacts or safety issues associated with that.

In the case of locomotive emissions of knocks,

In the case of locomotive emissions of knocks, which is a precursor to smog, the significant threshold varies by county from a low of 54 pounds a day in Solano and Yolo counties, to 65 pounds a day in Sacramento, and to 82 pounds a day in Placer County. Because the threshold varies by county, then the notion of significance varies, too. The locomotive emissions, if you read the EIR, are not significant in Placer County, but they are significant in Sacramento and Yolo Counties.

So if you're a resident of Yolo county, how worried do you need to be? Can anybody answer that question?

Well, look at the health risk portion of the Draft EIR. There are no health risks associated with these knocks emissions. Let's put it into everyday context. The knocks emissions are equivalent to 10 round

trips of a Diesel RV between Benicia and Lake Tahoe. 10 per day. That's the amount of emissions we're talking about.

So when you translate the significant and unavoidable impact into everyday language, it doesn't seem quite so fearsome. At least I hope that's the case.

Now, let's talk about the word "unavoidable."

"Unavoidable" simply means there's no mitigation available for that impact. And the reason that no mitigation is available has already been discussed this evening quite a bit; it's because of federal preemption. So nobody can regulate the emissions of the operation of the railroad, other than the federal government. And we've all discussed that beyond any necessary additional information from me.

But that being said, we're not hiding behind federal preemption. Both Valero and Union Pacific are absolutely committed to safe and reliable operations of the trains running through our communities. As you've heard tonight, Valero has committed to using exclusively the CPC 1232 cars with all of their benefits in resisting puncture, should the worst happen.

That being said, when the standards for rail cars change -- and they will -- we will comply with whatever the federal standard is. That's what our job is, is to

1 comply with all the applicable federal, state and local 2 regulations. So back to replacing fear with understanding. 3 4 you're living in a community where our trains will pass 5 through, how worried do you really need to be? Hopefully, 6 understanding that the locomotive emissions are equivalent to 10 daily RV round trips to Tahoe, and that the rail 7 cars meet or exceed the current safety standards set by 8 9 the federal government, will bring some peace of mind. 10 I'll close with one last point. This refinery has successfully and safely transported butane and propane 11 12 by rail since 1969. Butane and propane are far more 13 volatile than any crude oil you can imagine. We've 14 demonstrated that handling petroleum products by rail can 15 be done safely. Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. Let's -- I have 25 more cards for speakers. So what's the pleasure of the 17 18 Commission? 19 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Microphones. 20 COMMISSIONER DEAN: So I have 25 cards in my hand of 21 people who have said that they still want to speak. 22 there anybody in the audience here that we can see who has not filled out a card? I just want to be confident that 23 we have most of the desired speakers on record here. 24

I guess the question is do we keep going

25

Okav.

```
1
     until we hear everybody?
 2
         COMMISSIONER COHEN-GROSSMAN:
                                       I have two comments.
 3
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Okay.
 4
         COMMISSIONER COHEN-GROSSMAN: One is, I see empty
 5
     seats. You have 25 cards and no one raised their hand
 6
     saying they haven't filled out a card. So are the 25
 7
    people who still want to speak in this room or in this
    building or in this facility? And I don't need an
 8
 9
     immediate answer, but my suggestion is to confirm we
10
     really have 25 more speakers. Suggestion might be that if
11
     anyone is still in the atrium or wherever, that they show
12
    up in the room and raise hair hand. That's number 1.
13
             Number 2, my feelings, I really don't want the
14
    meeting to go past midnight. I don't function well in
15
    public after about 10:00, but midnight is really the
16
     witching hour.
17
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Okay.
18
         COMMISSIONER COHEN-GROSSMAN:
                                       Thank you.
19
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Any other comments from the
20
     Commission?
21
        MS. SPRAGUE: I think it's a good idea we get an idea
22
     of how many of those people are actually here and an
23
     estimate of how much longer, then decide.
24
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Having said that, a raise
25
     of hands -- we'll take a quick hand-count of who is still
```

1 in the room that would like to speak -- that has not 2 spoken before, yeah. 10 to 12. Okay. All right. 3 4 COMMISIONER YOUNG: Yeah, I think it's fair to the 5 Commission and to the public that these 14 people -- we 6 haven't had a chance to say whatever we want to say -- and 7 if we were to close the public hearing, that opportunity would be lost, unless we wanted to have some other agenda 8 9 item in September to make that happen. 10 So I would propose that we continue the public hearing into September, and give these last 15 people an 11 12 opportunity and give the Commission an opportunity to say 13 whatever it is -- to raise issues, questions that we may 14 have, that we want to be sure are addressed in the final 15 EIR. 16 COMMISSIONER DEAN: So in other words, give everybody who is here an opportunity to speak. 17 Maybe we could -- does the Commission have to 18 19 make its comments during the public hearing or can we 20 close the public hearing and then have the Commission come 21 back and make comments at a future meeting? 22 MS. WELLMAN: No, it actually should -- if you're going to make any comments, it really should be part of 23 24 the public hearing. 25 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay.

1 MS. WELLMAN: You can also, however, like every other 2 member of the public, you can put your comments in 3 writing. You do not have to actually make them in public. 4 And if I may just give you a little bit more 5 quidance here, if you did want to continue it, you would 6 have to pretty much do it the way you did it the last 7 time. 8 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah, we would continue it to a 9 date-certain. MS. WELLMAN: Continue it to a date-certain. 10 11 would not want to have anybody speak again if they've 12 spoken already. We would have to do the names. 13 You also might want to remind the people who are 14 remaining that, you know, to try to stay on -- if you're 15 going to listen them -- if you don't want to continue it 16 and you want to hear everybody who's here and who is 17 waiting to speak, you might want to remind them to try to focus their comments on the EIR, and that they don't have 18 19 to repeat things that have already been said, because 20 we're hearing quite a bit of repetition. 21 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yes. 22 Well, you know, I'm willing to press on. I hate 23 to do this to the staff, but I'm willing to press on. 24 People made an effort to come, some of the them for the

second time.

```
1
              So let's see if we can go through this as quickly
 2
     as possible. Then the Commission would like to make
 3
     comments. I'm not sure you're in a position to --
 4
              So if we're going to continue for the
 5
     Commission's sake, would we continue --
 6
         COMMISSIONER SMITH: One question of staff.
 7
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yes?
         COMMISSIONER SMITH: So if we were to finish with the
 8
 9
     14 people we have here tonight, would we need to keep the
10
    public hearing open to the next meeting so that we can
11
    make our comments?
12
        MS. WELLMAN: Yes, you would. And also the other
13
     thing I need to remind you of, is if you continue the
14
    public hearing to the next meeting, you may have new
15
     speakers that can also address.
16
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Right.
        MS. WELLMAN: So you just have to keep that in mind.
17
         COMMISSIONER SMITH: Well, I'm willing to stay for the
18
19
    rest of the speakers, but I don't think based on all the
20
     little tags I have here, that I'm going to be able to get
21
     through my comments. And then I know that everybody else
22
    has substantial comments, too. And if we do 14 speakers
23
    at five minutes a piece, we're going to be here for
24
     another hour and 10 minutes.
25
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: So if we were going to continue
```

```
1
     this to a date-certain, can we use the next Planning
 2
     Commission meeting in September?
 3
        MS. MILLION: You have that option. You can do your
 4
    next meeting; that would be September 11th.
 5
     Commission can also poll the Commissioners to see if
 6
     they're available, if we have a quorum, for next Thursday,
 7
     which is the 28th -- I'm sorry, the 21st.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: It would have to be --
 8
 9
        MS. MILLION: It does not have to be renoticed if you
10
     continue to a date-certain. So it can be next Thursday.
11
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Do you want to do that?
12
     Check your calendars.
13
         COMMISSIONER COHEN-GROSSMAN: Another possible date
14
     question, Amy or Chair, would be the 28th.
15
                      The 28th, staff is not available. We
         MS. MILLION:
16
    have another commission meeting already set for that
17
     evening.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: What if we go to the next Planning
18
19
     Commission meeting in September, which is the 11th?
20
         UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: We need microphones,
21
    please.
22
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: What we're saying is we --
23
              That's correct.
                               Okay.
24
              So --
25
         COMMISSIONER COHEN-GROSSMAN: I don't think that
```

1 process is quite what our attorney recommended, so we 2 might want to say it out loud so she can hear us. 3 COMMISSIONER DEAN: We're just talking about if we 4 continue this to a date-certain, what the next date would 5 be. And the staff suggested the 21st. 6 MS. WELLMAN: You could continue it to a date-certain 7 at a special meeting if you desire, and I think that staff is available on the 21st, which would be a week from 8 9 tonight. You can also continue it to your next regularly 10 scheduled meeting. 11 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I think the next regularly 12 scheduled meeting, because Commissioner Smith has already 13 said she was not available for that date in August. Do we 14 have other agenda items that can be pushed from September? 15 Do we know? 16 MS. MILLION: It's fine. We'll work it out. COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. So let's hear the rest of 17 18 the speakers. We'll continue this item, at least, so the 19 20 Commissioners get a chance to comment, and any additional 21 speakers who come to that September meeting, that would be 22 at our next, regularly scheduled meeting, September 11th. 23 Okay. All right. 24 So we would appreciate, everybody, on the part of 25 the speakers, particularly people who have already

```
1
    mentioned the topic you wanted to discuss -- we'll start
 2
     going through the cards again.
 3
             And focus on the EIR, please.
 4
             Liisa Stark. Andrew Hoseler -- Andrew Hosler.
 5
     Bob Livsay. And John Lazorik.
 6
             Do we have a speaker here?
 7
        MS. STARK: Good evening. Liisa Stark with Union
     Pacific Railroads. Point of clarification, I thought that
 8
 9
     the decision was going to --
10
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry, can you speak up a
11
     little bit.
12
        MS. STARK: Yeah, I apologize. Liisa Stark with Union
13
     Pacific Railroad. I apologize. I was just looking for a
14
    point of clarification on what the decision is. I think a
15
     lot of people thought that you were adjourning the meeting
16
     this evening and carrying it over to September 11th.
     that is going to occur, and you are going to carry it over
17
     to September 11th, I prefer to wait to be a little bit
18
    more cognizant with my comments, instead of at midnight
19
20
     this evening.
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Well, if you're here -- we're
21
22
     staying to hear people's comments. We'd like to hear
     everybody who is here. We're going to stay to hear those
23
24
     comments.
25
        MS. STARK:
                    Okay. You're staying tonight, then?
```

```
1
         COMMISSIONER DEAN:
                             Yes.
 2
        MS. STARK: Until everybody who has a card has spoken?
 3
     Okay.
 4
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah, I understand people are
 5
     thinking that they can come back in September, but if
 6
    we're gonna stay and hear people's comments, we'd
 7
     appreciate if you are here tonight, we can hear those
     tonight.
 8
 9
              Please.
10
        MS. STARK: Okay.
11
        MS. WELLMAN: Chair Dean? If you recall last time, we
12
     gave people the option if they wanted to wait until the
13
    next public hearing, I think that anybody who would rather
14
     speak at the next public hearing has the opportunity to do
15
     so if they want to.
16
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Well, that's true. I guess I'm
     just speaking from my own desire to hear as many as
17
18
    possible.
19
        MS. WELLMAN: Yeah, I just wanted to be clear.
20
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah.
                                    Thank you for that
21
     clarification.
                     So, yes.
22
                     Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
         MS. STARK:
23
     I'm actually going to take you up on that offer, as you've
24
     done to other speakers, and speak at the next hearing.
25
     Thank you.
```

1 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. 2 MR. LUZZO: Good evening, Bob Luzzo. I'm a local 3 resident, property owner, et cetera. I would take up the 4 offer, too. I don't want to talk to some Commissioners 5 that are half asleep. And I understand that. That's not 6 a criticism. I'm just saying that I think it's fair to the Commission, it would be fair to the speakers, that I 7 would be willing to wait and go. 8 9 The only thing that bothers me, is there going to 10 be additional cards put in? Will there be an opportunity 11 for other people to speak? 12 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yes, there will be an opportunity 13 for other people to speak. 14 MR. LUZZO: So it would be like tonight, people came 15 here tonight, and another hundred people could put their 16 card in and speak, and so that the people that are left over from tonight, such as myself, we would be first? 17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: You would go first. Yeah, we'll 18 keep your cards on file. Everybody who has filled out a 19 20 card for tonight will be at the top of the stack for the 21 next meeting. 22 MR. LUZZO: I'd rather take that offer, because I 23 think it's fair to the Commission, I think it's fair to 24 the public that everybody is alert and willing to listen. And I do understand and it's not a criticism. 25

1 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. 2 MR. LUZZO: It's something that I do understand, and I would appreciate that. 3 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Sure. 5 MR. LUZZO: Thank you. 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And we are limiting it to new 7 people who have not spoken already. 8 MS. WELLMAN: Chair Dean, I was also realizing that 9 you should probably take a motion on the continuance, so it's real clear that the Commission has made that 10 11 decision. You might also want to see if there's anybody 12 whose speaker cards you have held that have indicated that 13 they're in the room, actually want to speak tonight and 14 would rather wait, then it would give you an idea how much 15 longer you're going to be here. 16 COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. that again. 17 MS. WELLMAN: You had a number of people who raised 18 their hands who still have cards. 19 20 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Right. 21 MS. WELLMAN: You might want to just poll if there's 22 anybody who would like to still speak rather than come 23 back at the next meeting. 24 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah. Anybody who would still 25 like to speak, we would like to hear from you, yes.

1 Hi, good evening.

MR. HOSLER: Good evening. Thank you for hearing my comments. I apologize for how late it is. Obviously it's late, so I'll try and be brief.

My name is Andrew Hosler. I'm president and CEO for Performance Mechanical, Incorporated. We're a heavy industrial mechanical contractor. We're a local contractor. We work primarily in California and Hawaii. We build the things that power and fuel our economy.

Valero is a large customer of ours. We have done business with Valero since they first took the facility in 2000. We've worked approximately 1.7 million man-hours in their facility. We are also a VPP Star Certified company. Our fabrication shop in Southern California has achieved that certification. So I think the reason why I say these things is I think it establishes my comments relative to Valero a little bit unique.

I personally, and my employees, work in all of the major Bay Area refineries, as well as throughout the state. So I have a unique viewpoint on Valero, the way they run their business, the people that are behind the Valero corporate entity, and especially the people here in Benicia.

I'm a local resident. I've lived in Martinez,
Concord, Clayton, personally worked in the petrochemical

industry for 23 years, both hands-on and as a manager and a leader.

We're a union contractor, so all of the hours that we perform for Valero are local union hours. My local labor partners have also spoke tonight, and they're here and we're in support of the project. If the project gets built, which I anticipate it will, we'll be the contractor performing the lion's share of those man-hours.

So, really, I represent the 120 people who would be working on this project. It is significant. That's a significant number of jobs. There's probably 38 people in this room right now. So we're talking about 120 people, who, many of them may not be working right now and we can put them to work on this project. It's significant. It's significant to our company, local business. Centered in Pittsburgh, that's our corporate headquarters. It's a very significant project. And a lot of the significant projects in the area, honestly, are being held up by processes like this, which are good and healthy processes, but this project, in particular, I think, is ready to move forward.

Specifically, what I'd also like to comment on, is I can tell you firsthand, Valero has the highest concerns for safety of their employees, their construction workers, and the community, and the quality and

1 reliability of the refinery.

Valero has shown that in how open and transparent they've been with this public process. There have been several meetings. I've been to most all of them. It is very easy to find this information. The Draft EIR is clear. It is professionally assembled and it is complete.

So I would urge you to do what's best, first off, by supporting the project. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.

MR. LAZORIK: Good evening. My name is John Lazorik. My wife and I have lived in Benicia for 25 years, where we raised our two children, and I share your desire to ensure that Benicia remains the safe, clean and healthy community that we all enjoy.

I hold a Master's degree in environmental management and have worked in the environmental field for over 27 years; the last 10 years as an environmental engineer at Valero. I understand the risks associated with the handling and transport of flammable materials, but I also know the regulations, procedures and resources that are in place to minimize those risks, and to respond to them in the unlikely event of an environmental incident.

My wife and I choose Benicia as our home, as many other Valero employees. Like you and your families, we

all breathe this air, we drink the water, we fish and recreate in and around the Bay. We are deeply vested in this community.

This Crude-By-Rail Project is a critical step in Valero remaining strong and viable in this extremely competitive and challenging California energy market.

The health of the City and its industrial constituents are very closely linked. As Benicians who truly care about the overall health of our town, focus should not be solely on preventing this project from proceeding; rather, we should be working together to identify the real facts associated with the project and determine the appropriate mitigative measures necessary to protect our environment.

This EIR was a massive undertaking by the City and its consultants, to pull together the subject matter as experts from multiple disciplines. It is time to replace fear, drama and inaccurate speculation with facts that are represented in this document, and basing decisions on facts. One can clearly arrive at the conclusion that the Crude-By-Rail Project has both direct and indirect benefits to Benicia, benefits that outweigh the risks.

It is important to understand that any of the North American crude oils potentially made more readily

available by this project, fall squarely within the 1 2 spectrum of hazardous materials routinely moving through Benicia today. It is neither the least hazardous nor the 3 4 is it the most hazardous. The fact is, all hazardous 5 materials transported in and out of our town, must be 6 managed and transported with great care. And history has 7 shown that this can be accomplished without incident. As a Benicia resident, it is important for me to 8 9 know that a project such as Crude-By-Rail will not 10 jeopardize the safety of my family or cause harm to this 11 precious environment we all enjoy. 12 With that said, I fully support this project and 13 I'm confident that Valero, Union Pacific and all key 14 stakeholders will implement this project with great care 15 and the appropriate level of safeguards. 16 Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. MR. PARTCH: Good evening, I'll be brief. My name is 18 19 Greq Partch. I'm the business manager of Plumbers and 20 Steamfitters, Local 343, located in Vallejo, California. 21 I represent over 500 working families. As has

I represent over 500 working families. As has already been stated, the Crude-By-Rail Project will allow the refinery to continue to invest in the community and provide long-term tax revenue. The project will create 20 full-time jobs, good-paying jobs, and over a 120

22

23

24

skilled-craftsman jobs during construction. Benicia 1 Valero refinery is one of the safest refineries in the 2 nation and I stand in support of the project. Thank you. 3 4 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 5 Good evening. 6 MS. GRAY: My name is Theresa Gray. I'm a Benicia 7 resident. In fact, I live very close to the Valero refinery, up in the Hillcrest area. 8 9 It's very easy to point a finger at Big Oil. 10 Well, if I can, I'd like to give you a face. I work at 11 the Valero refinery. I don't have a day job. I don't 12 have a desk job. I'm a field operator. That means I'm 13 out there every day working on the equipment, running the 14 plant, ensuring the safety of myself, my coworkers and the 15 community around me. 16 I'm a taxpayer. I'm a homeowner. I live in this community. I work in this community. I shop in this 17 18 community. I support this community. I'd like to say firsthand that Valero is 19 20 committed to safety and the community. 21 Valero Benicia is the only refinery in Northern 22 California to be a Cal/OSHA certified VPP Star site. What 23 does it mean to be a Star site? A Star site goes above 24 and beyond the very minimum requirements to comply with Cal/OSHA laws. Above and beyond. VPP Star sites are 25

inspected by Cal/OSHA, and its employees are interviewed 1 2 to make sure that what we say we do is what we actually do and practice. Very few employers willingly invite any 3 4 government agency, let alone Cal/OSHA, into their work 5 site. They don't throw the doors open and say, "Come in. 6 See what we do. Talk to our employees and make sure that what we're telling you we do, is what we do." And yet 7 Valero has done that repeatedly. We have been Star site 8 9 certified. We've been recertified twice. 10 This kind of recognition is only possible when 11 you have cooperation between the management and the 12 employees on the site, and a true commitment to safety. 13 This commitment to safety extends to every employee in the 14 plant, every contractor who works for us, and our 15 community around us. 16 My job at a Big Oil company, I have served Thanksgiving dinner to people who might have gone hungry 17 18 otherwise. My work group provides backpacks to local children who might go without, because education to 19 20 everyone being successful is very important to us. My 21 work group every Christmas adopts a family. We don't give 22 toys. We're talking about basic necessities: Coats,

We like to think we're giving these families hope, because when we work together, our environment, our

jackets, gift certificates for food.

23

24

25

1 community, everything is better around us. We don't do 2 these things because we have to. Valero doesn't make us 3 do this. We do this because Valero truly encourages and 4 supports the belief in supporting the health of our 5 community around us. 6 I'm here tonight to voice my support for the 7 Benicia Crude-By-Rail Project. The Crude-By-Rail Project is a business decision. After all, the refinery is a 8 9 business. So let's put emotion aside for a minute and 10 talk about business. 11 Valero is an important member of the Benicia 12 community. We provide about 25 percent of Benicia's 13 general fund. Crude-By-Rail doesn't change how the 14 refinery is operated. It simply makes a business decision 15 to keep the refinery competitive and viable. 16 Environmental laws and regulations in the U.S., particularly in California, are very strict and they're 17 18 strongly enforced. A project like this brings regulation 19 for the beginning of the supply chain to the final 20 product. 21 In the end, that can only have a positive impact 22 on the environment and protecting it. The Draft EIR finds 23 this project will have a positive impact on our community.

Crude-By-Rail represents jobs in our community, investment in our community, and economic stability in our community.

24

25

1 I ask you to support this project. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Okay. Thank you. 3 Next speaker. 4 MR. GRAY: Hello. Thank you for the opportunity to 5 My name is Art Gray. That was my wife that spoke speak. 6 to you just a few minutes ago. Thank you for sticking with us, there's only a couple of us left. Try to hang 7 with me here; I'll be brief. 8 9 Both my wife and I are proud residents of the 10 city of Benicia, employees of the Refinery, as you know. 11 I've been an employee there for over 23 years. I'm a 12 supervisor on the shift and I'm a long-time member of the 13 Refinery Fire Department. 14 Many of us employed by the refinery live here in 15 the city and in the surrounding areas. Many of us were on 16 shift last City Planning meeting and were unable to attend. I'm unable to attend your next meeting, so that's 17 why I'm speaking tonight. Thank you. 18 19 However, almost every employee on the plant with 20 me that night watched closely the proceedings that you 21 guys had here. As a matter of fact, probably the only 22 people that are watching on TV are probably the refinery 23 workers that are on shift tonight. 24 Hey, guys. How you doing? 25 I would like to speak to you and say that around

1 town you may not know that we are always employed by the 2 refinery because we're in regular clothes, but you see us 3 working in our yards, picking up groceries at Safeway and 4 Raley's, dropping off our kids at school or daycare. 5 During the holidays we stand with you watching the 6 tree-lighting ceremony, the torch-light parade, and we sit 7 on blankets with you on the green watching fireworks on the 4th of July. We eat with you down on 1st Street at 8 9 Matsuri because they have the best sushi in town; we shop 10 with you at the Farmer's Market, and we may drink coffee 11 or relax with a book with you at Rrags or at Starbucks. 12 This is our town, and we are members of the 13 community. 14 The DEIR tells you about the refinery, tells you 15 many things that you may have already heard about, the 16 many contributions that the refinery gives to the City. But I'd like to focus a little bit more on the 17 18 contributions by the Valero employees. 19 We speak about the \$13 million that have been 20 donated to nonprofits. Well, half of that has been donated by the actual employees of the refinery. Valero 21 22 matches every dollar that we contribute with another 23 dollar. That's one of the reasons why we contribute through the refinery. 24 25 Many of our workers do volunteer hours and have

1 worked for the community. These hours are worked in 2 places like the Solano Food Bank, at Loma Vista Farm, 3 Adopt a Family, which brings presents to families that 4 cannot afford them during the holidays. And even on East 5 2nd Street, collecting trash to keep the city clean. Many 6 of those families have delivered gifts during the holidays. We send one of the refinery fire apparatus out 7 with guys dressed in Santa clothes and elf outfits to 8 9 deliver some of those gifts to the people that really 10 desperately need them. 11 The DEIR talks about emergency response. Perhaps 12 you don't know what the refinery has done in the past. 13 Long-time residents realize what we've done. Many times 14 when there's a large fire, grass fire, we respond with our 15 grass rigs. We have three of them. And we provide mutual

Many of the large grass fires, we've helped put out.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aid to the City.

Vallejo and Suisun Fire Departments assist as well, but we all try to keep your home safe. We have helped Benicia put out large fires, as well, including the old Big O Tire store fire a few years back, as well as many years ago when we had huge flooding in the '90s, back when we used to get rain, and the town was flooded out and we sent our big pumper down there to help pump out the

downtown City of Benicia.

I remember that night being brought in by the Benicia Yacht Club who invited us in to feed us, showing their appreciation after we did 16 hours in the public.

While it's very easy to sit back and label Big
Oil as a "villain," and imagine big executives sitting in
even bigger chairs, I'm here to try to make you realize
that the refinery is made up of people like me, people who
are your neighbors and members of the community. We too
care about the environment and we too care about public
safety. My front door is only about 150 yards from the
refinery fence line, and if I thought the project was
unsafe, I wouldn't be here tonight supporting it.

The Project simply allows the refinery to use domestic oil. It allows us to compete with new overseas refineries that have recently been built that do not have the same environmental compliance regulations that we have here in California and the United States; environmental rules that are extensive and difficult to comply with, but which allow us to live in a community that is safe and clean.

Not being able to compete could force Valero out of the California market, which many in this room would like, but which may not be the best for the people in this room that actually live here.

1 This project represents tax revenue, economic 2 stability, investment in our community, and much, much 3 more. 4 As you decide how to vote on this project, I ask 5 that you not get lost in the confusion and only look at 6 the facts. The Draft EIR is a comprehensive analysis of this 7 project, defines that this project will have a positive 8 9 impact on our community. Valero is a large part of what 10 makes Benicia a great place to live, and I ask that you 11 allow us to continue our efforts to remain a strong member 12 of this community. Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 14 Hi. Good evening. 15 MS. JAMES: Good evening. Thank you. My name is Dora 16 James, and I'm a transplanted Californian and living in 17 Texas. I went to school in Southern California and 18 19 studied industrial hygiene at UCLA. The rival school, my 20 rival alma mater, is here at UC Berkeley. And the reason 21 I left California is there wasn't an opportunity for me. 22 In the field of industrial hygiene, we look at 23 the workplace. They call it a science and an art. The 24 science part I always feel is easy, because you can

anticipate, recognize, evaluate and control -- and now

25

manage -- chemical and physical agents in the workplace.

And the EIR is designed to give you a picture of the anticipation and the management of potential hazards.

What I find is a lot of people are concerned about the communication piece, and that's the art. The art of communicating the hazards and having people understand that risk can be managed. And depending on how many tools that you have, you can do that successfully. You're never going to get away with having something be a hundred percent safe. But you are going to have success in minimizing as many risks as possible.

One of the things that I found is that your local emergency planning commissions and your mutual aid agreements, along with departments like Homeland Security, can help drill and bring agencies together to find out what their capabilities are, and they do that through tabletops and through actual drills, and I feel that that's something that the community needs to understand, if you're going to be moving forward in this direction, that you're going to be looking at all those risks.

So with that, I would like to say, I've been to the Middle East, and I've seen that environment, and what everybody here discusses about opportunities for people who want to have jobs and a future. It's a big sacrifice to go to the Middle East and to work there. And domestic

1 oil is probably the best opportunity to help young people 2 today. Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you. 4 Hi. Next speaker. 5 MR. CASTELLBLANCH: All the speakers have been taking 6 five minutes, and I thought that one would. My name is Ramon Castellblanch. I want to thank 7 you very much for sitting through this hearing. I 8 9 appreciate how many hours it's been and how long, you 10 know, you've been listening to people, maybe hearing a lot 11 of the same points. 12 The point I heard made quite a bit when I was 13 listening to the show on TV a little while ago is what a 14 good citizen Valero is and that we can trust Valero to do 15 the right thing, that they have, you know, a great record 16 for that kind of behavior. So I just quickly went online. I went to the 17 Project on Government Oversight, which is the organization 18 19 that 30 years ago found the \$400 hammer that the Army is 20 paying for -- I don't know if you remember that. But they 21 look into entities that are getting government money and 22 see what they're doing. 23 They looked at Valero over a 10-year period, and 24 they found 28 violations across the country of 25 environmental laws, water laws, air laws, health and

safety laws, across the United States. And some of them were exceptionally large. There was a finding in 2005, Valero had to pay \$5.5 million in penalty to the EPA for air pollution violations. At that point, that was the largest settlement the EPA had gotten from the refinery industry up until that date. They were the worst actor in the refining industry as it went back in '05.

I was flipping through Texas, Delaware, New Jersey, all these states where Valero has paid fines and violated the law, and then of course I get Benicia. Oh, my God, us too. This town. Yes. In 2008, 2009, 23 violations of air quality laws that Valero was found quilty of and had to pay civil penalties for.

So it seems to me that this may not be a good actor after all. This may be the kind of company, that as a business model, breaks the law, gets caught, as long as the penalties aren't any worse than the profits they made breaking the law: Recycle, break law again. I think that this company is probably a scofflaw and scofflaw is their model for doing business.

And I think that the EIR should account for that, that not only is this company unlikely to follow any voluntary guidelines -- which I heard earlier tonight, that this company is going to follow voluntary guidelines, because they're such good people -- I don't think it's

```
1
     their practice to even follow the law routinely.
 2
              So I think that if you're going to fix the DEIR,
 3
     one thing you need to do is account for a corporation that
 4
    routinely breaks the law. Thank you.
 5
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
 6
             Hi. Good evening.
 7
        MR. OVERMAN: Good morning.
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: Well said.
 8
 9
        MR. OVERMAN: My name is Glenn Overman. I've been a
10
     resident of Solano County for over 48 years, resident and
11
    native Californian, and I do work at Valero refinery. I
12
     just -- all the points have been made. I'm not going to
13
     reiterate those. I'm just going to say it's right there
14
     in the Draft EIR. Please read that. Those are the facts.
15
     Thank you very much.
16
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: I'm sorry. I didn't get your
    name, sir.
17
18
        MR. OVERMAN: Glenn Overman.
19
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thank you.
20
              Okay. Any other speakers? Looking for anybody
21
     else who would like to speak tonight. We do intend to
22
     continue this meeting to our next regularly scheduled
23
     Planning Commission meeting in September.
24
        MS. WELLMAN: Yes, but can you do a motion, please.
25
        COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yes. We're getting there.
```

```
COMMISSIONER SMITH: I move that we continue the
 1
 2
    public hearing to our next regularly scheduled meeting,
 3
     September 11th.
 4
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: And a second by Commissioner
 5
     Young.
 6
              Okay. And then call the roll.
 7
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Cohen-Grossman.
 8
         MS. COHEN-GROSSMAN: Yes.
 9
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oakes?
10
              Smith?
11
              Sprague?
12
              Young?
13
              Chair Dean?
14
         COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yes.
15
              Okay. So that ends this item for the evening. I
16
     imagine I'll see most of you in September. Thank you.
17
     (End of DVD audio transcription of the Planning Commission
18
    Meeting for the City of Benicia, on August 14, 2014.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	
7	I, Valerie E. Rasmussen, CSR No. 8900, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That the foregoing transcript was reported
LO	stenographically and transcribed by listening to the audio
11	of a DVD of the Planning Commission Meeting for the City
L2	of Benicia on August 14, 2014, through instruction by John
L3	J. Flynn of Nossaman, LLP.
L4	That to the best of my ability, the transcript is
15	a true and correct transcription of the DVD.
L6	I further certify that I am neither counsel for
L7	nor related to any party to said action nor in anywise
L8	interested in the outcome thereof.
L9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
20	this 16th day of September 2014.
21	
22	Valerie Pasmussen
23	VALERIE E. RASMUSSEN
24	CSR 8900
25	

	111-year 29:18 90:5	1986 63:11	21-year 56:7
\$	111s 95:9	1987 111:7 112:17	21st 170:7 171:5,8
\$100 128:5	112s 95:9	1990 43:5,6	22 91:6
\$13 185:19	11:00 25:24 26:6	1990s 48:3	23 40:20 42:7 177:1
\$13.7 131:23 149:8	11:30 157:14,15	1996 108:13	184:11
\$14 127:19 128:2	11th 126:14 170:4,19	1:30 26:10	24 13:11 21:13 131:19 149:18
\$2 115:12	171:22 172:16,18	1st 126:14 185:8	24/7 7:10
\$3 148:18	12 167:3	2	25 12:8 16:24 44:15
\$7.7 149:1	12-hour 25:24		58:24 59:3 69:11
0	120 127:14 131:12 147:1 148:10 177:9, 12 180:25	2 25:7 52:9 131:16 166:13	76:2 105:22 125:14 148:25 155:6 165:16 20 166:5,6,10 178:1
0.009 89:22	120-skilled 116:22	2-1 75:13	183:12
05 90:20	1232 14:12 32:2,16, 21 33:4,13 48:22	20 13:13 20:4 41:8,23 72:20 76:14 102:21 104:6,19 116:21 120:10 127:15,24	250 106:17 127:12 148:8
1	49:1 52:8 54:24 74:6		255 160:2
<u>'</u>	155:15,19,24 156:2, 3,9,10,14 157:4,6	131:11 133:3,6	26 80:3
1 48:8 123:23 166:12	164:21	146:25 148:10 180:24	262 86:3 130:6
1-800-334-OGOR	1232s 95:10	20,000 9:14	26th 75:25 76:9
77:2	124 46:6	20- 11:17	27 75:25 155:2 159:6
1.4 25:2	13 3:4 48:24 106:14	2000 128:24 159:15	8 178:17
1.5 110:14	13-year 117:23	160:5 176:12	27-year-high 159:4 28 11:12 13:4 23:9
1.6 148:13	1300 26:9	2003 14:9	63:11
1.7 176:12	14 159:6 167:5 169:9,	2005 35:9,15 114:14	28th 170:7,14,15
1.8 153:20	15 3:11 13:14 42:8 56:15 66:3 139:10 148:23 160:18 161:9 167:11	132:19,21 2006 112:18 129:8 148:18 159:14	29 128:17
10 26:11,14 41:3,8 48:24 56:8 66:3			2nd 76:18 186:5
70:11 112:21 114:2 116:9 121:10 127:20		2008 33:19 61:7	
128:2 130:20 132:21	15-year 31:8	2009 44:25 113:3	3
163:25 164:1 165:7 167:3 169:24 178:17	150 187:11	2010 61:13 110:10 150:8 159:16,19	3 37:8 52:13 122:1 156:19
10-mile-an-hour 113:6	15th 4:5 58:12,18	2011 159:9	3,000 144:10
100 5:10,11 122:21	16 187:4	2012 94:8 154:18	3,500 9:22
103 115:2	180 134:8 146:3,4	2013 61:8,13 110:14	3,900 106:19
10:00 166:15	1909 49:25	149:1 159:6	30 26:11 39:5 41:8
10th 40:21 50:7	1918 108:12	2014 13:4 49:3 50:7	49:5 60:8 83:3 113:6
11 12:10 115:8	1960s 8:22	73:4 2015 33:22 84:12	132:12 141:18 30-car 11:17
111 32:10 113.8	1968 35:8		
48:21 60:24 73:19	1969 128:21 165:12	2017 48:8	300 9:15 74:23
84:16 89:23 91:16	1970s 103:4	2035 133:3	300,000 107:17
102:9,24 124:16 130:5 156:8,17 157:1	1980 14:9	21 32:5	31 43:24

31-year 30:20	5	9,500 61:7	accounts 110:20
33 101:5 162:15		9.8 25:3	accurate 61:14
343 180:20	5 34:15 156:24	9/11 98:4 100:6	77:25 79:1 118:14
35 16:23 143:3	50 25:8 41:6,8 104:18 112:16 50-car 5:12 103:19, 21	90s 186:23	accurately 95:21
350 9:15		9:15 70:12	accused 152:3
365 7:10			achieve 17:9 159:17
38 177:11	500 180:21	A	achieved 119:21 176:14
39 48:19 95:3,5	53 89:1	A&m 143:13	acknowledged
3900 148:13	54 163:12	AAR 155:7,8,13	138:4
	54-year 98:2	157:5	acquired 121:16
4	5th 104:8	AAR'S 155:11	157:4
4 156:22		abide 120:12	acquisition 154:19 155:18,23
4.1-1B 75:14	6	ability 22:25 68:18 108:23	acre 72:23
4.1-2 75:18	6 95:4 110:12	abroad 126:21	acres 95:18
4.2-7 85:22	60 83:3 95:18 130:14	absolutely 37:10	Act 107:22
4.3 148:21	60,000 33:20	76:8 131:16 164:18	action 52:5 68:14
4.3.4 86:9	600 146:5	acceleration 17:11	90:11 122:22
4.7 122:18	65 163:13	accept 18:21	activation 34:5
4.7-2 90:22	689,000 159:23	acceptable 18:25 19:4 119:11	active 86:18 152:24
4.72 89:11			actively 96:5
40 61:3 73:20 86:7	7	accepted 102:9	activist 91:7 145:17
40-fold 61:9	7 15:13 25:15,17 106:13	access 8:25 39:6 93:25 109:6	activities 22:7 96:1 148:12
400 148:20		accessible 109:8	activity 5:22 9:3 10: 48:12 106:18 107:15 146:19 148:21
40s 8:24	7,000 72:21	accident 23:17 27:16	
41 159:22	70 130:14	28:8 42:11 54:12,17	
42 119:5 142:23	70,000 5:9 27:5	55:3 56:15,23 76:17 89:14,21 101:10	actual 28:12 53:16, 18 57:2 74:19 90:8,
434,000 61:9	73 65:7,9	104:23 113:10,17,24	10 91:14 185:21
45 34:25 115:23	730 85:23	130:3,12 142:13,15, 18	189:17
128:24 131:5,7	76 75:23	accidents 34:11	actuality 30:3
450 106:16 127:11 148:8	7th 74:12 82:13	42:18 65:23 66:1	actuaries 90:11
47 26:11 112:22		73:21 76:13 101:19, 23 102:25 103:11	actuary 90:6
114:1 130:15	8	123:8, 142:12	ad 63:24
48 56:19	800-plus 67:18	accomplished	adapting 105:25
487,000 159:22	82 163:14	180:7	add 65:6 136:18
495 80:2	88 153:21	accordance 125:1 159:19 account 14:11 15:3	added 15:14
4th 185:8			adding 22:14
	9	86:15	addition 39:3,4
	0.40.6	accounting 7:5	106:3,10 107:21 138:16 155:25
	9 49:2	152:23	

additional 3:18 advocating 108:4 Aliceville 123:20 analyzed 14:8 53:23 12:20 14:25 16:19 affect 88:15 122:19 align 41:25 anchor 46:10,13,19 22:17 30:24 92:5 70:2 97:18 106:17 127:12, affecting 49:7 Aline 12:22 16:18 15 136:18 148:8,10, 21:5 70:23 and/or 5:5 49:15,21 affects 105:9 11,14 151:11 153:16 90:4 all-train 130:2 156:9 157:2 161:10 afford 186:4 **Andres** 92:25 93:4 162:20 164:14 alleged 6:22 afraid 78:21 152:3 171:20 174:10 **Andrew** 172:4 176:5 **Alliance** 59:25 93:12 aftermath 24:9 address 13:20 17:1, anecdotes 161:17 allowing 69:24 14,20 28:24 29:22 afternoon 38:5 106:19 134:6 angle 142:7 55:13 71:11 84:7 **agencies** 15:7 16:2 88:11 123:7 124:5 alma 188:20 animation 9:18 10:4 117:6,9 126:8 189:15 169:15 alongside 9:11 **Anna** 125:10,13 agency 136:25 addressed 14:14 49:17 announced 83:1 139:7, 155:13 182:4 24:11 28:14 30:6, alter 22:5 102:15 54:10 57:6 84:14 agenda 167:8 171:14 104:13 151:24 alternative 6:14,15, annual 85:22.23 agent 146:2,4 167:14 23 41:15 43:11,14 105:22 agents 189:1 68:15 115:11 122:1, addresses 83:22 **annually** 61:8,9 2.3 147:5 154:2 148:14 agree 18:19 30:7 alternatives 53:13 120:13 138:8 150:19 addressing 134:14 anti-coagulation 136:22 agreed 12:10 22:9 90:16 adds 22:15 122:22 altogether 138:2 95:18 153:15 anticipate 177:7 188:25 **America** 32:8 41:13 agreements 189:14 Adela 90:25 96:24 126:12 anticipation 189:3 agricultural 83:20 adequate 33:12 39:7 **American** 32:2,15 84:1 124:3 antiquated 49:23 66:18,19 81:5 109:6 ahead 38:12 46:3 50:1 adequately 68:22 126:20 155:8,10 66:14 67:7 91:2 147:5 156:11 179:25 anymore 127:9 102:22 adjacent 8:20 American-**API** 53:24 Ahh 36:2 produced 81:2 adjourning 172:15 apologize 12:21 aid 186:16 189:13 37:25 109:20 172:12, Americans 81:3 Administration 13 176:3 Aimee 79:23 87:11 132:23 139:8 amount 15:10 49:6 air 19:17,25 29:7 58:3 69:10 90:18 apparatus 186:7 admirable 86:19 30:23 44:2,4 45:20 95:24 150:14 164:2 apparently 111:11 admit 157:15 50:16 53:14,21,22 amounts 21:25 113:23 54:3,4 55:7 57:10,11, admits 54:8 43:18 14,17 62:10 75:16 appears 72:1 85:13 Adopt 186:3 76:6,21,22 78:3,6,14, ample 110:1 appendix 6:19 11:7 19,20,24 79:14 95:8 adopts 182:21 **Amtrak** 83:24 48:9 96:4 97:17 104:12 Adrienne 37:24 108:4 117:7 126:3 Amy 9:20 13:4 39:11 applaud 38:21 58:19 40:17 70:24 150:13 179:1 63:16 77:7 170:14 applicable 165:1 advanced 117:11 **Alabama** 123:20 analyses 121:12 applicant 62:3 advantage 6:22 Alan 111:2 112:13 analysis 6:25 7:17 application 5:16 21:17 29:12 39:20 40:4 alert 51:21 174:24 139:20 47:13 71:20 72:25 adversely 107:20 Alfatesh 132:7 applied 150:8 73:17.22 74:8.9 advertising 79:10 139:24 122:18 188:7 **applies** 137:3 advised 86:9 **Alfred** 43:19 analyze 54:11

apply 6:1 arsenal 8:24 80:12 100:19 115:25 103:19 112:24 123:2 130:25 134:17 applying 100:23 art 184:5 188:23 attending 115:25 143:16 145:21 151:4 189:5.6 appreciation 187:4 attention 112:8 158:11 165:3 167:21 173:5 175:23 186:22, article 49:2,3 126:10 132:15 approach 59:2 23 187:5 157:24 **Aruba** 44:22 approached 34:15 **backed** 11:21 attorney 20:8 53:1 ashamed 96:10 approval 56:9 108:5 60:17 96:12 136:14 background 13:15 149:3 171:1 137:4 138:7 asks 110:23 101:4 140:5 approve 27:19 30:2 **asleep** 174:5 attorneys 60:12 backing 141:6 63:7 97:9 107:25 attract 110:19 aspect 139:17 backpacks 182:18 120:3 138:6 149:12 154:7 aspects 17:1 126:25 Atwood 97:3 **backs** 141:4 136:15 audience 3:23 approved 19:12 backup 88:8 93:21 125:25 126:18 assembled 178:6 165:22 backwards 60:18 135:2 136:24 145:22 **audio** 52:3 assertions 85:13 61:2 approving 106:25 132:16 **August** 123:23 backyard 76:19 124:19 assessment 18:24 126:14 171:13 approximately 9:22 bad 25:23 asset 127:25 150:23 **Aurora** 100:18 39:5 42:8 89:22 **bag** 19:14 57:21,23 115:3 148:25 176:12 authoritative 62:19 assist 88:16,18 150:12 186:19 **Bakken** 8:3 13:21,23 April 31:21 32:4 49:5 authorities 34:18 14:17,23 15:18,25 assisted 60:12 Arcadia 123:22 authority 6:3,20 16:6.8 48:5 49:15 48:11,15 96:17 102:3 assisting 136:14 54:1,13 58:25 61:4 architectural 86:11 137:24 138:15 71:15 73:2 87:14 Associates 154:13 area 9:1,8,11,13,21 91:20 94:16 96:6 **automated** 33:16,17 135:4 149:25 10:14,15,16 11:4 association 32:1,15 34:2,8,13 22:19 39:8,23 50:1 108:11 119:9 120:2 balance 47:2 54:6,7,10 57:9,15 average 61:7,8 78:19 133:5 155:8,9 156:11 **band** 152:25 60:1 66:8 76:7,21 79:8 132:19 161:11 assumes 7:17 84:19 78:15,20 79:9 90:12 Bangled 75:23 averages 29:19 104:10 106:8 117:6 assuming 54:23 **bank** 149:10 186:2 118:10 125:14,18 averaging 32:9 assumption 7:16 127:5 130:17 133:13 avoid 73:6 **Banner** 75:23 83:5,8 142:9 176:19 177:18 181:8 avoidance 33:16 assumptions 27:2 **Barack** 150:4 34:3 areas 10:6,7,24 22:6 **Bardet** 3:12,16 4:1 assurance 57:20 29:14 49:12,14 50:3, awake 162:12 12:18 assure 33:4 47:8 6 53:10 56:24 57:19 award 129:6 **barely** 11:22 58:4 72:15,16,18 99:8,25 115:2 116:3 120:16 bargain 18:12 aware 86:5,18 assured 46:15 160:24 161:2,13 Barjick 132:8 **asthma** 57:16 162:24 184:15 В Atlantic 25:17 **barrel** 49:19 argument 18:17 barrels 5:9 27:5 atmosphere 116:2 **B-e-t-h-a-r-d-s** 37:3 arguments 28:13 base 53:15 108:25 atrium 166:11 **BAAQ** 78:15 **Army** 8:22,25 110:11 116:6 134:19 attached 15:15 Bachelor's 154:24 arrive 99:22 162:18 baseball 21:18 153:1 179:20 attempted 162:16 back 8:15 10:11, 158:11 24:18 61:3 66:10 arrived 70:21 attend 152:24 184:17 **based** 27:1,10 40:2 70:11,14,19 83:11 arriving 5:10 attended 91:24 47:12 67:18 70:4 90:15 92:23 96:24

75:1 101:14 141:16 beneficial 78:14 158:6,9 159:7,19 **bit** 25:4 35:7 56:20 161:7,21 169:19 97:12 160:17,19 161:4 74:25 101:4 104:16, 162:5,14 164:1 22 105:1 143:18 baseline 53:17 benefit 15:10 35:19 176:23 178:11,13,24 157:16 164:11 168:4, 52:18 78:17 101:22 bases 44:20 20 172:11,18 185:17 179:22 180:3,8 107:11 108:1,25 181:1,6,21 183:7,11 basic 129:17 182:22 109:14 133:13,16 black 56:2 59:22,24 184:10 186:21 187:1, 76:8 3 188:10 **basically** 8:19 17:2 benefited 80:7 **blame** 23:18 116:10 121:10,23 122:12 Benicia's 52:1 68:14 152:22 benefits 22:13 106:2,24 107:23 blankets 185:7 **basics** 8:13 80:10,15 86:25 111:10 140:6 143:15 blast 25:13 92:6 147:3 149:1 160:4 97:16,18 109:22 **Basin** 120:18 183:12 116:24 131:8, bleep 63:23 132:14,16 133:10 **basing** 179:19 Benician 29:6 112:5 blew 130:14 146:22 160:20 162:6 basis 11:23 46:24 140:1 164:21 179:22 blog 31:10 127:13 **Benicians** 3:13 4:4 **Benicia** 4:22 5:1 **blood** 90:18 52:19 60:2 63:1 **batch** 18:7 13:10 15:13,22,24 93:11 99:24 179:8 **blow** 65:15 16:1,5,23 19:15,23, **bated** 82:5 Benzene 65:7 25 21:2,12,13 22:14, blue 8:14 10:19 Bay 15:11 22:19 39:8 21 23:1,25 24:15 Berkeley 160:11 **BNSF** 83:1,12 50:1 54:6 57:12,13, 26:25 30:21,23 31:9 188:20 18 59:25 66:8 76:7, 33:4 34:7,24,25 35:5, board 32:15 34:23 20 78:15,20 79:8 20 36:1,8,12 37:13, Bethards 37:3 40:16 48:3 49:2 73:4 96:11 80:12 81:9 88:14 15 38:11,19,21 40:2, 46:4 111:8 113:9 139:24 106:8 117:6 118:10 20 42:7 43:5,7,16,19 **Betsy** 25:5 125:14,18 127:5 boat 65:23,24 66:1 44:11,16,17 45:10, 176:19 179:2 20,22 46:5,7,8,16,17 **Beutel** 51:24 **boats** 66:8 47:5,18 48:17 50:3 bayonet 19:23 bias 62:1 118:19 **Bob** 172:5 174:2 56:8,22 57:8,11,14, **Bayshore** 10:8,12,16 18 58:5,8,21 59:6,17, **biased** 121:22 **Bobbi** 38:3,10 97:2 11:15 24 62:9,18,25 63:6, 105:3 111:2 bicycles 42:13 25 67:11 70:3 72:8 bear 19:10,13 47:10 **bodes** 131:6 73:9 74:3 75:14 **bidder** 59:13 133:18,19 76:23 77:10 78:18,21 boiling 144:2 Biers-ariel 71:2 bears 6:24 133:17 79:8 80:3,4,6,14 150:18 **Bolds** 152:17 154:11, 86:24 88:22 89:10 **big** 24:3,20 31:13 12,13 157:10 93:5,6 96:13 97:7 beautiful 19:15 36:2 42:11 58:8 98:2 101:21 103:16 118:25 69:13 83:17 84:2 **bomb** 66:24 104:5 105:9,10,11, 94:25 100:4 114:23 **Bee** 90:1 **bombs** 59:1 12,15,18 106:6,10, 128:3 135:22 181:9 13,20,23 107:8,16 182:16 186:22,25 begging 77:6 book 185:11 108:24 109:8,12,17, 187:5,6 189:24 begin 140:1 **Boom** 114:7 25 110:4,18,19,22,25 **bigger** 187:7 111:6,12,13,16,19 beginning 70:20 boost 116:20 147:3 115:22,25 116:2,11, biggest 23:13 68:18 135:14 137:2 183:19 **bothers** 143:19 17 117:3,5,10,23,25 Bill 97:4 105:3.6 **begins** 19:8 118:4,9,13,21,23,24 144:16 174:9 107:2 150:11 119:5,8 120:1,10 begun 58:16 **bottom** 15:19 103:13 122:14 125:25 **billion** 115:3,12 behalf 98:3 143:11 129:20 131:11, **bought** 141:5 148:13,18,21 135:13 138:16 140:4, **belief** 183:4 **bound** 27:4,6,12 billionaire 135:21 25 141:9,21 142:8, believed 143:8 17,23 143:2 144:24 **boy** 65:19 billions 58:4 145:5,14 146:7,15 belongs 102:4 **bozos** 113:21 **binds** 27:7 147:7 148:21 149:17 137:16 138:25 152:21 153:1 157:5

Canadian 71:15 **brakes** 25:25 26:1 building 93:16 C 146:11 166:8 103:6 149:25 **braking** 103:21 buildout 83:23 Canyon 49:25 72:13 124:11,12,13 C-a-w-o-o-d 97:3 brand-new 32:19 capabilities 189:16 **built** 8:24 33:13 **cabinets** 153:12 35:11 49:9,25 128:22 breach 86:1 capacity 11:1,2 17:3, 135:17 156:9 177:7 Cadillac 93:17 7,9 55:12 151:9 break 67:5.6 70:10 187:16 Cal/osha 119:18 152:9 capital 86:12,16 bulkhead 114:5 129:6,7 181:22,25 114:10 breaking 10:10 182:1,4 bullet 79:6 capitalist 133:23 breath 82:6 calculate 91:15 **bullets** 135:15 capture 162:6 breathe 179:1 calculated 92:6 bunch 9:7 car 14:12 25:6 27:25 **breeze** 11:9 calculating 91:20 **bundle** 25:15 28:2 31:20 33:8 Brennan 3:1 57:25 86:1 100:12,25 calendars 170:12 bundled 25:17 101:7,9 102:4,17 **bridge** 57:7 65:17 California 29:17 burden 133:17.18 103:2,4,7,16 114:9, 148:23 34:5,14 43:13,20 11,15,18 121:16 burning 148:6 152:5 46:18 50:8 59:12 bridges 49:24 56:25 128:25 130:22 131:5 64:16 66:2 72:11,16, 57:1,4 **burns** 95:7 138:21 139:6 143:22 21 73:23 74:18 98:2 144:9 154:14 155:7, **bring** 20:11 24:9 **burst** 114:11 107:21 108:10,15 14,15,16,19 156:6, 38:23 41:12,20,22 110:14,18 112:17 10,16,18,21,25 **bury** 61:20 71:15 85:21 96:6 114:4,22 115:2 157:1,6 98:22 110:24 136:2 **business** 5:4 16:24 116:18 121:11 134:8 151:7 165:9 189:15 Carbide 155:2 30:25 37:14 41:9 136:25 153:20 176:8, 43:6 44:14,17 46:13 14 179:6 180:20 carbon 43:23,25 **bringing** 28:16 71:21 52:14 56:8 57:22 181:22 183:17 121:15 97:11 122:15 142:16 58:9 63:6 88:22 89:3 187:18,23 188:18,21 145:15,16,18 150:24 card 77:21 85:5,9 94:10,14,23 110:8 California's 49:21 136:10 165:23 166:6 **brings** 35:22 46:12 119:7 129:22 130:25 50:5 86:11,16 110:8, 173:2 174:16,20 47:5 131:9 183:18 134:7 135:22 136:1,4 10 186:3 146:2,4 152:22 cards 3:5 31:2 153:10,13 154:25 Californian 188:16 British 88:25 152:11 165:17,20 176:11,21 177:15 166:5 172:2 174:10, **call** 3:18 45:25 68:23 broader 87:23 180:19 183:8,9,10,14 19 175:12,19 76:6,11 77:1 91:18 businesses 12:9 **Broadwater** 132:7 114:4 152:8,15 care 28:25 129:10 134:5,7 23:1 44:11 46:18 188:23 131:24 179:9 180:6, 58:22,23 59:3,5 brochure 26:4 14 187:10 **called** 3:6 21:4 117:3 148:20 153:3, 70:18,21,22 74:24 career 101:10 143:11 broken 74:8 76:12 76:4 77:22 82:15 **butane** 129:18 carefully 28:11 **Brotherhood** 146:3 113:9,12 118:15 78:10 132:15 141:24 165:11,12 141:12 158:2 brought 25:11 71:12 142:6 butchering 38:1 84:9 150:16 187:2 calling 32:19 cargo 115:1 129:12 buv 32:21 61:24 75:2 **BRRIP** 43:21 Cambridge 133:5 126:20 161:4 **caring** 35:17 Brunswick 32:4 Camellia 80:2 carpenter 135:16 **buying** 141:9 101:16 **Cameron** 160:17 **Bye** 79:19 Carquinez 88:16 **brunt** 47:10 camp 22:1 116:7 148:23 bypass 115:2,4,16 **Buckner** 92:25 97:1 Canada 56:20 57:21 **Carroll** 80:1,2 build 115:16 176:9 65:3 103:9 113:24,25 carry 16:4 57:8 59:16 115:12 123:20 builders 46:5 102:21 172:17 130:12

42:13 47:25 48:2,7, 22,24 49:17 52:1,8 54:25 55:2 56:11,13, 14,15 61:8,9 74:7,9 83:16 84:4,16 85:23 88:7 99:8,16 102:5,8, 9,11,19,21,24 103:7, 10, 112:24 120:22,23 121:1 122:6,22 124:14,16 131:2 133:1 154:16,20 155:2,4,19,21,24 156:1,3,5,6,8,22 157:2,5 164:21,23 165:8 case 47:3 48:13 70:20 77:8 106:2 111:12 128:25 138:11 163:10 164:6 cases 60:8,10 Casselton 101:16 123:19 casts 7:1 casualties 50:10,15 catastrophe 68:19 catastrophic 31:23 68:24 69:12 92:2 94:7 catch 11:13 50:23 category 40:2 caused 42:17 122:23 causing 90:18 **Cawood** 104:4 CBR 107:10 108:3 cease 118:23 center 15:20 105:16 **Centered** 177:15 centers 114:22 124:8 century 87:5 **CEO** 176:5

carrying 32:6 56:18,

21 123:18,21 172:16

cars 5:10,11 6:8 9:15

11:19,21 12:3 17:9

32:1,16,21 33:2,4,6,

20:10 27:9 31:25

CEQA 5:6 96:7 **cheek** 99:3 136:23,25 137:3,22 chemical 97:8 138:17 149:20 163:5, 7 ceremony 185:6 certainty 29:7 certificates 182:23 certification 176:15 certified 33:7 153:21 176:13 181:22 182:9 cetera 6:6 18:8,10,16 174:3 chain 109:14 183:19 **Chair** 3:3 31:15 59:22 136:13 170:14 173:11 175:8 **chairman** 13:2 43:4 97:6 100:15 136:9 140:20,23 142:2 154:11 155:6 **chairs** 187:7 challenging 179:6 **chance** 3:6 59:13 157:20 167:6 171:20 chances 60:23,24 change 45:6 69:11 137:10 145:16,19 164:24 183:13 changed 80:22 Channel 10:16 characterizes 4:17 **charge** 119:13 charitable 75:7 127:18 128:4 149:5 charities 44:10 127:20 128:2 131:23 149:8,9 charity 21:21 106:14 **charts** 130:7 **cheap** 94:17,18 check 19:2 66:22 170:12

chemicals 50:15 152:4 **chemist** 105:11 **Cherry** 123:22 Cheryl 91:1 92:24 96:25 Chevron 42:16 95:17 161:6 Chicken 94:3 Chief 159:8 child 142:14 children 21:16 80:4 115:24 144:25 149:9 152:24 161:8 178:12 182:19 children's 22:1 China 59:14 **choose** 41:15 71:25 97:14 119:13,14 161:6 178:24 chooses 62:7 **Chris** 152:16,20 Christine 140:25 Christmas 182:21 **chump** 69:11 circled 10:7 circulation 9:3,5 circumstance 150:18 circumstances 85:19 130:16 cite 39:23 78:13 cited 5:20 50:7 cities 24:21,25 citizen 22:21 45:14 46:23 104:7 140:1,4 141:10 citizens 17:25 18:22 20:6 42:21 58:8,21 59:16 62:17 63:5 77:23 79:16 131:24

160:10 189:1

city 15:13 16:1 17:24 18:12,15,16,17,19,23 19:1,12,13,16 26:9 27:18 28:3,8 30:4,25 31:7 33:25 38:19 40:2 44:23 45:9 52:16 54:18 60:6 62:2,3,25 63:17,23 65:19 69:16.17 76:23,24 77:3,9 80:18 81:11 88:10 94:11 95:24 96:13,15 98:13 105:10 106:13 108:24 109:17 110:24 111:8 112:17 116:2,4,13 131:18,24 135:2,18,20 137:4,8, 11,24,25 138:2,4,15 139:11,15 140:6 143:15 147:18 149:3 153:25 154:3 158:21 159:16,19 161:20 162:2,11 179:7,15 184:10,15, 185:16 186:5,16 187:1 City's 138:5 144:12 civil 60:8 115:9 **claim** 7:16 54:19,20 151:13 claimed 28:14 **claims** 4:10 27:2 clarification 172:8, 14 173:21,22 clarify 3:3 40:5,9 **class** 75:5 **classes** 143:14 classification 102:18 classify 18:4 **Clayton** 176:25 **clean** 49:16 54:14 62:10 91:19 133:20 148:6 178:13 186:5 187:21 cleanup 23:19 27:15 99:4 clear 30:22 52:16 61:18 140:9 149:25 156:3 173:19 175:10

178:6

Checker 17:24

checking 57:4

Clerk 149:3 COHEN-169:5 181:20 GROSSMAN 166:2, **client** 62:13 Commissioner 3:2, committee 19:12 4,18 170:13,25 9,24 8:8 12:16,19 43:18 155:7,14 **clients** 62:15 13:6,9 16:12,15 Coke 9:10 commodities 11:15 climate 68:14 71:16, 20:13,17,20,24 23:3, **Coleman** 140:17 18 110:8 commodity 117:2 6 26:17,20,23 30:13 146:1,2 31:4 34:21,23 36:25 climate-action **common** 60:20 collaborated 51:24 37:22 38:8,12 40:13 159:17 42:4 43:2 45:24 common-resident collateral 87:19 Clio 49:24 47:15 50:23 51:2,9, 104:10 12 52:21,23 55:17 collected 77:12 **clock** 7:13 communi 75:19 59:20 63:8 67:1,4, collecting 186:5 clogging 83:21 70:9,16 74:20 77:13, communicating 17, 79:20 81:24 collide 99:13 189:6 close 9:23 45:1,11 84:22 85:2,6,8 87:7 communication 80:10 84:13 110:3 collision 33:16 34:2 89:6 90:23 91:4 189:5 129:16 130:17 161:6 92:22 96:23 97:24 collision-avoidance 165:10 167:7,20 100:8,14,15 105:2,7 34:13 communications 181:7 107:4,7 108:6 111:1 34:1 108:10 **column** 90:18 112:9 115:19 117:17 **closed** 45:10 communities 28:19 119:2 120:5,8 121:3 Comission 154:6 closely 132:17 179:8 54:16 67:24 68:6 125:4 128:14 132:4 184:20 commendable 69:1,19,23 70:6 134:2 136:7,12 73:10 81:4 92:11 117:12 146:14 139:22 140:15,22 **closest** 144:11 93:10 94:20 109:25 142:20 145:24 147:9 comment 3:23 13:4 closing 86:23 149:11 122:19,20 127:6 149:14 152:7,13 50:20 55:15 137:21 164:19 154:9 157:8,12,13,25 closure 45:12 139:9 171:20 177:22 160:13 162:9 165:16, community 4:4 8:6 closures 44:24 commentary 52:4 20 166:2,3,4,17,18, 19:15 22:14,22 19,24 167:16,25 35:13,17,21 37:13 clothes 28:16 185:2 comments 4:5.12 168:8,21 169:6,7,8, 186:8 38:23 44:9 45:18 13:3 31:18 47:16,20, 16.18.25 170:8.11. 52:15 53:4 55:5 60:3 21 51:22 53:8 55:10 Club 187:3 13,18,22,25 171:3, 67:23 68:4 70:6 80:8, 60:6 71:9 124:1 11,12,17 172:10,21 15 81:17,19 85:5 **Clyatt** 96:25 127:20 139:14,21 173:1,4,16,20 174:1, 92:10 93:12 95:18 141:15 157:20 166:2, CMTA 108:12,13,14 12,18 175:1,4,16,20, 97:13,17 105:16,22 167:19,21,23 168:2, 110:23 24 178:9 180:17 107:11,25 108:1,22 18 169:3,11,21,22 181:4 184:2 188:13 109:1,10,13 119:16 CO₂ 160:2 172:19,22,24 173:6 120:1 122:20 127:18 176:3,16 Commissioners coach 158:11 133:19 142:25 4:1, 13:2 21:12 31:7 COMMISIONER 143:10 146:21,22 coached 21:20 34:12 37:5 43:4 167:4 149:5 153:2,4,5,6,16 152:25 51:20 80:1 97:6 154:4 158:7,15,16, commission 44:7 100:16 108:8 132:10 Coalition 60:1 17.19.20 160:8 162:7 47:11 52:7 58:20 134:5 146:1 147:15 165:4 177:25 178:13 61:23 62:17 63:4 coast 15:17 66:20 149:16 157:19 179:3 180:23 181:15, 144:19 150:1 151:22 70:10 77:7 88:24 160:15 162:1,10 17,18,20 182:15 98:13 100:2 112:6 170:5 171:20 174:4 **Coats** 182:22 183:1,5,12,23,24,25 118:18 120:3 126:1 commissions 185:13 186:1 187:9, 136:13 139:24 code 17:17,18 189:13 20 188:2,9,12 189:18 140:21,24 149:11 coffee 185:10 152:9,13 154:12,23 commitment 32:23 community's 36:13 coffers 69:10,12,16, 158:7 165:18 166:20 44:8 68:15 97:19 60:1 167:5,12,18,20 109:23 146:21 17,20,21 companies 22:25 170:2,5,16,19 174:7, 182:12,13 cognizant 172:19 41:6 48:13 131:3 23 175:10 committed 107:24 133:15,16 155:10 Commission's

155:23,25 164:18,

Transcript of Audio from DVD Index: company..Continuing

company 41:23 compliance 7:15 138:7 131:13 146:11,20 45:15 46:5,12,21 32:24,25 82:12 126:7 147:2 148:10 155:20, conducted 14:2 58:6, 101:7 107:23 187:17 22 156:16,18 177:24 103:8 109:16 111:16 118:12 181:1 complied 7:10 confers 48:11 119:13,24 120:1 consultant 89:19 129:23 131:25 136:3 complies 107:21 confidence 161:3 90:10 100:17 154:13 143:11 153:8,18,23, comply 18:19 96:1 24 176:13 177:15 confident 162:1 consultant's 90:7 102:6 164:24 165:1 182:16 165:23 180:13 181:24 187:19 consultants 31:8 comparable 144:7 configuration 48:16 60:4,14,15,21 61:1, complying 84:13 148:16 150:20 151:8 12,18,25 62:7,8 155:25 127:12 179:16 comparative 133:24 confirm 90:7 166:9 components 5:7 consulting 14:3 **compare** 143:21,23 confirmation 90:11 154:14 161:22 composition 6:4 compared 43:10 48:12 confirmed 62:4 consumers 109:9 48:6 79:1 106:9 comprehend 137:13 confusion 188:5 144:6 consumes 95:24 comprehensive Congress 33:19 comparison 130:18 consumption 95:23 140:9 188:7 144:15 congressmen 82:9, 126:2 132:19,22 computations 21 84:8 133:1,4 comparisons 118:17 158:25 contacted 12:8 Conhagen 43:20 computer 51:25 44:15 compensation contained 49:16 23:18 148:14,15 concentration connector 10:20 container 144:4,5 157:17,22 compete 187:15,22 conscience 30:2 contaminants 54:3 concept 4:19 124:24 competitive 27:13 conscious 42:15.18 contaminated 28:5 36:21 80:23 88:21 concepts 103:9 128:9 95:7,8 106:7 108:23,24 concern 12:10 33:15 consequences 111:13 117:1,13 contemporary 81:10 32:24 123:11 118:9,10,22 146:24 61:14 147:4 179:6 183:15 concerned 56:9,24 considerable 75:19 contend 152:3 57:9,19 69:7 82:10 competitively consideration 86:13 87:22 104:7,18 content 53:24 108:15 105:13 139:21 140:7 122:16 141:10 189:4 147:16 contentions 85:18 competitiveness concerns 5:5 12:11 111:20 considered 39:4 **contents** 156:21 28:24 29:2 34:16 141:8 compiled 118:13 45:18 55:4.14 68:18 context 136:19 121:20 123:8 177:24 considers 157:5 138:9,11 160:3 complaining 79:13 162:20 163:7,25 98:21 concise 51:17 consistent 146:13 continuance 86:17 complaint 135:8 conclude 12:16 consistently 125:23 175:9 complete 77:10 concludes 12:13 consortiums 101:8 continue 22:21 119:11 140:8 178:6 conclusion 15:17 Constance 51:24 35:25 41:9 44:4,17 completed 33:21 27:10 39:2,13 60:19 99:24 117:13 128:8 constantly 117:5 61:2 162:19 179:21 131:18 145:6 146:7 completely 28:9 constituents 179:8 157:14,18 158:21,22 137:12 conclusions 27:1 167:10 168:5,8,10,15 construct 39:14 completing 148:23 **Concord** 176:25 169:4,5,13,25 170:10 171:4,6,9,19 180:23 constructed 15:1 completion 148:11 **concrete** 153:13 188:11 115:9 complex 60:8 concur 51:6 91:8 continued 106:1 construction 22:15, complexities 162:17 **conditions** 4:14 7:9, 16 39:3,7,14,25 Continuing 45:21 17 53:16,17 89:14 116:23 127:15

continuity 52:14 corresponds 89:22 coworkers 161:5 crowded 99:18 181:14 corridor 114:10 continuous 15:6 **crude** 4:25 5:9 13:21, Cox 79:22 82:1 84:24 23 14:13,17,21,22 continuously **Corte** 76:2 15:5,9,10,18,20,25 **CPC** 32:2,16 48:25 101:12 cortisone 90:15 16:4,6,8 22:18 25:3 52:8 155:15,19,24 Contra 87:25 88:3 27:5 28:17 31:21 cost 19:10,13 27:15 156:2,3,9,10,14 96:14 40:22 48:5,20 49:12 157:4,5 164:21 92:16 109:22 115:4, 53:20,25 54:1,13 contract 106:17 13 crafted 28:11 57:8 59:1 61:4,7,9 contractor 176:7,8 cost-effective 106:5 62:24 66:18,19 craftsman 116:22 71:13,15,23 72:2,3,4 177:3,8 182:14 118:7 127:14 131:12 147:1 73:2 80:24 81:3,5,8 contractors 127:12 Costa 87:25 88:3 Craig 117:19 121:4,8 91:20 94:16 97:14 148:9,19,20 96:15 102:5,10,16 106:3,5, crap 112:23 9 109:18,19 110:24 contractual 5:17 costs 19:14 42:16 118:4,6,8 120:22,23 23:24 47:10 crash 25:21 122:11, 123:15,19 Contrary 146:9 crash-avoidance **coughs** 57:16 128:8,10 129:13,15 33:18 133:7 135:4 136:2 contribute 75:15 Council 52:17 53:2 144:18.21.22 145:3.8 185:22,23 104:20 111:8 135:2 crashed 98:5 148:4 150:1,2, 151:7, 149:3 contributes 69:9,21 create 39:9 45:20 11,22 152:5 155:17 103:20 106:13 counsel 60:12 72:22 108:18 127:14 156:7 157:6 165:13 128:11 148:12 179:25 contribution 86:19 count 66:7,9 180:24 crude-by-rail 4:9 contributions counties 146:6 created 38:18, 39:25 13:12 30:10 31:12 86:20.22 148:25 163:13.18 44:10 37:11 39:21 43:7 185:16,18 **counting** 5:3,10 44:3,5 46:25 47:22 creating 97:18 control 6:3 7:2 20:9 50:12 75:14 81:8,21 116:21 117:2 146:25 **countries** 41:2,10, 33:17 34:2,4,19 82:10,15 83:14,16,21 148:13 17,24 42:23 59:10 74:14 84:8,11 103:21 84:5 85:14 97:10,12, 144:14 188:25 country 60:10 65:4 creation 125:19 23 100:22 101:21 127:5 70:7 110:10,12,14 106:5,21 108:20 controlled 156:20 117:15 122:25 116:11 117:25 118:3, creations 160:22 controllers 99:11 126:17,18 129:24 7,22,23 125:21 130:3 137:10 144:21 credibility 7:1 126:3,5,11,15,23 conversation 158:3 128:7 136:15 144:17, country's 110:15 **credit** 159:7 convinced 47:6 24 145:8,15 146:23 68:22 county 88:1,2 96:10, crew 113:20 149:12 150:24 12,14 104:6 107:16 158:18 160:1 179:4, **cool** 64:17 crime 159:4,5,8 118:6 134:9 137:7,11 21 180:9,22 183:7, cooperation 182:11 163:12,14,15,17,19 criteria 5:20 18:8,11, 13,24 18,25 19:2,3,4 43:12 County's 148:16 coordinated 143:14 crudes 109:7 145:1 75:20 117:8 coordinator 121:9 couple 16:19 21:5,8 **cued** 51:22 critical 80:19 112:2 53:9 74:11 85:21 **copy** 141:13 179:4 **Cuffel** 152:18 91:25 100:19 123:24 162:10,13 132:16 134:13 **criticism** 174:6,25 corporate 5:18 158:11 184:7 22:21 45:14 46:23 cul-de-sacs 9:7 crops 83:20 132:1 176:22 177:16 couplers 103:13 **culture** 153:17 Cross 16:20 21:6,12 corporation 133:2 court 60:9,12 80:2 23:5 111:3 115:21,22 cumative 75:19 155:5 cover 58:6,7 61:13 crossings 10:15 cumulative 12:1 **correct** 170:23 72:22 103:24 95:21 covered 58:1 161:13 correctly 138:4 crossover 112:21 cups 72:22 covering 31:11

cure 20:6

current 34:24 80:25 81:12 101:10 102:6 106:9 133:2 156:4 165:8

cursor 9:17

curtail 5:3

customary 18:18

customer 44:15 176:10

customers 80:13

cutoff 157:15

D

D-u-r-f-e-e 79:24

D.C. 139:8

Dagmar 14:2

daily 8:2 11:17,23 63:3 127:13 165:7

Dakota 24:15 101:16 114:7 123:19

Dakotas 13:23

Dale 111:3 115:22

damage 87:19 99:2 130:24 144:1

Damien 55:19,24 71:1

damn 112:25

Dan 111:3, 132:6 134:7

danger 82:18

dangerous 28:19 48:21 61:4 69:25 73:1 82:16

dangle 20:3

dark 8:14 10:19

Daspota 132:6,10,11

data 61:2,12,14,20 68:25 69:2,5 78:23, 24 79:1 118:13,14, 15,17,20 161:18

date 96:8 170:13 171:4,13

date-certain 168:9, 10 170:1,10 171:4,6

daughter 21:14

Daum 100:12,15,16

Dave 117:20,22 121:5 125:6

Davis 28:2 50:3 73:8, 11 112:17 113:14 114:4,12,13,18 115:6

Davita 97:3 104:4

day 5:9,10,14 6:16 8:6 25:24 27:5 45:8 98:4,7,11,18,25 99:20 106:18 122:11, 22 130:25 150:11,15 163:12,13,14 164:2 181:11,13

day-in 146:13

day-out 146:14

daycare 185:4

days 7:10 32:9 35:8, 10 36:17 63:19 65:9 87:15

dead 130:24

deadly 33:18

deal 36:2 128:3

dealing 74:16 92:2 93:14 94:12 153:24

dealt 124:24

Dean 3:2,3,9,24 12:16,19 13:6,9 16:12,15 20:13,17, 20,24 23:3,6 26:17, 20,23 30:13 31:4,15 34:21 36:25 37:22 38:8,12 40:13 42:4 43:2 45:24 47:15 50:23 51:2,9,12 52:21,23 55:17 59:20 63:8 67:1,4, 70:9,16 74:20 77:13,17, 79:20 81:24 84:22 85:2,6,8 87:7 89:6 90:23 91:4 92:22 96:23 97:24 100:8,14 105:2 107:4,7 108:6 111:1 112:9 115:19 117:17 119:2 120:5,8 121:3 125:4 128:14 132:4 134:2 136:7,

12,13 139:22 140:15, 22 142:20 145:24 147:9 149:14 152:7, 13 154:9 157:8,12,25 160:13 162:9 165:16, 20 166:3,17,19,24 167:16,25 168:8,21 169:7,16,25 170:8, 11,18,22 171:3,11,17 172:10,21 173:1,4, 11,16,20 174:1,12,18 175:1,4,8,16,20,24 178:9 180:17 181:4 184:2 188:13

Dear 105:7

debt 94:12

decade 106:15 131:22 149:9

decades 42:1 87:5

December 33:22

decide 21:1 48:15 68:4 118:18 124:2 166:23 188:4

decided 137:7

decision 18:22 59:18 92:12,18 101:25 104:17 110:7 124:21 125:2 136:6 172:9,14 175:11 183:8,14

decision-makers 36:5

decision-making 138:25

decisions 52:18 70:4 87:3 92:17 94:5 100:23 161:18 179:20

decline 132:21 133:4,6

declining 132:20

decrease 44:4 107:13 116:16 128:10

decreasing 109:2

dedicated 31:10

dedication 146:14

deductible 86:22

deemed 48:2 85:16 deep 61:21 78:23 deep-water 93:25

deeply 60:11 179:2

default 144:22

Defense 53:1

deficiency 71:23 72:10

defied 82:24

define 18:6

defines 188:8

defining 6:12

degree 15:23 51:17 128:20 154:24 178:15

degrees 65:7,9 130:8

DEIR 6:16 7:4,12,16 17:8,13,16 18:4 23:11 24:5,11,19,20 25:11 27:1,22 28:23 31:18 32:20 34:3 37:12,20 38:24 39:10,23,24 40:3,4, 10 47:20,21 48:9,19 50:11,21 53:19 57:6 60:5,21,22 61:21 62:18 67:13,17,19 68:20 69:3 71:10,11 72:1,5,7,18,24 73:1, 18 74:10,16 75:11 78:13,22 79:12 82:2 83:5,7,10,22 84:10, 14,19 86:8 91:10 121:22 136:20 137:19,20,23 138:2, 18 149:19 151:23 185:14 186:11

DEIR'S 32:10 39:1, 13,20

Delaware 44:23 45:2 delay 11:16 133:20 delayed 34:17 90:12 delaying 18:15 139:1

delays 7:6 138:17

deliver 186:9

delivered 186:6 101:1 102:14 devalued 69:16 **dirty** 54:3 delivering 102:5 derailments 29:15 devastating 45:1 disadvantage 36:22 32:5 34:11 48:1 delivery 62:24 64:20, develop 102:7 disagree 47:22 50:14 61:5,11 73:3, 21 65:20 102:10 155:15,19 50:11 13 74:12 86:6 90:3 109:19,20 98:17 101:15 developed 157:7 disaster 52:12,14,15 delta 28:5 159:17 derelict 11:3 disasters 33:19 61:5 demand 14:25 17:2, development 39:16 describe 5:16 25:2.4 disastrous 47:25 5,12,13 94:21 115:17 51:25 71:17 111:8 120:25 151:10 describes 5:77:8 136:24 147:22 148:2 discern 141:25 155:11 describing 4:14 **demands** 139:11 discharge 126:2 devices 124:11,13 Democrat 45:2 description 6:14 disciplines 179:17 7:16 11:8 **Dial** 12:23 13:2,8,10 democratic 18:1,14, disclose 54:11 16:14 22 descriptive 18:7 136:21 **Dicaro** 105:4 108:8,9 demonstrated **deserve** 135:25 disclosed 53:23 97:20 146:13 165:14 **Dickey** 121:5 125:6 141:7 deserves 7:19 77:10 **Dempsey** 85:4,7,9 157:23 dictate 136:3 **disclosing** 53:18,20 densely 50:2 die 142:14 design 17:2,7,15 disclosure 138:3 18:9 19:3 32:19 33:9, departing 5:11 died 26:11 56:20,22 discount 109:10 13 100:25 103:9 59:17 department 6:9 discounted 94:17 designated 3:15 26:3,4 48:11 64:12 diesel 120:24 164:1 29:14 72:15 discretion 137:4 65:14 105:19.20 diesels 66:12 138:6 designation 119:18, 143:4,16 144:13,14 discretionary 137:4 149:2 156:5,7 159:11 20 diet 95:25 184:13 138:15 designed 81:12,21 differently 25:5 departments 158:22 117:14 121:22 discuss 8:2 157:18 difficult 54:14 160:25 186:19 123:11 189:2 172:1 187:19 189:14 **designs** 103:16 discussed 73:13 dig 78:23 dependence 109:2, 95:22 149:19 164:10, desire 171:7 173:17 8 116:25 131:15 diligence 67:22 178:12 133:11 160:21 68:11 98:12 99:21 discusses 17:13 desired 7:9 165:24 dependency 41:13, diligent 99:14 189:23 14 **Desiree** 105:4 107:8 dinner 182:17 discussion 12:13 depending 94:15 desk 181:12 54:15 71:20 72:17 dire 85:20 145:1 189:7 73:14 132:13 136:19 desperately 186:10 138:9 139:18 direct 22:1 148:15 depot 122:12 destroyed 27:17 179:21 displacement 17:12 depth 73:22 72:20 directed 139:14 disrespect 60:6 derail 65:13,15 95:6 detail 55:10 155:12 disservice 45:22 derailed 31:22 determine 101:11 direction 36:5 112:25 114:11 179:13 189:19 distance 33:10 123:19,21 130:14,22 determined 30:3 directions 4:24 distances 121:17 derailing 51:25 124:19 distant 5:1 directly 39:17 60:13 **derailment** 8:3 29:25 detonates 143:24 62:3 106:16 107:17 distinguished 32:9 49:5 50:4 60:23, detonations 32:3 director 101:6.8 129:25 25 68:19 69:5,14 116:7 147:25 72:19 73:11,15 74:17 devaluation 63:3 **district** 21:16 76:7, 85:25 88:2 99:18 dirtiest 91:19 21 80:19 96:4,5

economics 44:19 117:7 doubling 7:22 67:22 68:11 98:12 99:14,21 114:10 **diverse** 146:12 doubt 7:1 54:13 58:5 economist 29:5 135:24 **Dixon** 73:9 115:6 down-wind 4:22 **economy** 105:24 dumping 27:23 108:3,19 109:10 dock 66:11 78:8 downplay 29:1 **Dunsmuir** 72:14,19 110:20 111:10 docks 143:5 downside 63:1 116:21 125:18 127:5 duplication 109:20 176:9 doctorate 130:8 downstream 49:8 **Durfee** 79:23 87:11 **Ed** 4:6,12 8:7 140:17 document 13:17 downtown 48:25 **DVD** 51:22 142:22 38:24 67:18 134:22 73:12 110:3 158:12 edge 118:10 135:3 179:19 187:1 dwell 131:20 dynamic 15:6 editor 31:9 dog 153:8 draft 4:10,17,19 5:3, 13 6:24 7:1,7 11:24 educated 60:14 dollar 185:22,23 **dynamite** 25:7,10 13:16 53:7 84:5,16 143:21,24 144:6,7 education 182:19 dollars 22:14 44:9 89:11 91:10 97:9,16 58:4 76:22 115:3 111:18,25 116:12,15 **déjà** 93:13 educator 91:6 149:4 118:14,15 125:19 126:5,25 128:11 effect 12:1 40:6 domestic 22:18 Ε 130:2 134:25 138:5 41:25 97:13 41:21 47:24 97:15 140:6 141:15 147:5, 126:13,16,20 133:9 effects 4:14 5:25 7:2, earlier 65:8 70:2 74:1 18 153:25 154:1,4 144:17,18,21 145:8 4,18 27:20 108:19 77:22 120:17 127:21 159:25 162:17,24 122:17 138:14 151:10 187:15 146:9 163:1,23 178:5 189:25 183:22 188:7 efficiency 132:24 earliest 139:20 domestically 128:10 drafted 29:1 139:15 efficient 105:25 early 35:8,10 36:17 150:6 129:22 154:18 drafting 60:5 **Don** 46:2 51:20 efficiently 99:22 earn 108:17 drama 179:18 152:17 162:13 effort 22:4 41:20, earned 119:18 130:9 drastic 77:12 donated 21:25 43:22 168:24 106:14 149:8 185:20, earth 28:25 89:1 drastically 41:13 21 efforts 116:6 188:11 152:4 44:2 donating 149:6 EIR 4:11 11:24 13:16 earthquake 17:22 drawings 155:20 14:14 16:6 17:2.5 donation 3:1 128:5 **easier** 67:21 dressed 186:8 28:10 29:22 43:10 donations 75:4,6,7 47:16 53:5,7,11,14, easily 61:22 119:21 drill 189:15 23 54:8,11 55:8,13 **Donner** 72:13 74:4 east 29:13 41:7,10 drills 189:17 85:18 88:4 89:11,19 door 187:11 76:18 87:16 186:4 93:8 96:21 97:16 drink 179:1 185:10 189:22,25 111:25 116:12,15 doors 45:2 182:5 drinking 49:7,21 118:14,15 119:10,11 eastbound 113:4 125:19 126:5 128:11 **Dora** 188:15 114:14 drive 57:25 121:16 130:2 136:20 138:5 Dorado 76:2 132:25 easy 28:7 178:5 139:15,19 140:13 181:9 187:5 188:24 142:1 144:10 145:11, **dot** 86:5 102:9.15.24 driveways 10:7 19 147:5,18 153:25 103:8 124:15 156:8, eat 185:8 driving 120:21,25 154:1,4 159:25 12,17 157:1 economic 15:14 dropped 26:9 161:11,13,21 162:17, dots 10:21 44:23 46:14 52:13 24 163:1,17,23 dropping 19:18 97:12,17 106:12,18 167:15 168:18 172:3 double 14:10 49:9 185:4 107:15 111:8 116:24 178:5 179:15 183:22 83:2,16 131:14 146:19 147:2 188:7 189:2 drought 88:1 double- 66:3 148:21 153:16 elaborate 53:8 dry 73:16 183:25 188:1 double-shelf 103:13 **elect** 18:3 due 14:23 20:7 27:24 economical 18:18

elected 118:13 147:21 176:18 19 137:1 140:5,6 149:20 177:24 178:25 182:1, 151:13 160:24 162:3, electric 121:16 engines 76:18 78:9 6,12 184:10 185:18, 13 178:15,16,17,22 Electrical 146:3 enhanced 102:17 183:16 187:17,18 employer 119:16 enjoy 21:22 116:1,2 electricians 146:5 environmentally 147:4 133:11 178:14 28:22 64:9 117:15 electrification 95:13 180:11 122:3 128:9 **employers** 127:6,9 electrify 66:11 143:7,10 182:3 environs 8:5 **enjoys** 116:5 electrifying 78:8 employment 110:11 enormous 64:4 epiphany 98:5 elements 14:17 133:6 equal 25:6 95:24 **employs** 106:16 103:18 elevation 26:9 107:17 127:11 enrolled 158:13 equation 17:3,4 elf 186:8 empty 5:11 166:4 ensure 22:4 81:17,19 86:17 92:19 106:1 encourage 39:16 **equipment** 47:9 74:3 **Elias** 12:23 16:18,22 107:14 108:21 106:24 143:6 158:23 181:13 20:15,19,22 21:1 111:19 117:1 178:12 eliminate 122:4 encourages 183:3 **equipped** 156:24 **ensures** 22:20 157:1 eliminated 9:8 end 6:14 25:16 33:21 146:18 148:7 52:9 84:12 88:9 equivalent 39:5 ensuring 17:2 embarrassed 65:2 98:11,18,25 99:20 152:22 163:25 165:6 131:18 181:14 103:19 183:21 emergencies 99:13 era 111:14 **entails** 134:9 endanger 89:3 emergency 38:5, **ERC** 14:3,8 enterprise 9:1 65:14 72:16 73:23 endeavor 47:1 Eric 49:1 74:1,5 82:14,23 92:2 entire 24:2.23 28:6 ends 8:19 99:1 103:22 124:8 89:1 90:12 128:24 error 113:25 114:2,8, 186:11 189:13 energy 13:22 20:1 131:6 148:16 149:2 10,12,18 25:7,9,18 41:21 emissions 22:19 **entities** 133:14 essence 62:6 68:16 80:22 81:2 30:24 43:12,13 44:2, entity 80:21 81:18 essential 136:18 95:3 126:2,13 132:23 4 45:20 53:21 54:1,5 133:5,11,15,20 124:9 176:22 138:9 68:15 71:24 72:3 143:21,22,23,24 75:20 95:15,16 97:17 enumerated 112:3 essentially 122:4 144:1 145:3 160:21 107:13 109:18,20 179:6 116:16,18 118:5 envelope 77:7 establishes 176:16 126:1.4 128:10 energy-efficient environment 3:13 establishing 96:15 159:15,21 160:1,4,22 43:22 15:4 44:1 59:25 163:2,10,16,24,25 estimate 17:6 32:10 85:16 89:13,16 92:19 164:2,12 165:6 enforce 6:23 83:9 90:6,7 166:23 93:11 99:6 110:21 enforceable 54:21 emotion 183:9 119:24 125:17,22 estimated 86:2 146:18 159:14 162:7 89:20,23 enforced 183:18 **emotions** 161:17 179:14 180:11 estimates 145:14 Emperor's 28:15 enforces 156:6 182:25 183:22 187:10 189:22 Ethan 96:25 engage 81:12 emphasis 146:17 environmental **Ethanol** 123:16.21 **engine** 25:25 emphasizing 110:7 14:3.4 29:24 30:9 155:17 employ 99:24 148:8 engineer 25:23 26:7 40:5 45:19 46:22 **Etkin** 14:2 37:18 97:8 113:1,7 47:6 50:12 52:13 employed 184:14 160:11,23 161:9,17 53:25 55:5 61:25 evaluate 55:8 103:9 185:1 178:18 87:12 93:15 97:9,16 149:21 162:3 188:25 107:19,22 109:15,16, employee 158:8 engineering 16:24 evaluating 100:22 22 111:18,21 112:2,7 161:5 182:13 184:11, 35:11,21 100:17 116:17 121:9,12 evaluation 7:19 101:7 140:5 154:25 123:7 125:24 126:7 **employees** 39:5,22 **evening** 8:8 13:1,2 **Engineers** 37:8,10, 127:1 134:25 135:1,6 119:24 131:22 16:21 21:10 26:22,23

30:18 31:6,7 37:4,5 38:2 40:18 42:5,6 47:17 51:4,5,19,20 52:25 56:4,6 59:21, 22 64:13 67:3 71:6 77:20 79:25 80:1 81:25 87:10 89:8,9 93:3,4 100:10,11,15 105:5.6.8 107:7.9 108:7,8 115:15 117:21,22 119:3,4 120:7,8 121:7 125:13 128:15 132:10 134:4, 5,13 136:8,16 139:23,24 140:19,20, 23 146:1 147:15 152:20 154:11 160:14,15 164:10 170:17 172:7,16,20 174:2 176:1,2 178:10 180:18 181:5 188:14. 15 evenings 84:25 event 29:18 52:11 68:24 69:4,13 86:2 94:7 98:6 102:13 178:22 events 21:22 31:11 153:3 ever-changing

105:24

everybody's 59:8 157:23

everyday 129:17 163:6,24 164:5

everyone's 160:16

evidence 112:4

evidenced 43:17

ex-lawyer 63:14

exact 5:13 39:11 55:2

examined 40:2 95:14 132:17

examining 4:10

examples 44:22 85:21 130:10

exceed 109:24 117:8 156:4 165:8

exceeded 163:8

exceeding 49:15

excellence 35:11,21 143:8

excellent 36:13 97:20

exception 87:20

exceptional 103:21

excluded 138:1

exclusive 6:2 137:15

exclusively 138:25 164:20

excuse 9:24 138:22 162:15

executive 150:5

executives 187:6

exemption 5:25 6:2, 11,21,24 7:18 11:8 112:1

exemptions 84:18 136:23

exist 81:6 118:24

existing 14:1 53:16 75:15

exists 39:7

expanded 110:15

expanding 111:21 144:3

expect 157:22

expectations 7:7

expected 29:21

expecting 59:9

expects 7:12

expedite 84:3,15

expensive 109:4

110:24

experience 35:7,19 36:16,20 90:14 101:5,14 141:5 154:15 155:1,2 161:1

experiences 101:23

expert 29:16 60:14 100:13 155:3 161:12

expertise 154:22

experts 124:2 159:25

161:19,22 179:17

explain 6:17

explained 76:11

explicit 17:17

explode 65:13,18

exploded 32:7 56:16 144:8

explodes 28:2

exploding 129:1

explore 162:23

explosion 31:22 49:6 50:5 68:20 69:5, 15 90:4 91:15 144:3,

explosions 28:19 48:1 50:14 74:17 91:16 131:5

explosive 27:16 29:25 73:3

explosiveness 143:23

exponential 61:4,10

export 20:3 133:8 150:1,2 151:22

exported 151:16

exporting 150:6,12

exposure 81:9

express 37:18 107:9 137:17

expressed 12:9

extends 182:13

extensive 43:8 146:17 154:25 187:19

extensively 10:25 14:20 143:17

extent 4:20 17:13

external 102:12

extinguish 144:14 extinguished 95:7

extra 20:5

extract 152:4

extraction 94:15

extreme 72:7,9

extremely 54:13 179:5

Exxon 35:10 128:23 133:3

Exxonmobil 128:23

F

fabricated 156:15

fabrication 155:22 176:14

face 163:3 181:10

faced 100:23

facilities 15:1 110:16

facility 22:5,17 81:11 94:23 103:24 166:8 176:11.13

fact 38:22 74:2 82:24 83:1,7,23 134:17 137:6,22 138:19 143:15 150:4 151:17 161:20 180:4 181:7 184:21

factor 6:12 40:4 112:2 130:4.6

factors 13:20 15:4 30:9 36:4

facts 30:22 50:16 101:24 118:18 134:19 136:5 179:12, 18,20 188:6

facts-specific 134:22

factual 37:16

fade 157:16

fail 47:10

failed 31:25

fails 91:11 144:5

failures 34:10,11

fair 21:23 47:12 110:19 138:9 139:10 146:19 158:25 167:4

174:6,7,23

fix 28:6 Fairfield 73:9 115:7 federally 33:17 70:1 finalized 33:12 125:1 85:24 fall 180:1 **fixed** 78:8 finally 42:2 95:17 **Feds** 32:18 82:9,11, 127:4 falls 23:12 flames 114:11 15,17,20 83:19 84:3, financial 86:25 false 27:2 29:7 5,15 flammable 8:3 111:15 118:18 102:16,20 114:21 fee 62:3 financially 21:23 115:1 122:24 123:9, **families** 178:25 feed 187:3 22:22 12,13,15 124:23 180:21 182:24 186:3, 178:19 feedback 154:5 financing 152:23 flappable 85:18 feedstock 148:4 find 26:15 34:1,12 family 62:10 135:13, 19 142:24 180:10 36:18 69:20 76:7 flash 48:5 65:6 feel 31:2 37:11 44:4 182:21 186:3 78:23 93:7 94:6 58:11 59:4 68:13 flatcar 114:5 178:5 189:4,15 family-friendly 87:17,18 104:7,9,11 flatly 39:21 127:3 134:20,21 finding 105:25 116:1 162:1 188:24 189:17 flaw 53:14 fared 48:24 finds 183:22 **feelings** 166:13 flawed 49:11 91:10 Farm 186:2 fine 77:5 171:16 93:8 96:21 141:16 feet 9:14,23 26:9 **Farmer's** 185:10 **fines** 77:12 74:23 112:16 144:11 flaws 62:19 Farmers 83:18,19 finger 181:9 fell 114:15 fleets 109:3 fast 33:24 114:5 finish 3:17 51:22 fellow 139:25 flexibility 9:5 97:14 88:19 169:8 **faster** 83:13 148:5 153:10,11 felt 112:18 **finished** 129:14 flexible 108:23 fatally 93:8 96:21 **FEMALE** 9:24 10:2 153:12 fate 68:4 21:11 165:19 170:20 flooded 186:24 **fire** 26:3.4 31:23 fence 8:19 161:5 fault 135:10 42:16 50:8 64:12 flooding 186:23 187:12 65:14 73:2 76:12,17, **faulty** 28:13 flowers 59:16 18 90:4 105:19 Fernandez 90:25 143:4,12,15 144:5,9, **favor** 16:3 120:13 fly 98:7 96:24 13,14 149:2 158:22 favorite 130:10 Flynn 136:11,13,14 Festival 21:22 184:13 186:7,14,19, 22 fear 162:20,22 165:3 focus 4:6 53:5 67:14 Fewer 24:24 179:18 72:6 101:10 102:1 fireball 73:15 field 14:23 178:16 132:14 168:18 172:3 fearsome 164:6 firefighter 42:8 181:12 188:22 179:9 185:17 feasible 53:12 55:8 65:11 fields 14:21 21:18 focused 132:13 73:5 fires 186:17,21 81:5 focusing 140:12 Feather 27:24 49:19, fireworks 185:7 figure 62:19 89:20,24 25 72:13 folks 40:24 64:11 90:10 firm 14:3 154:14 93:16 118:15 120:13 features 103:12.15 figures 61:15 90:8 124:3 134:21 135:6 firms 129:24 156:10,14 157:2 91:14 158:1 162:18 federal 5:25 6:2,11, first-class 131:3 file 174:19 follow 32:25 20,24 7:18 22:10 first-hand 160:25 fill 39:8 food 149:10 182:23 34:18 48:6 60:9 firsthand 177:23 186:2 81:13,14 102:2,4,7 filled 165:23 166:6 181:19 112:1 113:21 126:8 174:19 fooled 79:17 137:16,23 138:5,12, fish 179:1 fills 102:12 13,19,24 139:1 150:9 foot 25:16 112:14 **fit** 151:9 155:25 156:4,13 final 14:14 34:17 40:5 football 153:2 164:11.13.17.25 124:21 167:14 **fittings** 103:14 165:1,9 **footnote** 79:7,17 183:19 156:22

footprint 43:23,25 free 150:9 189:24 geographical 29:23 121:15 freeing 41:16 giants 5:18 force 187:22 G freeways 9:1 gift 182:23 forces 155:7 gifts 186:6,9 frequency 77:11 gain 118:10 **forcing** 18:19 89:23 123:11 150:20 **Gino** 105:4 108:9 **gained** 36:16 forecasts 133:3 **frequent** 158:12 Giovanna 55:20,25 gallons 25:3 89:21 foreign 41:14,17,24 Friday 11:13 63:19 56:7 game 71:17 109:3,9 116:25 118:6 friend 90:6 112:25 give 3:7 4:12 8:10 9:2 131:15 144:22 145:1 game-changer 12:23 18:24 23:3 friendly 28:22 122:13 **foremost** 125:22 31:4 42:23 47:11 117:15 53:9 55:22 75:4,6 **gaps** 67:13 foreseeable 4:21 8:2 front 65:14 68:3 90:9 109:25 145:13 89:13 Garbenger 45:5 111:4 125:16 187:11 167:11,12,16 168:4 foreseen 98:6 175:14 181:10 gas 41:18 71:24 75:2 front-runner 15:24 182:21 189:2 78:6 107:13 112:20, Forest 121:9 **Fry** 117:20,22 24 115:1 116:16 giving 42:12 96:6 forests 121:11 159:15,20 160:1 fuel 42:14 48:6 109:4, 140:2 157:16 182:24 forget 68:10 10 111:9,14 132:24 gases 43:12,19 44:6 glad 75:9 76:19 150:2 176:9 54:2 71:19,22 91:21, 100:21 103:25 forms 68:16 22 160:21 144:11 154:21 fuels 120:21 148:7,9 Forthuber 37:25 150:12 gasoline 120:24 Glenco 85:4 43:4,5 132:19,22 Fukushima 19:9 fortunate 132:1 **Global** 43:13 Gate 66:9 **full** 4:5 7:14 110:23 qoal 81:2 159:17 forward 12:24 29:3 138:3 139:19 157:22 gates 99:23 162:20 37:20 67:21 70:19 161:21 71:4 79:22 87:9 gave 9:4 11:8 77:7 Gold 25:5 90:24 93:2 97:5 full-time 20:4 39:5 79:18 131:19 140:5 116:21 127:16 Golden 66:9 139:19 140:13,18 161:3 173:12 154:7 162:6 177:21 131:11 146:25 Golovich 79:22 82:1 gem 86:16 189:19 148:11 180:25 84:24 general 94:10 96:12 fossil 111:9.14 Fullington 125:11 **good** 8:8 9:3 11:8 105:23 131:19 149:1 128:16 foster 22:1 116:8,10 13:1,2 16:21 21:10 183:13 fully 40:7,22 79:2 22:4,20,21,25 26:22, fought 143:17 generate 30:24 125:1 149:2 180:12 23 30:2,18,23 31:6,7 found 14:9,22 32:25 37:4,5 38:2 40:18 generated 44:6 function 166:14 56:12 61:22 73:18 42:5,6 44:15 47:17 generates 80:18 fund 105:19.23 51:4,5,19 52:25 56:4, 107:19 116:12,13 148:15 126:12 159:3 189:12 131:20 149:1,2 6 59:13,21,22 63:21 183:13 64:16 67:3 71:6 generating 116:23 four-decade 150:6 77:20 79:25 80:1,16 148:21 fund-raised 128:1,3 81:25 87:10 89:8,9 Fourth 33:11 34:12 generation 104:21 fundamental 137:6 93:3,4 100:10,11,15 FRA 113:12 105:5,6 106:22 generations 87:6 **funding** 80:19 107:7,23 108:3,4,7,8 frack 47:24 generously 116:8 funds 36:14 115:13 117:21,22 fractions 143:25 119:3,4,16 120:7,8 gentleman 37:14 future 7:20 18:23 121:7 125:13 127:18 Francisco 46:6 90:7 42:20 79:21 91:13 19:2,4,8,14,19,20 128:12,15 129:3 96:9 160:10 70:5,7 81:19 87:6 131:24 132:1,10 Frank 98:1 121:5 92:19.20 100:5 gentlemen 89:9 134:4,5 136:8,16 104:19 105:9 106:24, 125:7 123:2 138:10 139:23,24 25 133:20 167:21 140:19,20,23 145:11

146:1 147:15 152:20 154:11 160:14, 161:15 162:10 166:21 172:7 174:2 176:1,2 177:19 178:10 180:18 181:5 188:14,15

good-paying 116:22 131:11 180:25

goods 137:9 153:7

Googling 116:13

Gordon 30:17 34:24

governing 124:22

government 81:14 102:4 117:6 136:24 137:16 138:13 139:1 145:2 164:13 165:9 182:4

governmental 155:13

governments 41:19 103:6

Governor 45:2

governs 4:8 6:9

grade 103:23

graduated 21:13

grandchildren

92:18 115:24 142:25 145:1

grandchildren's 92:18

Grandfather 135:16

135:14

grant 43:21

arandmother

granted 44:18

grasp 5:24

grass 186:14,15,17

grave 92:10

gravity 53:24 73:17

Gray 181:6 184:4,5

great 4:10 9:4 63:12 71:8 78:4 80:5 92:3 104:15 116:4 118:1 127:7,25 146:10

161:2 180:6,14 188:10

greater 27:21 80:12 97:14 132:24 133:11 145:9

greatest 35:1

greatly 116:1

green 10:20 28:22 151:19 185:7

green-lighting 151:19

Greenbrier 131:3

greenhouse 43:12, 13,18 44:6 71:19,22, 24 91:21,22 107:13 116:16 159:15,20 160:1,21

Greg 30:17 37:2 40:16 70:24 97:3 100:11 180:19

groceries 153:13 185:3

ground 98:15 130:22 grounds 95:22 129:2

group 3:12,20,21 14:3 65:15 68:3 87:12 112:13,15 148:1 182:18,21

groups 3:11,14 55:5 145:17

grow 109:11,12

growing 123:14 126:17

grown 110:10,12 126:11

growth 39:14,16,18 110:9

guarantee 19:24 20:2 48:21 59:10

guarantees 119:12

guard 34:9 102:13

guess 63:11 75:3 152:9 165:25 173:16

guidance 168:5

guiding 7:14

guy 11:21 63:25 64:12

guys 53:6 64:1 93:24 94:15 104:16,20 184:21,24 186:8

Н

Hadieh 12:23 16:18, 22

hair 166:12

half 12:9 18:10 110:11 130:25 174:5 185:20

Half-truths 138:10

Hall 62:5

halves 103:18

Hampton 29:5

hand 7:14 45:11 165:20 166:5,12

hand-count 166:25

hand-delivering 12:7

handed 82:3

handle 14:24 104:21, 24

handled 60:8 99:1 102:19

handling 15:25 128:19 165:14 178:19

hands 3:20 23:21 42:22 65:2 166:25 175:19

hands-on 177:1

hang 184:7

Hanley 143:16

Hanson 71:16

happen 23:18 25:13 33:1 53:21 54:16 57:20 58:1,5 69:12 73:14 76:13 98:9 105:21 121:2 142:12 164:22 167:9

happened 11:13 24:9 25:20,21 26:14 73:21 76:17 100:6 114:7,8 142:12 144:11

happening 24:21 29:20 91:15 142:13

happy 22:2 62:13 128:12

hard 6:24 36:18 49:16 78:24 130:8 135:25

harm 87:5 94:10 115:12 180:10

harm's 69:23

harmed 99:2

harmful 163:4

hasty 104:17

hate 168:22 hauling 14:6

Hawaii 176:8

hazard 29:15 55:7 82:16 89:12

hazardous 32:6 73:7 89:15 100:24 101:13 113:4 115:1 122:18 139:8 154:16 155:4 180:2,3,4

hazards 19:7 54:11 122:18 189:3,6

hazmat 32:9 42:8

head 88:14 103:13, 19 156:24

headquarters 177:16

health 4:22 19:17 63:5 87:1 89:4 91:6 95:11 163:8,22,23 179:7,9 183:4

healthy 3:13 4:4 19:15 60:2 62:9 93:12 108:21 177:19 178:13

hear 8:7 67:15 68:21 135:3,21 152:14 166:1 168:16 171:2, 17 172:22,23 173:6, 7,17 175:25

53:12,23 54:1 55:7

homicides 159:6 heard 24:8 38:17 high-strength **hygiene** 188:19,22 45:1 58:16,22 59:8 156:16 honest 143:8 hypothetical 53:17 64:2,11 66:1 70:2,21 higher 43:11,14 54:2 75:22 76:14,17,20 honestly 45:4 79:8 ı 89:24 92:1 93:16 161:14 177:18 highest 59:13 108:17 131:10,21 132:18 honesty 143:9 134:13 136:20 159:5 177:23 IBEW 134:8 146:4 139:13 147:24 honor 27:13 119:20 Highlands 74:24 idea 26:13 45:14 149:19 153:18 hope 38:6,14 42:24 56:11 57:10 65:20 164:20 185:15 highlight 53:9 47:11 100:2 111:12 73:11 95:3,13 166:21 125:16 **hearing** 3:5 47:12 164:6 182:25 175:14 87:16 93:18 96:8 highlighted 9:21 horrendous 72:19 identified 14:16 139:19 167:7,11,19, 123:18 156:7 20,24 168:20 169:10, Hoseler 172:4 highly 43:24 60:13 14 173:13,14,24 identifies 15:6 Hosler 172:4 176:2,5 176:2 highway 11:21 identify 13:6 63:12 **hot** 34:9 144:4 153:7 heart 22:2 62:20 hill 25:22 35:4 104:9 101:24 179:12 159:16 114:23 130:13 hour 27:8 83:3 idled 150:23 112:22 113:6 114:1 heartbeat 145:2 Hillcrest 52:9 181:8 130:14,20 166:16 ignites 48:4 heat 144:4 hills 130:19 169:24 ignoring 27:24 Heather's 21:22 hired 118:12 hours 22:8 132:17 **Illinois** 100:18 149:6 177:3,4 185:25 heavily 44:12 historic 65:25 123:22 186:1 187:4 heavy 19:16 176:6 historical 86:10 illnesses 20:7 house 76:1 112:19 hedges 6:16 141:22 161:4 **history** 44:21 73:13 imagination 137:13 95:1 111:23 112:2 held 24:18 27:25 housing 39:2 **imagine** 105:18 128:17 130:1 180:6 28:3,7,9 58:4,17 165:13 187:6 hub 151:22 175:12 177:18 hit 26:9 imbedded 103:19 huge 28:16 62:19 hell 113:16 hold 26:1 33:11 58:3 63:3 87:1 111:10 imminent 82:15 67:4 70:11,13 119:19 helped 21:20 186:17, 127:6 143:25 186:23 178:15 impact 7:16 27:10 21 hullabaloo 126:10 28:6,21 29:24 30:4 holding 19:14 57:21, helping 108:15 38:18,24 39:4,20 **hulls** 49:9 23 114:15 40:1,10 44:5,23 45:9, **helps** 75:3 holds 102:3 human 47:1 50:10,14 11,19 53:21 54:12 **Herald** 159:3 113:25 114:2,8,10, 75:14,18 78:14 83:24 holes 67:13,19 12,18 122:17 142:13 85:12,22 93:15 97:10 Herb 37:25 43:4 holidays 185:5 107:19,20 108:2 **Humble** 128:22 Hey 184:24 186:4,7 109:15,16 111:18 **hundred** 25:9,14 112:7 116:17 117:3 **hiding** 164:16 Holthuis 37:3 40:16, 31:2 60:10 99:9 121:12 122:8 127:1 19 high 21:14,18 29:15 143:1 174:15 189:10 134:25 135:1, 140:6 75:2 119:17 149:7 home 13:11 16:5 142:17 149:20 hundreds 76:21 158:9 19:18 20:19,23 21:2 151:13 153:16 163:3 147:23 149:4 38:6,13 40:12 82:5 164:5,9 183:21,23 high-hazard 50:5 87:13 178:24 186:20 hungry 182:17 188:9 72:16 102:20 122:24 123:9,13 124:23 Homeland 189:14 hurry 33:15 impacted 12:2 98:19 high-level 60:13 homeowner 67:11 husband 38:5 76:2 impacting 83:25 111:7 181:16 high-paying 108:25 **hybrid** 121:16 **impacts** 4:21,23 14:4 homes 128:21 160:9 22:7 27:3,21 28:25 high-quality 44:10 hydro 133:21 29:24 30:9 39:14

homicide 159:9

independence 75:13 85:15 111:21 inaccurately 4:16 108:20 116:4 122:7 127:4 136:21 41:22 81:2 inadequate 32:17 inhabitants 29:1 138:1 154:2 162:3 independent 20:2 53:8 71:10 74:16 163:9 inherently 15:11 83:10 112:7 31:10 90:11 impeccable 109:23 inherit 87:6 inaudible 3:23 19:8 **indirect** 148:15 imperative 133:23 103:5 118:6 179:22 initial 4:18 implement 34:18 inch 18:10 indirectly 39:17 **injection** 90:15,20 106:20 180:14 41:18 107:17 **incident** 178:23 injured 144:24 implementation 180:7 individual 110:5 **inland** 15:12 33:22 incidental 42:16 induce 39:16,17 innocent 28:3 implemented 54:24 incidents 123:9 inducing 39:14 79:2 inputs 153:11 include 40:3 49:11, indulgence 12:4 implications 137:18 insight 13:15 40:12 15 124:7 155:15 implied 78:11 156:14 inspect 155:21 industrial 4:9 7:21 implore 62:17 63:4 included 12:15 8:11,13 9:12,19 10:6, inspected 182:1 79:3 16,23 11:15 12:8,12 includes 53:18,20 inspector 77:9 27:8 36:15,17 43:20 import 5:8 39:9 101:6 115:5 138:11 44:12 46:9,11 52:1, inspectors 57:3 145:8 155:9,11 10 59:3 96:16 119:7, importance 38:19 install 95:18 119:25 139:16 including 6:8 29:23 8 120:1 179:7 84:2 107:12 116:18 188:19,22 installed 33:20 important 15:9 146:6 149:7,9 154:20 industrial-polluted 24:12 35:7 36:6 installing 43:21 160:24 186:21 42:21 53:10 56:16 19:16 instances 123:18,21 inclusion 156:12 57:6 83:4 90:13 industries 103:5 101:18 108:16 109:3 Institute 29:17 105:24 127:9 148:17 incoherently 7:5 124:6, 128:18 137:9 insufficient 13:20 industry 15:2 23:12, 138:10 157:22 income 20:4 179:24 180:8 182:20 14 27:6 30:5 32:18 insult 32:11 incoming 15:9 183:11 33:6 36:14,21 60:20 insurance 57:24 incomplete 23:11 62:1,2,15 82:13 97:9 importantly 33:3 58:3,6,7 115:14 101:6 107:17 111:9, 24:5 107:12 20 149:24 161:9 insured 58:1 inconsistent 68:17 imported 80:24 177:1 integrity 86:1 130:9 incorporate 156:9 industry's 102:1 **impose** 138:6 145:12 incorporated imposing 13:17 **infamy** 98:4 intended 123:7 100:18 103:15 176:6 impression 60:24 **infancy** 83:15 intensifying 7:22 increase 19:23 20:4 influences 125:18 improper 53:15 28:16,17 29:21 39:22 intensively 61:6 59:2 61:4,10 75:19 inform 6:7 **improve** 13:22 20:1 intent 138:3 106:22 109:17 57:11,13 78:3,12 information 14:11 128:10 133:6 intentions 161:16 81:14 101:9,12 103:6 24:17 53:19 92:7 125:23 126:6 **increased** 8:2 11:1 interest 8:1 41:25 98:14 101:12 104:8. 63:2,3 43:8 46:15 62:8,9,11, improved 10:25 10 116:14 132:23 80:20 81:16 151:2 increasing 22:18 32:16 41:7 44:1 79:9 141:11 142:8 154:22 164:14 178:5 118:5 interested 17:25 improvements informed 77:24 21:19 incredibly 54:14 interests 43:16 135:8 142:2 67:17 68:1,9 improving 59:6 international 31:11 infrastructure 8:5, 103:9 incremental 41:16 35:16 37:7 101:8 11,13,18,21, 13:23 146:2 inaccurate 179:18 indefinite 44:19 22:3 27:24 80:11

Internet 66:21	48:20 52:6 84:7	44:11,15 59:2 67:20 80:15,17 81:3 97:18 104:15 106:19,22 107:15 108:25 109:12 116:21,22 118:5 125:19 127:7, 15,16,24 131:11 146:20,25 147:1 148:10,11,13 153:15 177:11 180:25 181:1	kids 116:8,10 158:12
interpret 48:14	102:2 104:12 108:14 135:4 145:18 151:15 163:9 167:13		185:4
interrupt 67:6			killed 27:17 130:15
intersection 10:12	item 77:22 78:13 85:22 86:8 167:9 171:19		killing 28:3
interstate 11:20			kind 7:20 10:9 11:16 23:24 64:14 67:21 68:24 69:14,15 72:2 74:12 75:8,23 93:18 95:25 96:7 122:9
interviewed 182:1	items 134:11,13		
Inventory 159:20	171:14		
invest 180:23	J	Joe 152:16 158:5	126:10 138:22 182:10
invested 133:2		John 30:16 97:3,7	Kinder 96:5
investigate 101:15 113:11,21	Jack 37:2 40:16 45:2 46:4	136:11,14 172:5 178:10	kinds 145:18
investigated 113:12	jackets 182:23	join 108:4	Kirchoffer 89:9,10
investigations	Jackie 46:1 52:25	joint 35:16	Kitty 51:21
101:11 103:1	Jacobs 30:16 37:2,5,	Jon 55:20,24 147:10	knew 141:11
investigator 101:23	6	Joshua 16:20 21:6	knocks 163:10,24,25
investing 108:22 investment 158:19,	James 31:23 49:7 55:20, 65:25 97:1	23:5 Journal 25:6 126:15	knowledge 60:20 77:18 100:24
20 159:12,13 160:7, 8,9,12 183:24 188:2	152:17 158:12 188:15,16	July 13:4 40:21 74:12	Koski 97:1
investments 108:18	Jamestown 65:25	76:15 185:8	Koster 56:1 71:2
110:13,17	Jan 79:22 82:1	jump 9:20 26:25	74:22 77:15
invisible 7:14	Jane 93:1	jumped 48:24	KQED 58:17
invite 7:17 182:3	January 32:3 73:4	June 50:7 75:25 76:9 100:20 126:14	Kreidler 55:20,25 71:1
invited 4:7 187:3	Jasmin 117:20 119:4	jurisdiction 134:8	kudos 64:14
inviting 122:21	Jeff 29:16	jurisdictions 60:9	
involve 94:14	Jensen 125:10 132:6	justify 138:17	L
involved 5:14 55:3	jeopardize 180:10		L.A. 120:17
60:5,11 73:2 135:12 137:5 147:6 148:2	Jerry 140:16 147:10,	K	Labar 105:4 107:6,8
158:15,16	jet 48:6	Kaiser 20:6	label 187:5
involves 47:1,2	jewelry 153:13	Katherine 56:2	labor 39:7,10 177:5
involving 8:3 89:15 90:4 123:9,12	Jim 30:16 37:1,6 40:15 46:2 55:18,	59:23	laboratory 105:12
iron 49:24		Kathy 56:2 71:3	Lac-megantic 24:10
Ironworkers 62:5	70:24,25 71:16 89:10 Jimmy 132:7	keeping 44:20 62:10 69:23 126:6 151:4,16	25:21 65:24 69:13 101:15 123:20 130:12
Island 45:12 121:10 159:1	job 59:6 74:16,19	Ken 21:9 30:16 37:1	lack 74:1 104:8,10
issue 24:3 33:25	111:18 127:5,23 160:22 164:25	40:15 45:5 70:23 143:16	lady 99:15
	181:11,12 182:16	Kerridge 56:2 71:3,8	lag 110:13
38:22 87:23 88:12		-	_
38:22 87:23 88:12 113:20 issues 4:7,13,16	jobs 15:14 20:4,5 22:16,17 30:24	Kevin 140:17 146:2	lags 110:9

land 7:23 95:8 130:19 **led** 103:12 **lie** 143:10 145:12 **live** 20:22 26:24 35:2 133:14 36:9 57:13,14 74:23 left 8:22 18:14 19:13 life 72:20 75:6 86:14 80:2,5 87:11 92:7 Landschoot 55:20, 26:7 41:4 57:21,22 90:2 93:9 98:19 93:6 104:8 105:15 25 63:10 70:14 89:1 149:4 123:16 142:14 110:2 114:22 141:21 152:11 174:16 184:7 lanes 11:22 lifelong 30:21 142:25 152:23 188:21 158:17 161:3,6 language 163:6 lifetime 89:2 left-handed 112:21 181:7,16 184:14 164:5 187:20,25 188:10 **light** 14:17 15:25 legacy 32:1 47:25 large 46:11 47:5 48:20 84:21 151:19 lived 23:8 40:19 41:2 48:21 87:4 102:9,23 49:6,20 88:9 116:5 156:17 157:1 43:5 63:11 80:3 lighting 43:22 123:14 144:5 176:10 111:6 112:16 115:22 186:14,17,21 188:9 **legal** 60:20 136:15 lightly 7:5 128:16 132:11 137:24 138:12 142:23 160:18 largely 5:22 Liisa 172:4,7,12 141:19 176:24 178:11 largest 30:25 44:15 likewise 31:3 legally 27:4,7,12,15 livelihood 89:4 80:16 147:3 151:22 53:7 138:1 limb 86:14 lives 32:12 159:11 Larry 117:20 121:4 legislation 84:11 limit 18:13 27:9 125:6,10 128:16 living 135:20 165:4 130:20 legislative 15:7 Lastly 20:8 188:16 limitations 150:19 legislators 16:3 late 157:21 176:3,4 **Livsay** 172:5 **limited** 6:16 17:13 Leimone 37:25 42:6 loaded 5:10 135:15 late-comers 70:20 27:11 87:3 150:13 **Lemos** 158:12 loading 9:10 lateral 17:21 **limiting** 4:19 5:25 lend 154:21 27:5,7 175:6 laugh 64:10 local 4:22 7:23 13:14 30:4.20 31:11 37:8 length 9:23 48:16 limits 138:5 laughable 85:19 43:6 44:8,10,11,24 52:2 **Linda** 142:23 97:18 106:14,16 law 125:2 134:18 lessen 123:11 108:22 116:7,20 137:1,23 lined 92:23 lessened 151:14 126:8 127:20 128:2 laws 181:25 183:16 lines 8:14 10:19,20 134:8 146:3,4,11 **lessening** 109:8,19 11:25 33:21 34:6 148:8,9 149:8,10 Lawson 12:22 16:17 72:21 114:6 143:4 153:3,7 160:21 165:1 21:5 70:23 lesser 32:5 174:2 176:7,24 **linked** 179:8 lawyer 63:13 64:18 lessons 158:13 177:4,5,15 180:20 lion's 177:8 182:18 189:12 layout 4:14 let alone 182:4 liquefaction 17:21 localized 27:21 Lazorik 172:5 178:10 letter 12:6,11 13:3 39:10 40:20 82:8,11 liquid 112:20,23 locally 74:11 132:24 lead 27:2 60:7 105:11 84:3 134:10,11 144:3 locate 33:2 leader 177:2 **letters** 58:12 **liquids** 114:21 located 43:20 44:25 leading 34:6 123:13,15 **level** 12:12 30:7,11 180:20 60:9 82:12 130:19,22 **League** 158:11 **Lisa** 16:20 21:6 26:24 location 80:10 93:25 149:6 159:8 180:15 leaked 49:6 list 12:14 70:20 122:13 leveled 26:12 learned 60:15 151:3. listed 95:4 locations 110:3 levels 12:9 54:2 15 listen 37:13 168:15 locomotive 163:2, 159:16 160:4 lease 32:20 48:23 174:24 10,16 165:6 **liability** 27:16,18 leased 33:14 **listening** 139:4,5 locomotives 5:14 liable 69:18,20 6:5 103:18 leave 59:4 110:6 **listing** 71:14 library 105:16 116:3 120:11 145:9 logistics 4:8,18 5:2, literally 60:10 18,23 7:8,24 8:18 leaving 78:6 license 133:14 litigation 60:7,8

Loma 186:2 long 20:5 25:16 40:1 72:20 74:13 75:22 78:7 88:25 115:3 118:24 120:21,24 129:25 133:17,22 137:8 161:23 long-range 29:19 long-term 19:19 39:18 92:15,20 180:24 long-time 97:7 184:12 186:13 longer 80:23 105:21 121:17 128:21 133:19,20 152:10 166:23 175:15 **looked** 73:20 78:10 142:6 loops 9:2,8 loose 130:13 loses 46:19 loss 86:14 142:13 lost 167:8 188:5 lot 17:18 20:17 24:8 25:12 42:13 45:6 46:12,23 51:16 53:3 57:1 58:13 64:5 65:10 80:13,16 88:20 99:10,17 121:11 124:17 128:5 129:2 132:13 134:11 141:17 152:11 153:18 172:15 177:17 189:4 lots 116:14

loud 171:2 lovely 84:24 low 23:15 48:5 78:3

163:12

lower 18:19 133:11 **lowers** 15:11

lowest 159:8

Luckily 32:6 65:18

lulu 7:23

Luzzo 55:20,24 71:1

174:2,14,22 175:2,5

Lynchburg 31:22,24 32:4 48:25 49:5 55:3 65:25 101:17

M

M-a-c-h-l-bower 152:17

made 11:14 22:4,6 63:13 87:25 90:10 91:9 116:5 124:22 127:21 131:3 132:16 141:11 147:23 149:24 158:25 168:24 175:10 179:25 187:8

Madeline 56:1 71:2 74:22

magic 118:16

magnitude 27:20 29:25

mailer 77:25

main 8:14 10:19,22 43:16 114:6

maintain 46:16 maintained 97:21 129:8

maintaining 119:23 maintenance 6:6

154:16 155:1

major 10:19 19:18 44:8 48:1 53:14 54:10,17 71:23 73:15 115:9 147:25 151:21 155:10 176:19

majority 41:12 47:24

make 8:9 16:1 20:15 21:19 23:23 48:15 52:14,16 58:11 59:18 61:14 62:8 65:1 67:20 71:9 82:25 83:7,13 99:23 105:13 120:20 123:25 129:22 135:20 136:6 139:11 146:21 151:18 153:11 156:3 157:20 161:18 167:9, 19,21,23 168:3 169:2,11 182:2,6

183:2 187:7

makes 20:1 36:4 68:14 94:5 105:14 112:2 133:5 183:14 188:10

making 10:10 23:20 36:1 62:9 70:4 75:9 92:17 95:16 100:23 104:17 138:20

mall 46:10 70:3

Man 135:22

man-hours 176:12 177:8

manage 189:1

managed 180:6 189:7

management 13:13 29:16 76:7,21 94:5 96:4 101:1 117:7 178:16 182:11 189:3

manager 43:6,19 44:14 134:7 152:21, 23 162:14 177:1 180:19

managing 100:17 154:12

mandated 33:17 84:11

manifest 11:13

manipulate 61:19

manipulated 61:2

manner 62:14

manual 113:13

manufacture 33:9

manufacturers 108:10,14,16 109:2

110:21

manufacturing 108:18 109:9.13 110:8,9,10,13,15

map 8:23

mapped 115:10

March 11:12 100:20

Mare 45:12 121:10 159:1

Marigold 65:17

Marilyn 3:12,21 11:7

marina 88:15

marine 15:9 62:24 109:19

Mark 97:2 105:3 111:2

Markell 45:2

market 83:20 94:16 109:5 117:2 133:9,12 179:6 185:10 187:23

marketplace 36:22 106:7 118:10

markets 80:22

Marsh 50:4 85:24 130:6

Martinez 87:12,13, 21,24 88:9 176:24

Marysville 115:5

massive 144:1 179:15

Master's 154:25 178:15

match 144:7

matches 185:22

mater 188:20

material 95:6 100:24 101:13

materials 32:6 52:11 73:7 89:15 102:16,18 113:4 122:18 139:8 154:17 155:4 178:19 180:2,5

math 153:22

mathematic 118:17

Matsuri 185:9

Matt 56:1 71:2

matter 74:3 101:25 118:19 134:20 155:3 179:16 184:21

Matthews 97:4,6,7 maximizing 62:22

maximum 18:10 150:14

Mazatlan 66:22 85:17 136:22 138:7 mentioned 6:13 11:7 59:15 68:10 165:9 27:22 40:23 89:24 169:17 164:8,9 **MBA** 160:11 102:25 131:23 146:9 mind-set 45:6 mitigative 179:13 meaning 163:6 172:1 minds 98:16 model 16:6 48:25 mentoring 116:7 means 54:2 71:17 94:14,23 146:15 mine 90:6 113:1 78:16 81:3,8 89:14 **messed** 113:8 105:25 106:2 112:14 models 70:4 mines 32:13 118:4 128:5 137:20 met 58:2 153:25 modern 9:5 144:22 160:19 163:7 mini-rail 7:25 method 106:5 118:8 164:8 181:12 modernize 125:24 minimal 28:8 42:11 methodology 28:10 meant 78:11 modes 106:9 99:2,5 metric 159:22,23 meantime 70:22 minimize 22:6 moment 105:14 160:2 178:21 measure 33:19 **moments** 11:20 **Mexico** 66:19 82:25 111:20 minimizes 156:21 **Monday** 63:19 Michelle 46:1 51:5 measures 53:13,25 minimizing 189:11 money 21:25 36:1 54:20,21,24 55:8,9 microphone 20:25 **minimum** 181:24 41:18 42:22,23 69:10 75:13 136:22 138:7 21:11 56:5 71:7 99:23 179:13 minister 63:12 microphones monitored 32:24 mechanical 154:24 152:12 165:19 minor 144:5 117:5 176:6,7 170:20 minuscule 86:21 monologue 118:1 mechanism 19:3 middle 21:15,17 41:7 144:25 57:12,13,18 114:17, Montgomery 154:13 mechanisms 34:8 minute 12:23 23:10 21 189:22.25 month 84:6 150:5 33:24 55:23 183:9 medals 64:22 middle-class 80:17 minutes 3:11 28:13 month's 68:21 meet 18:9 19:2 32:21 110:21 52:2 70:11 79:19 109:24 117:7 124:15 Morgan 96:6 midnight 166:14,15 162:25 169:23,24 155:24 156:2 165:8 172:19 184:6 Morris 25:5 meeting 20:9 32:1 midst 159:4 mischaracterizatio **motion** 175:9 35:4 58:17,22 62:5 **n** 61:21 142:1 157:19 166:14 Mildred 3:1 Mount 29:16 167:21 169:10,14 mischaracterize miles 26:8 33:20 Mountain 49:12 170:2,4,16,19 171:7, 61:20 72:21 83:3 112:22 10,12,21,22 172:15 mountains 72:12 113:6 114:1 115:3 mispronounce 174:21 175:23 130:14,20 144:8 move 29:3 41:21 12:21 184:16,17 81:7 93:23 149:25 military 145:5 misrepresent 61:19 meetings 91:24 154:7 162:6 177:20 100:20 178:4 Miller 21:9 30:16 misrepresenting moved 42:25 46:6,14 37:1 40:15 70:23 19:22 member 30:20 60:2 135:13 111:2 112:11,13 64:12 93:11 108:22 missed 85:6 175:16 movement 48:12 111:7 158:6,15,16 million 13:4 25:2,3,7, **missing** 53:19 168:2 183:11 184:12 8,10,14 106:13,14 movements 6:3,8 188:11 127:19 128:2 131:23 **mission** 155:11 7:14 143:5 148:20 149:1,8 **members** 59:23 moves 28:19 **missions** 155:14 153:20 170:3,9,15 105:7 136:13 140:20, 171:16 176:12 mistake 62:8 moving 4:25 9:19 24 152:25 162:11 185:19 10:5 11:15 46:17 185:12 187:9 mistaken 134:24 81:8 137:9 180:2 millionaire 135:24 membership 155:9 Mitchell 97:3 100:11 189:19 millions 26:12 44:9 mention 34:1 84:10 mitigation 15:4 muck 64:23,24 116:23 131:13 120:15 16:10 53:12 54:20, Muehlbauer 152:16 mind 19:6 56:17 21,23 55:8,9 75:13 158:5

multimillion-dollar 153:8 multiple 43:9 117:6 179:17 mutual 80:20 81:16 186:15 189:13 myriad 4:21 7:4 names 3:18 16:17 21:4 46:1 70:18.22 152:15 168:12 Nancy 79:22 90:25 Napa 134:8 146:5 **nation** 75:11 81:1 83:25 84:1 97:13 111:17 117:11 120:19 129:5 137:7,8 146:16 181:3 **nation's** 129:25 **national** 16:2,3 30:7, 11 32:14 48:3 49:1 50:6 53:1 73:3 83:19 121:11 nationalized 41:5 nationwide 30:6 natural 29:16 nature 13:18 15:7 **Naval** 45:12 nearby 50:6 80:13 **nearest** 144:10 necessarily 163:8 necessities 182:22 necessity 13:25 needed 13:21 81:18

Ν

negate 29:2 negated 151:14 neighbor 107:24 132:2 neighborhood 28:2 neighboring 22:6 neighbors 8:9 12:7, 14 33:5 76:4 139:25

187:9 **net** 75:19 107:13 109:17 116:15 Nevada 49:12 143:13 newer 103:15 newest 111:17 146:16 **newly** 38:17,25 **news** 31:11 45:5 newspaper 65:21 118:19 newspapers 89:25 nice 114:22 Nick 132:6,11

77:1 122:11 157:21 184:20 187:2 nil 6:22 no-project 43:11,14 noise 63:2 104:11 116:19 nonpeak 22:8

night 25:24 26:7,10

nonprofitable 44:21 nonprofits 185:20 nonrush 27:8 nonstandard 19:1 nonunion 94:22

noontime 11:13

Norma 55:19,24 71:1 77:21

North 15:11 24:15 32:8 41:13 66:18 101:16 109:6 114:7 123:19 155:10 179:25

Northern 34:5,14 114:4 115:2 181:21

note 32:14 38:25 102:5,22 155:13 156:14

noted 29:12 71:16 85:22 86:20 125:19 126:5

notes 90:3 120:11 notice 9:2,8 123:6 noticed 68:12 notification 124:7 notified 76:24 77:3 88:10 **notion** 163:15

NTSB 33:5

number 5:13 6:4,15 15:13 22:16 34:15 38:25 39:8,22 52:9, 13 64:4 70:18 77:8 85:22 86:4.8 116:10 131:16 138:23 156:19,22,24 157:25 166:12,13 175:18 177:11

106:21 113:14 **numerous** 11:6,19 73:9 85:13 117:8

130:21 136:23 155:7

numbers 90:13,14

Nunes 12:22 16:18 21:6 70:23

0

Oakland 42:7 **Obama** 150:4 obligated 69:22 obscured 4:16 observed 35:9 obtain 62:15,24 obvious 18:6 121:25 133:8 138:3 occasions 130:21 occupants 8:5 occur 77:4 86:3 172:17 occurred 50:14

77:11 occurrence 89:23

Ocean 25:17 October 48:8 odd/even 63:19 off-load 5:8

off-site 7:3

offer 15:8 31:17 127:10 159:1 173:23 174:4.22

offered 16:10 offgas 65:7

office 29:5 72:16 150:5

officers 159:8

officials 86:24 87:2 118:13

offloading 55:12 81:11 151:17,20

offramp 11:19,23

offset 71:21

offsite 122:1

Ohio 123:22

oil 5:9 8:3 13:21,25 14:4,6,13,21,22 15:8, 10 16:4 18:7 20:3,10 25:3 27:23 28:17 29:20 30:5 31:21 41:3,5,12,14,21 47:24 48:4 49:14,16 59:1,8,9,11 61:7,9 66:2,17,18,19,22 71:12 72:22 80:24 81:3,5,9,15 87:14,19 89:1 91:20,21 94:25 98:22 99:16,21 102:5,16 109:3,9,18 111:11,24 114:6 115:1 116:25 118:4, 8,25 123:15,19 124:9 128:8 131:15 135:21 143:5 149:24 150:3, 7,14,15 151:16 155:17 156:7 157:6 165:13 181:9 182:16 187:6,15

oils 14:17 97:14 179:25 ol' 64:20,21 **ominous** 163:4

oil-by-rail 50:7

one-thousandths 127:2 142:5 overturning 129:1 park 4:9,13,15 7:21, 153:22 25 8:4,11,14,20 9:3, **optimal** 7:8,17 overview 8:10 10:14, 12,19,21 10:6,11,15, online 31:10 135:9 18 23 11:15,16 12:8,12 option 170:3 173:12 onset 62:2 owe 67:22 27:8 36:15,17 43:21 orange 10:24 44:12 46:9,11 52:1, open 58:18 74:8 owner 34:25 119:7 10 59:3 119:7,9 order 61:1 82:14,23 94:15 99:24 139:9 153:10 174:3 120:1 122:7 90:8 141:4,6 169:10 178:2 owners 63:6 103:5 182:5 parked 11:5 114:17 ordered 156:1 ownership 45:15 openly 82:24 ordinance 96:16 parking 6:8 oxymoron 89:18 operate 62:14 parks 105:15 116:2 ordinary 76:13 108:15 organ 46:5 part 5:2,15 8:12 Ρ operated 81:21 24:20 31:13 77:4 organic 54:2 128:22 183:14 85:14 94:23 111:10 **Pacific** 5:15,22 11:5 116:5 134:9 153:4,5 organizations 128:4 operating 7:9 37:7, 25:17 27:12 34:6,15 167:23 171:24 188:9, 10,18,19 44:20 originate 72:9 47:7 48:9,14 99:10 24 112:20 113:4,13,20 operation 4:18 5:2, Oroville 49:20 115:5 Partch 180:18,19 129:23 150:18 23 47:9 75:15 106:1 **OSHA** 94:6 164:17 172:8, 180:13 137:5 143:8 164:12 participate 21:21 ostracized 62:14 Pacific's 5:4,24 participated 103:1 operational 102:20 103:17 112:1 147:22 outcome 91:11 operations 4:8,15, 151:6.23 packet 82:8 84:25 24 5:19,20 6:12 7:6, parties 18:1 21 39:15 69:25 94:9 outcomes 149:21,22 packets 82:4 partner 119:16 132:2 97:19 100:24 102:3 146:11,15,22 outdated 27:24 pages 67:18 137:16,25 138:1,6,14 124:12 160:25 164:18 partners 177:5 paid 28:23 44:9 76:20 **outfits** 186:8 148:18 operator 181:12 partnership 80:8 outlined 6:21 pain 90:15 opinion 24:24 40:21 parts 24:18 126:16, 58:18 134:16,19 output 85:23 18 **paint** 50:16 opinions 68:7 pass 31:14 72:13 outreach 100:20 palatable 61:23 101:24 140:3 74:5 165:4 outstanding 46:23 pan 83:15 Oppenheimer passed 33:19 62:13 47:6 panels 121:15 117:20 121:4 125:6 90:20 outweigh 179:22 paper 63:24,25 opponents 101:19 **passes** 72:11 outweighed 87:1 130:11 paperwork 100:3 passing 85:23 over-capacity 83:24 opportunities 45:20 parade 185:6 passionate 134:16 189:23 overcapacity 83:14 paradigm 133:2 past 41:8 48:2 49:19 opportunity 3:8 4:2 overhead 75:24 50:13 61:6 86:7 paralegal 60:7 15:24 21:16 37:6 119:5 125:25 126:12 overlay 52:4 105:8 124:1 126:19 parallel 73:12 127:20.23 128:2 128:7 140:2 146:23 overlooked 15:16 155:6 166:14 186:12 paralleling 49:19 149:17 159:24 167:7, 139:17 12,17 173:14 174:10, Pat 46:1 47:18 50:25 paraplegic 90:19 overlooks 72:8 12 184:4 188:21 51:7 pardon 53:24 162:10 override 5:20 94:6 oppose 126:23 patchwork 137:10 parentheses 89:16, overseas 151:11 opposed 67:11 Paul 37:25 42:6 17 187:15 opposition 51:10 Paula 79:23 90:25 parents 22:1 overseeing 15:8 85:11 98:16 126:24 91:3

pay 57:24 75:1 153:3 perform 17:10 177:4 phone 77:8 159:2 184:19 paying 41:18,24 performance 5:19 **phrase** 153:19 **Plaster** 101:16 80:17 127:7 101:1 176:6 physical 5:7 53:16 play 8:9 10:4 51:23 pays 62:2,6,7 74:25 performing 177:8 189:1 52:20 **peace** 165:9 **peril** 69:2 physically 151:7 **played** 21:18 52:2 peak 111:11 pick 71:25 playing 52:22 **period** 44:19 61:17 87:3 116:23 139:9 **Pemex** 66:19 picking 185:3 plays 22:25 159:20 pending 138:20 picture 19:22 50:17 pleased 161:14,19 permanent 22:17 77:25 100:4 189:2 penny 76:24 39:5 146:25 pleasure 165:17 picture-perfect 46:7 permit 49:13 96:6 plenty 68:25 **people** 3:4,10,21, 129:21 150:14 24:24 26:11,14 27:17 **pictures** 112:11 Plumbers 30:20 28:3 31:2 32:4 36:18 permits 137:24 180:19 **pie** 17:15 42:12,13 55:22 56:19,22 58:10,13 permitted 53:17 piece 169:23 189:5 **pocket** 120:11 59:3,17 64:1 68:3 permitting 139:2 **pipe** 13:25 46:5 76:12 podium 162:22 70:18 74:7 76:14 122:11 78:15 79:11,13 87:17 person 135:23 point 15:13 35:24 88:20 91:12 92:1,7 136:10 141:21 **pipeline** 14:6, 139:8 48:5 65:6 83:18 104:10 107:18 88:19 101:20 113:7 personal 90:13 pipelines 81:6 111:12 121:17 122:5, 120:20 124:21 141:3 101:22 128:4 141:5 144:19 6,20 130:7,8,15 149:18 150:22 132:25 133:12,16,17 personally 57:24 **Piper** 62:7 165:10 172:8,14 135:10,19 137:9 135:12 176:18,25 181:9 **piston** 25:23 140:2, 142:9 143:20 perspective 40:23 pointed 55:1 122:21 161:1,2 162:15,22 Pittsburgh 177:16 148:22 123:2 165:21 166:7,22 pivotal 5:19 167:5,11 168:13,24 perspectives 43:9 points 5:4 78:2 79:6 169:9 171:25 172:15 120:15 **place** 6:13 11:5 22:3 pertinent 154:2 173:4,12 174:11,13, 34:4 35:2 36:8,9,12 **poison** 88:14 14,15,16 175:7,18 pet 128:4 69:4 80:5 84:12 99:5 176:21,22 177:9,11, **police** 65:14 105:19 104:23 135:15 **Petco** 64:8 12 182:17 184:22 178:21 188:10 158:22 159:7,11 186:9 187:8,24 petrochemical policies 161:1 **Placer** 163:14,17 189:4,6,23 176:25 places 120:18 186:2 **Policy** 29:17 people's 172:22 petroleum 82:13 173:6 88:25 97:8 112:20,24 plan 14:23 17:24 poll 170:5 175:21 132:19 133:4 143:17 28:22 40:25 41:12 per-capita 110:16 pollutant 75:20 144:2 153:14 155:17 68:14 92:2,5 159:17 percent 41:3 43:25 165:14 pollutants 43:12 planes 98:5,7 69:11 99:9 105:22 49:6 petroleum/crude 110:11,12,15 131:19 planners 19:19 28:9 124:9 pollute 50:9 133:4,6 149:1 153:23 30:4 159:22 183:12 **phase** 131:13 polluted 50:15 planning 44:7 61:23 189:10 Phase-in 124:11 polluting 54:8 62:17 63:4 77:6 percentage 49:21 88:23 105:7 120:3 phase-out 48:7 **pollution** 19:22,24 125:25 140:21,24 percentages 90:14, 84:17 124:16 28:18 63:2 64:8 66:7, 154:6 170:1,18 20 9 104:11,12 phasing 84:15 184:16 189:13 perception 7:24 **Ponder** 30:17 37:2 **Phil** 100:12,16 **plans** 17:23 48:19 40:15 70:24 perfect 76:16 52:14 88:12 philanthropic 86:19 **pool** 39:7 158:12 perfectly 76:15 116:6 plant 153:17 182:14

poor 19:17 precautions 87:24 156:20 99:15 148:6 populated 50:3 73:7 Preciado 117:19 pressured 18:15 produced 43:19 115:2 120:7.9 129:18 150:7 prestigious 129:6, population 39:2,16, precious 49:18 50:9 product 6:5 14:18 24 18 114:22 86:16 180:11 64:19,20,23 94:21 pretty 11:8 51:7 99:23 150:19 153:12 populations 94:1 precisely 138:18 65:19 76:17 115:13 183:20 121:22 130:6 140:9 port 95:13 150:23,25 preclude 29:19 production 71:22 168:6 151:12 precursor 75:20 72:3 84:2 91:23 prevent 33:18 **portion** 163:22 129:19 163:11 114:20 portrayed 4:16 predecessors 112:3 productivity 106:2 preventing 97:11 179:10 products 15:19 84:1 ports 93:25 predict 100:5 109:4 129:14,17,20 prediction 133:5 Prevention 29:6 pose 89:12 133:4 144:2 153:14 155:17 165:14 posed 8:2,4 preempted 54:22 previous 51:13 56:12 57:5 91:13 professional 141:19 poses 28:17 29:13 preemption 48:10 152:2 144:13 148:17 54:4 123:16 102:2 137:17,18 previously 70:22 138:19,24 164:11,17 position 6:18 18:16 professionally 91:9 131:23 157:14 178:6 60:13 134:18 169:3 preemptive 138:12 price 75:2 140:16,20, professionals positions 70:13 87:3 prefer 172:18 23,25 145:12 **positive** 22:13 33:16 preferred 122:2 **prices** 133:11,12 professor 91:7 34:1,4, 38:18 40:10 prematurely 142:15 primarily 176:8 44:5 84:8,10 108:2 **profit** 63:6 75:1,9,10 109:15 125:18 127:4 premises 129:22 **prior** 33:25 profitability 46:16 183:21,23 188:8 premium 86:14 92:15 **private** 9:1 10:7 possibility 57:25 preparation 60:11 probability 130:4 profitable 44:20 post 33:13 69:24 prepared 60:21 problem 113:8 posted 112:21 68:23 69:6,8 82:4 profits 75:3 86:21 116:12 95:16 postpone 30:8 preparing 37:12 problems 64:18 154:1 potential 19:20 91:12 profound 68:1 29:24 63:2 85:15,25 prescribe 18:8 procedures 129:7 program 86:19 101:8 115:12 156:25 189:3 161:1 178:20 102:18 103:3 116:8,9 present 3:14 55:22 149:7 158:10 potentially 163:4 56:14 79:4 114:25 proceeding 179:11 179:25 programs 149:7 presentation 4:13 proceedings 184:20 pounds 25:4 163:12, 12:17 51:15 progress 125:17 13,14 process 14:13,14,16 126:9 127:2,3 128:12 presentations 68:22 18:2,14,21 33:8 152:2,3,6 Powell 117:20 119:4 presented 101:19 49:23 68:8 85:10 power 43:23 139:12 137:19 138:18,25 **project** 4:9,15,17,20 Presently 47:23 150:4 176:9 139:2,17 154:8 5:15 6:1,12,14,22 7:2,8,13,20,21,24 155:22 162:21 171:1 presents 186:3 **Powers** 28:20 178:3 8:18 13:12,18,21 president 80:25 15:15 16:8 18:16 practically 161:4 processes 177:19 108:9 119:8 150:4 19:1,8,9,11,17 20:1,7 practice 60:20 176:5 21:1 22:4,5,13,19,24 processing 72:2 129:10 182:3 27:19,20 29:2,13 80:24 129:14 presidents 80:25 30:22,23 33:7,11 practices 81:12 procure 153:11 press 168:22,23 34:17 35:23,24 36:2 **Prange** 46:1 52:25 37:11 38:22 39:6,8,9, pressure 15:2 95:19 **produce** 19:17 91:22

15,17,21,25 40:6 43:8,11,15,24 44:3,5 45:19,21 47:1,12,22 51:11 53:15 55:11 56:10 62:11,13,22,23 63:7 64:9 66:15 67:11 68:13,16 71:20 74:15 75:14,15,18 78:11 79:2 81:18.22 85:11,14 86:25 87:4, 18,22 89:12, 91:19 92:10 93:15, 94:21 97:10,23 98:3,14 100:22 101:21 102:10,24 103:8 104:14 105:9 106:21 107:1,10,11,14,20 108:1,3,5,20 110:1, 19 116:11,14 117:12, 25 118:3,22 119:13 120:2,3,11,14 124:19 125:21 126:5,9,19, 23,25 127:14 128:7, 13,19 130:11,19 131:2 132:3,14 134:11,16,21,22 135:13 136:16,20,21, 24 137:6 139:2,20 141:3,7,12,22 142:10,17 143:1 144:25 146:23 147:6, 17,20,22,24 148:2,3, 4,7 149:12,21 151:19 152:2 153:9 154:2,7, 21 156:2 157:3,5,17, 23 158:18 159:12,24 160:1,3,7,19,20 162:4 177:6,10,14, 17,20 178:8 179:4, 10,12,21 180:1,9,12, 14,22,24 181:3 183:7,18,23 184:1 187:12,14 188:1,4,8

project's 4:23 5:2,21 108:2

projected 75:16 127:13

projections 83:15

projects 35:16 38:17 87:20 94:19 125:25 126:15,17,18 146:8, 16 148:1 177:18

promise 20:4 162:24

promoting 138:3

prone 14:5

proof 119:22

propaganda 60:23 62:5 143:20

propane 9:11 129:17 165:11,12

properties 94:10,11

property 7:3 9:9 22:15 27:17 34:25 63:2 69:15,17 74:24 76:5,6 80:17 94:8 123:16 141:4,6,9,22 160:9 174:3

proposal 30:8 110:4, 5,24 121:23 124:21 125:2 150:23

propose 136:22 167:10

proposed 39:6,21 47:23 86:25 102:15, 17,22 106:20 123:3, 128:6 149:21

proposing 17:9 123:10

proposition 147:7

proprietary 18:5

prospective 111:21

prospered 80:6

prosperity 81:20

protect 21:1 94:25 156:23 179:14

protected 85:24 99:7

protecting 150:9 183:22

protection 102:12 103:14 125:17 156:23

protective 52:11

protest 129:20

protesting 129:19

protocols 146:18

proud 63:14 139:16 143:7 184:9

proven 46:22 52:8 119:15,25

proves 45:19

provide 18:11 22:22 30:24 98:12 128:7 131:18 147:2 148:4 153:15 154:4 180:24 183:12 186:15

provided 44:13 52:11 71:14 89:20

providing 22:11 97:14 99:21 109:9 154:3

provisions 17:18 22:2

proximity 122:5

prudent 19:6 47:2

PTC 33:20

public 4:21 6:7 7:24 8:17,25 12:11 24:24 29:17 38:16 55:6,15 58:17 61:23 85:16,24 86:9 87:1,4,16 89:13 100:20 105:16 116:3 124:2 137:21 141:11 142:8 162:11 166:15 167:5,7,10,19,20,24 168:2,3 169:10,14 173:13,14 174:24 178:3 187:4,10

publicized 58:15,16

publicly 3:1 32:16 37:17

publisher 31:9

pull 69:2 179:16

pulled 86:4

pulling 79:16

pump 186:25

pumper 186:25

pumping 152:4

pumps 66:13

pun 53:25

puncture 102:13 156:25 164:22

purchase 48:23

purchases 153:6

purely 46:24

purple 9:21 11:25

purpose 5:11 28:23 136:20 138:10

purposes 33:2 40:4

pursue 101:21

push 37:19

pushed 92:4 171:14

put 9:17 19:18,24 20:2 22:3 25:14,15, 22,23,25 31:13 32:12 33:11 36:21 54:18 69:22 84:5 94:19 95:11 99:12 111:23 121:15 133:21 134:11 147:19 148:22 159:25 163:24 168:2 174:10, 15 177:14 183:9 186:17,21

puts 64:1

putt 53:18

putting 24:2 64:6 69:2 79:6 92:11

puzzled 90:5

Q

qualified 130:7

quality 19:17,25 53:14,22 54:4 57:10, 11,14,17,18 75:16 76:6,7,21,22 78:3,14, 19,20,24 79:14 96:4 107:22 116:17 117:7 150:13 163:1 177:25

qualitymanagement 104:12

quantified 118:13

quantitative 18:25

Quebec 25:21 101:15 123:20

question 33:11 34:12, 61:17 69:7 77:23 88:5 94:25 126:24 132:15 145:18 152:10 163:21 165:25 169:6 170:14 questionable 27:2 85:13

questions 7:18 23:16 29:23 31:18 32:22 33:24 67:12,18 104:1 167:13

quick 8:10 10:14 64:7 67:6 70:10 166:25

quickly 38:7 42:25 103:22 169:1

quorum 170:6

quote 6:17 29:9 39:14 44:25 89:12, 17.20 90:4,5

quoted 150:11 **quoting** 39:10

R

race 130:19

rack 151:18,20

rail 4:13 5:8,11,22 6:10,12 7:3,22 11:4 14:1,5,8,10,19 15:5,8 16:9 22:3,7,9,18 24:23 27:5,6,9 28:2, 17 29:13 32:18 33:20 47:25 48:12 54:8,16 55:2 56:25 59:1 65:22 68:6 72:15,17, 19,21 73:1,25 74:6,9 81:6,10,11,14 83:16, 17 84:4,16 94:25 99:8 100:12 101:5,25 102:5,8,11,24 103:15 106:4 109:24 110:4 111:22,24 115:2,8,16 116:18 120:22,23 121:1 124:14 138:1, 14 141:3 143:4,22 144:9 154:19 164:23 165:7,12,14

rail-car 61:5,10

railroad 8:11,13,17, 23 9:3 11:8 17:9 23:20,22,23,25 24:3, 14,16 33:5 47:8 48:10,13 49:10 57:22 82:13 99:10 100:24 102:3,19 103:2,7 109:24 112:16 114:24 133:16 135:4 137:15,25 138:6 154:14,16 155:10 164:12 172:13

railroads 32:2,15 48:14 67:24 72:14 84:13 103:4 137:5,8 138:13 155:8,12 156:11 172:8

rails 17:21 20:12 27:25 32:12 33:19 83:14,21,23 99:15

railway 58:23

railways 27:7 99:11, 18

rain 186:24

raise 161:7 166:12, 24 167:13

raised 33:25 53:5 55:4,14 80:4 142:24 166:5 175:18 178:12

Raley's 185:4

rammed 114:10

Ramos 67:3,8,10

ran 90:17 112:20 113:4 114:5 130:13 144:19

rare 153:23 160:20

rate 29:10 159:5

rates 14:9,10

rationale 52:18

re-closing 156:19

re-transportation 54:9

reach 76:19

reactive 54:2

read 16:16 48:9 75:12 82:5 105:13 116:11 122:8 135:7,9 141:17 144:10 145:11 163:17

reader 6:19 163:4

readily 109:7 179:25

reading 84:25 159:2

ready 3:2,16 71:3 111:5 140:18 177:20

real 8:4 28:24 29:2 74:8 112:15 118:14 161:18,19 175:10 179:12

realistic 17:6 47:12

realistically 29:22 30:1

realities 13:21 111:10

reality 28:11 59:10 61:3 137:14,17 138:11

realize 32:5 186:13 187:7

realized 109:15 113:7 159:10

realizing 175:8

Realtors 141:7

reason 27:13 46:14 89:5 110:1 121:25 130:18 132:23 138:24 139:1 158:15 164:9 176:15 188:20

reasonable 18:13,18 89:13 139:10

reasonableness 17:6

reasons 56:10 87:21 97:22 101:20 112:3 185:23

reassess 93:22

Rebecca 147:13

Rebekah 56:2 67:10

recall 129:19 130:25 173:11

receive 77:4

received 43:21 129:5 150:8

receiving 118:4

recent 73:13 74:11 106:12 111:15, 122:23 123:8,18,21 126:14 148:23

recently 57:1 65:16

91:17 121:16 130:23 131:21 187:16

recertified 182:9

recess 70:15

recession 132:25

recirculate 93:8 96:20

recirculated 55:14 83:11

recirculation 95:22

recognition 182:10

recognize 16:7 162:15 188:25

recommend 155:19

recommendations 16:2,4 48:6 52:17

recommended 156:11 171:1

record 13:7 15:21 23:4 24:1,24 33:6 46:22 92:3 97:20 109:23 117:12 128:18 129:25 165:24

recorded 128:25

recovery 52:14

recreate 179:2

recreational 116:3

red 9:22 10:21 75:24

redone 83:11

reduce 15:9 30:23 41:13 43:18,22,23 45:20 55:11 68:15 71:19 103:23 106:8 116:24,25 121:14 126:3 159:15,21 160:1

reduced 91:21 105:20

reduces 22:18 75:7

reducing 15:10 95:15,16 97:17 118:5,6 126:1 160:21

reduction 44:6 109:18 reductions 43:24 refinery's 94:9 relate 122:17 repeating 150:18 160:22 108:23 111:19,22 related 136:15 repetition 131:10 125:21 131:6 146:17 Reeser 79:22 168:20 relationship 5:17 refining 15:22 72:4 refer 17:16 replace 18:8 162:20 23:24 97:8 109:18 179:18 **reference** 39:11,19 relative 144:23 reflect 57:17 125:22 176:16 replacing 165:3 **reform** 33:9 references 38:24 relax 185:11 **report** 4:17,19 5:7, refrain 93:18 13,16,21 6:13 7:1,8, Referencing 39:13 release 89:15,21 23 8:1 13:17,19 refresh 18:10 123:12 130:2 156:20, referred 6:18 102:8 14:12 15:3,6 27:4 21 refugees 99:7 28:24 29:6 40:5 refers 29:18 released 50:16 43:10 45:19 50:8,22 refuges 99:8 refine 15:18 61:12 143:25 57:17 73:25 82:12,20 region 106:19 147:3 85:12 93:15 97:10 128:8 150:14 releases 71:21 109:16 111:19 112:7 148:13,14,16,20 refined 120:22 150:2 116:12 122:8 127:1 relevant 87:23 102:8 153:13 regional 4:23 96:18 134:25 135:1, 140:6 116:21 121:9 148:6 reliability 143:6 141:13,15 142:1,5 **refineries** 14:1 32:13 178:1 159:20 Regionally 72:6 42:17 44:22,23,24 reliable 79:1 164:18 87:14 88:6 89:2 report's 6:25 7:7 regular 71:22 141:21 93:18 94:5 106:8 142:8 185:2 reliance 123:14 reported 113:17 117:11,15 118:11 126:15 119:19 120:16 127:6, **regularly** 171:9,11, rely 44:12 161:19 17 129:18 135:22 reports 5:3 14:25 relying 96:18 148:6 176:19 181:2 regulate 96:17 102:3 187:16 represent 9:22 46:4 remain 80:20 106:7 113:19 137:15,25 58:21 112:13 135:19 108:23 111:13 118:9 **refinery** 7:3 8:6 138:13 164:12 146:5 148:25 177:9 146:24 147:4 148:5 13:11 15:17,20,22 180:21 regulated 70:1 188:11 16:6 35:5,8,12,14,20 representation 36:10,19 37:15 39:23 regulates 156:6 remaining 168:14 86:21 44:13,18,20 45:8,10, 179:5 regulation 183:18 15 59:11 60:1 80:9, representative **remains** 81:17 10,16,18,20 81:17,19 regulations 22:10 37:7,19 108:21 146:12 88:5 95:19,23 96:18 83:6 84:4,6,16 102:7 178:13 representatives 97:11,21 105:10,12 123:3,5,23 124:3,7, 17:25 18:23 81:13 106:6.16.19.20 18,22,25 156:1,4,13 **remarks** 136:18 108:21 111:13,17 165:2 178:20 183:16 remember 56:19 represented 179:19 114:24 116:18 117:5, 187:17 59:16 86:24 187:2 10,13 118:4,9,23 representing 3:10 regulatory 13:20 122:14,22 125:24 remind 88:23 98:4 represents 3:21 14:15 15:2,4,7 16:2 126:6 127:11,13,19 168:13,17 169:13 4:15 108:14 128:12 126:8 128:20 129:1,21 158:18 159:12,13 reminded 20:8 130:17 134:10 Reinerton 16:20 183:24 188:1 135:16,23 143:2 remove 14:16 18:7 21:7 26:22,24 145:15 146:7,15,24 reputable 118:12 reinforced 131:2 removing 18:21 148:1,7 150:16 131:3 151:9,20 152:21,23 Reiser 79:22 90:25 Reno 143:13 reputation 15:21 153:5,15 158:21 reiterate 67:12 141:2 renoticed 170:9 22:20 128:17 160:24 161:3,7 162:14 165:10 178:1 request 7:10 90:9 reiterated 67:14 repeat 37:8 51:7 53:3 180:23 181:2,8,11,21 149:12 120:12 168:19 reject 112:6 183:8,14,15 184:10, requested 53:6 repeated 38:17 13,14,22 185:2,14, rejected 6:17 16,21,24 186:7,12 requesting 90:14 repeatedly 182:8 rejection 16:7 187:8,12,14

130:3,4

risk-reward 90:21 requests 120:2 86:10,11 100:21 revealed 123:8 101:3 require 22:10 39:6,9 revenue 15:14 30:24 risks 4:21 15:3 resources 50:6 53:1 48:7 73:6 79:3 81:11 105:23 180:24 188:1 19:17,20 27:21 109:4 104:24 126:16 28:12,18 29:12,21 revenues 105:18 178:20 40:24 63:3 72:25 required 6:7 69:4 106:22 74:19 82:10 85:16 85:17 98:14 103:23 respect 69:9 138:20 reversed 113:15 123:17 133:19 117:7 160:16 145:14 142:16 144:23 145:9 requirement 156:4 Respectfully 107:2 147:6 163:23 178:18, review 17:5,6 18:5, 21 179:23 189:11,20 requirements 20:15 respond 68:19 69:4 22 33:8 85:12 96:8 88:13 178:21 186:14 rival 188:19,20 81:14 102:20,23 136:19 137:1,19 107:22 123:10 138:18 139:15 140:7 responders 22:12 river 15:11 27:24 147:19 154:4 155:20 124:11 181:24 99:4 31:23 49:7,19,22, 65:25 72:13,20 requires 10:10 13:23 reviewed 46:25 response 29:6 30:8 27:14 106:17 137:22 34:16 65:15 73:23 reviewing 156:12 road 8:20 9:21 10:6, 149:20 157:17 8,11,12 11:16 24:22 74:1,5 124:8 186:11 **reviews** 61:25 requiring 33:20 42:14 84:20 130:24 responsibility 58:2 147:1 revised 55:13 Roberta 79:23 88:24 92:11 128:18 rerouting 73:6 129:3 142:7 143:5 revitalized 11:2 Robinson 91:1 154:1 92:24 96:25 research 14:2,3 79:4 reward 47:3,4 82:21 101:9 103:2,8, responsible 23:23 **Rock** 101:16 **Richmond** 93:9,10, 12 135:7 27:15 28:1,4,7,9 58:5 14 94:8,11 95:19,25 107:23 131:25 rocking 112:24 researched 78:16 96:7.15 132:11 Rocklin 110:4 rest 30:5 95:24 146:10 reservoir 49:20 109:13 110:9,11,13 Rodney 91:1 92:24 Richmond's 94:8 residence 144:12 111:14 135:9 161:2 96:25 169:19 171:17 Rick 12:22 16:17,19 resident 13:11 16:23 Roger 21:9 30:15 21:5,6 23:8 70:22 21:13 30:21 31:9 restriction 150:6,15 31:8 63:12 84:9 121:5 125:6 34:24 38:10 42:7 restrictions 124:14 rid 66:10 role 22:24 155:18 43:6 47:19 56:7 59:24 93:5 97:7 98:2 resubmit 50:21 rolling 75:24 76:1 **riding** 42:13 104:5 105:11 107:8 result 18:9 43:11 115:22 117:23 119:5 rigs 186:15 rollover 102:12 75:18 95:7 109:19 120:10 132:11 141:1 103:14 116:16 163:2 Rikkelman 125:10, 144:10 149:18 158:6 13,14 **Ron** 12:23 13:10 160:17 161:11 162:5 resulting 31:22 163:19 174:3 176:24 89.21 roof 121:15 ripple 108:19 180:8 181:7 results 14:18 47:25 room 3:25 38:5,14 risk 8:2 13:13,20 residential 94:11 48:4 65:8 98:4 15:12 19:18 28:8,14, retained 154:18 18 30:9 32:12 42:10, 127:22 128:1 133:18 residents 38:20 retains 6:2 12 47:1,2,3 54:19,25 138:8 139:4,11 44:11 52:10 87:21 166:7,12 167:1 58:8 61:16 72:25 112:5 117:3 133:13 retire 35:9 74:17 87:2 89:20 175:13 177:12 184:9 186:13 retired 42:7 75:5 90:17 91:15 92:12 187:23.25 residing 125:14 97:8 141:21 94:20 101:1 106:9 root 101:11 112:5 122:15,23 resistance 156:25 **retiree** 143:2 Rose 21:9 30:16 37:1 123:16 133:22 resisting 164:21 retirement 127:8 142:15 144:23,25 40:15 70:24 145:5 163:22 189:7 resolution 11:19 Return-on-**Roseville** 5:1 29:13 risk-analysis 61:15 50:3 72:8,9 115:6

risk-benefit 47:12

investment 151:1

Reuters 49:2

resolutions 30:10

resource 29:16

rosy 19:21 round 163:25 165:7	s	119:17,25 123:7 125:24 129:2,4,7,10,	25 158:9 160:8 185:4 188:18,19
route 24:14,25 29:13	S-a-i-t-z 125:8	25 131:16 138:21 139:6 146:14,21	schools 80:5 115:25 116:4 143:14 153:2
72:11 134:1 150:20	S-a-u-t-z 125:7	153:17 156:10,14,19 157:2 158:20 159:13	science 111:11
routes 6:6 48:16 49:18 73:1 115:8	S-g-a-m-b-a-t-i 147:13	160:25 163:9 165:8 177:24 180:10	188:23,24
routinely 180:2	S-o-f-i-v-a 112:14	181:14,20 182:12,13 187:11	scientific 148:17 scientist 71:16
routing 73:5	Sacramento 15:11	-	
Rowe-shields 46:2 51:5,6,10	50:3 54:6 73:8 87:17 88:2 90:1 110:2,3	safety-oriented 42:15 131:25	scope 4:20 5:6 29:23 138:17
Rrags 185:11	115:6 163:13,18	Safeway 185:3	scraped 114:16
RSI-AAR 103:2	sacrifice 189:24	Saitz 98:1 121:6 125:7	script 111:24
rubber-stamp	sad 65:4	sake 169:5	Scrutchins 37:24 40:17 70:24
	saddle 66:23	Sally 97:2 105:3	scrutiny 49:14
Rubinstein 38:3,10, 13 79:23 97:2 105:3	safe 3:13 4:4 22:25 42:19 52:8 56:12	111:2	season 73:16
111:2	57:7 59:5 60:2 62:9, 11 64:16 74:13 77:15	Sam 37:24 40:16 70:24	seats 166:5
Rudy 37:3 40:16,19	82:17 95:11 105:16	San 90:6 96:9	Seattle 65:17,19
rule 5:25 6:11,13 138:20	108:22 112:18 118:7 119:16,23 128:8	sands 49:15 54:3,14	second-to-last 12:5
rule-making 123:6,	146:18 155:12,16 157:6 164:18 178:13	71:15,17,21 72:4 94:17 96:7 149:25	secondary 33:15
10	187:20 189:10	Santa 186:8	secret 24:16
rules 102:15,17 137:10 187:19	safeguards 104:22	Saturday 26:10	secretive 71:12
rumors 161:17	180:15	63:20	secrets 24:18
run 22:17 35:5 73:12	safely 17:10 99:22 110:24 129:22	Sautz 125:7	section 40:21 50:20 89:11 90:3,22
77:14 118:24 136:3	165:11,15	save 159:11	122:17,18 163:1
144:21 157:9 176:21	safer 14:12,18 27:9	savvy 135:11	sector 108:18
running 26:1 35:20 37:15 114:13 164:19	56:14 74:9 82:25 95:10	scaffolding 153:8	sectors 108:18
181:13	safest 16:5 47:9	scared 112:23	secure 145:6
ruptured 31:25	117:14 129:5 181:2	scares 24:20	security 47:7 189:14
rupturing 129:1	safety 4:21 6:10	scenario 27:11 30:2	seek 68:15
rural 73:23	15:21,23 16:10 22:5 24:1 25:11 28:18	scenarios 19:21	seeked 41:3
rush 40:22,25 41:8 42:1	32:14 33:4 37:15	scene 52:2	sees 151:9
Russell 25:5 147:11	46:22 47:7 48:3 49:2, 9 50:7,21 57:2 63:5	schedule 20:11 33:23	segment 18:10
149:16,17	64:8,11 70:5 72:6,8, 17 73:4 81:10,14	scheduled 34:5	segments 128:18
Ruszel 4:6 8:7,8	85:16 87:1 92:3,5,19	171:10,12,22	seismic 17:1,2,11
10:1,3 RV 164:1 165:7	95:1,11 96:16,18 97:19,20 100:25	scheduling 6:4 22:7 48:13	selected 101:14 selection 101:7
Rybarczyk 136:9	101:9,12,25 102:1 103:2,6,7,12,20	Schoenstein 46:5	self-sufficiency
140:16 147:10	107:24 109:23,25	school 21:14,15,18	95:3
	111:23 112:5 113:22 116:18 117:12	80:19 149:7 152:24,	sell 66:19

send 84:24 186:7 **shift** 184:12,16,23 shutdown 88:6 187:5 120:18 **senior** 100:17 **shifting** 106:7 118:9 site 45:5 106:17 sic 40:3 75:19 97:11 126:4 119:18 146:16 sense 4:20 152:8 181:22,23 182:5,8,12 **ship** 49:8 50:12 121:1 **sick** 45:4 Sensi-isolani 55:21, sites 153:21 181:25 25 56:6,7 shipment 157:6 **side** 17:3,5,7 88:13 114:15,16 **sitting** 187:6 **sensitive** 50:4 73:7 shipments 61:4 sidelines 6:8 101:13 150:21 situation 59:6 sentence 87:4 130:17 144:9 145:14 **shipped** 16:8,9 61:8, sidetrack 114:3 sentences 151:5 151:18 9 150:16 151:11 siding 10:6 sizable 58:3 September 4:4 shipper 48:18 58:18 96:8 139:10 Sierra 49:12,18 size 14:24 157:19 167:9,11 shipping 78:25 Sierras 27:23 73:15 170:2,4,19 171:14, **skew** 28:11 **ships** 57:12 66:11 21,22 172:16,18 significance 15:16 78:5 145:17 150:20 **skilled** 127:14 173:5 31:24 85:20 163:16 151:14 131:12 147:1 significant 21:25 sequences 52:5 **shipyard** 36:9 45:12 skilled-craftsman 22:15 27:3,10 28:14, serve 138:10 181:1 **shock** 45:6 21 38:18 39:1,4 40:1, served 155:6 182:16 skimming 78:4 4 53:11,22 54:6,12, shocked 79:5 19,25 55:7 68:9 **service** 121:9 153:6 skip 66:14 shooting 145:2 69:10,11 75:16,21 services 72:17 80:19 85:17 89:12,16 112:4 **sky** 17:15 75:24 76:4, **shop** 176:14 181:17 94:13 131:19 152:22 116:17 122:23 185:9 153:7 123:16,17 126:13 slashing 94:12 130:24 131:21 163:2, **shopping** 46:10 70:3 serving 8:1 6,7,11,17,18 164:4 slate 53:20 short 41:20 52:1 177:10,11,14,15,17 **set** 19:5 117:8 126:7 74:14 111:24 slide 8:15 9:20 12:5 133:12 159:14 165:8 significantly 69:21 170:16 short-sided 89:4 slight-of-hands 116:20 126:3,12 79:11 setting 15:24 119:23 short-term 19:21 signifies 147:6 22:16 39:18 86:24 slightest 90:17 **settled** 124:18 signs 64:1,5 96:1 shortchanges 18:1 slim 60:25 **severe** 44:25 **Sil** 117:19 120:9 Slizeski 12:22 16:17 **shorter** 13:19 severely 105:20 **silent** 17:8 21:5 70:23 shortly 45:3 **Sgambati** 147:13,15 **silos** 9:10 slow 82:25 84:17 **shoved** 114:5 **Shame** 136:2 124:15 similar 14:21 34:19 **show** 3:20 19:7 45:17 56:22 113:24 Shannon 21:8 30:15, slower 83:2 78:12 111:15 120:25 19 Similarly 54:5 121:1 166:11 **small** 11:13 46:19 **share** 27:18 90:13 47:4 153:7 **simple** 73:19 showing 8:23 78:24 110:19 177:8 178:12 187:3 small-town 116:1 **simply** 54:18 62:21 shareholders **shown** 44:8,21 97:16 110:18 111:23 164:8 **smell** 127:3 133:14,15 178:2 180:7 187:14 **Smile** 115:18 **sheen** 72:22 simulation 51:25 **shows** 11:24 95:2 Smith 111:3, 169:6,8, **Sheila** 96:25 109:17 116:15 single 6:5 115:4 18 171:12 160:3 **Shell** 87:13 shuffled 85:9 **smog** 163:11 **shelves** 102:11 sir 10:3 13:6 16:12 shunting 10:9 **smoke** 76:9 23:3 26:17 157:8,10 **shields** 46:2 51:20, **shut** 44:22 45:8 94:9 sneering 127:21 sit 130:23 185:6 21 103:13 156:24 120:16

30:6 34:18 50:5,24

stabilization 14:13, **Snider** 117:19 121:4, 128:13 134:7 142:3 speculation 179:18 8 149:18 165:21 166:7 15,20,23,25 16:4 **speech** 31:13 167:1,17 168:11,17 snow-melt 49:18 stack 174:20 172:10 173:14,24 speed 6:6 83:3 snowball 65:1 174:11,13,16 175:13, 112:21 124:14 **staff** 8:8 31:4,7 60:6 130:20,22 22,25 184:5,25 139:25 147:18 snowjobs 79:16 185:19 162:11 168:23 169:6 spelled 13:15 soccer 21:20 153:1 170:15 171:5,7 speaker 3:5,12 4:7 **spend** 84:25 9:24 10:2 16:16 staffing 158:23 society 19:12 21:11 23:7 26:21 **spent** 38:4 93:9 staged 141:14 **SOFIVA** 112:14 30:14 31:6 34:22 **spill** 14:5 23:17,21 37:23 40:14 43:3 **stake** 87:2 softball 153:1 26:3 27:16,23 29:6, 47:16 51:3 52:24 soil 95:8 10,12,18,20,21 31:23 stakeholders 63:9 67:2, 71:7 74:21 180:14 32:10 49:16 68:20 77:18 79:21 85:3 **Solano** 96:10,14 69:5,14 74:12 88:13 87:8 89:7 92:23 **Stan** 12:22 16:17 104:5 107:16 118:5 106:9 97:25 100:9 104:3 134:9 146:5 148:16 21:5 70:23 107:5 112:10 115:20 163:12 186:2 spillage 14:9 **stand** 10:3 37:17 117:18 120:6 132:5 spilled 66:2 45:21 144:12 153:8 **solar** 95:17,18 134:3 136:10 142:21 121:15 133:21 181:3 185:5 145:25 149:15 152:2, **spills** 14:4,8 28:18 12 154:10 158:4 65:10 74:18 81:9 **standard** 23:12,15 **sold** 33:13 45:10,16 165:19 170:20 175:6, 151:11 32:2,21 33:12 156:9 **spinal** 90:18 12 184:3 164:25 sole 8:24 spiral 4:23 speakers 3:15 12:20 standards 15:25 **solely** 179:10 20:18 21:4 51:13,16 **split** 74:7 103:17,20, 31:20 33:8 35:10 56:13 57:5 70:13 102:4,17 103:7 **solution** 18:6,9,25 21 91:9 92:13 96:24 109:25 119:17 129:7 someplace 122:11 **spoke** 3:5,7 78:1 134:15 138:23 138:21 146:17 91:13 92:14 99:15 147:12 152:8,15 son 21:13 93:5 155:12,15 156:2,10, 125:9 142:4 160:10 158:1 165:17,24 12 164:23 165:8 184:5 song 62:7 75:22 166:10 169:15,19,22 standing 68:3 171:18,21,25 173:24 **spoken** 134:12 167:2 sons 93:6 142:24 112:19 141:20 158:1 174:7 168:12 173:2 175:7 sort 57:20 127:21 stands 53:7 142:15 speaking 3:20 4:3 spokesman 49:1 **Soto** 92:25 93:4 38:4 44:14 120:13 **Star** 75:23 119:18 150:11 125:16 173:17 129:6 153:21 176:13 sounds 89:18 124:12 sponsor 21:20 184:18 181:22,23,25 182:8 163:3 sponsored 21:19 special 171:7 Starbucks 185:11 source 15:9 107:15 91:25 103:2 128:10 specializes 154:14 **Stark** 172:4,7,12,25 **sports** 152:25 173:2,10,22 sources 5:1 34:10 specializing 14:4 **spot-on** 37:16 48:20 71:13 95:4 start 3:17 11:11 **specific** 4:13 19:4 96:19 97:15 105:19 45:25 61:18 70:13 **spots** 34:9 162:23 126:13,20,21 108:1 145:16 147:17 **SPRAGUE** 166:21 specifically 79:16 162:21 172:1 **Southern** 176:14 129:4 150:2 161:4 188:18 spreading 17:21 **started** 3:19 70:16 177:22 71:4 129:20 158:10 **spree** 159:5 **space** 141:4,6 specification starting 87:18 squarely 180:1 **span** 87:5 154:15,19 155:1,24 123:24 157:16 157:4,7 spanning 49:25 **St** 49:7 159:21 specifications stability 106:1 speak 3:8 4:3 9:25 **state** 5:13 14:25 155:16,20 156:6 183:25 188:2 23:9 38:7 60:1 77:22 16:2,3 28:6 29:5,14 98:3 105:8 125:20 spectrum 180:2

64:16 86:12 117:24 119:19 124:8,15 126:8 136:25 137:7, 10,11 153:20 159:14, 25 165:1 176:20 state-delegated 124:9 state-of-the-art 158:23 **stated** 14:5 32:16 48:19,23 56:10 60:22 81:1 128:11 180:22 statement 6:20 50:11 73:19 105:13 statements 48:10 91:9 100:3 111:15 121:12 **states** 27:4 32:20 33:21 39:20 43:10 59:12 74:6 89:11 95:1,5 110:17 132:20 163:1 187:18 statewide 30:5 107:16 **stating** 12:11 33:6 stationary 17:10 127:21 **status** 82:19

statistical 28:10 statisticians 86:2 statistics 61:3

stay 19:25 46:17 58:25 59:12 93:22 94:2 117:1,13 118:22 168:14 169:18 172:23 173:6

staying 172:22,25

Steamfitters 30:21 180:20

steel 102:12 156:16. 17

step 41:16 126:6 179:4

steps 107:25

Sterrano 37:24 40:17 70:25

Steve 29:5

Stevenson 46:2 55:18,24 70:25

sticking 112:14 184:6

sticks 4:19 25:7,8, 10,15

Stierwalt 16:20 21:6 23:8 26:19 121:5 125:6

stimulated 146:19

stimulus 15:14

stock 135:24 151:11

stolen 64:5

stomach 45:4

stop 16:8 20:14,20 26:18 77:13 84:22 87:16 94:19,20 157:9

stopped 103:22

storage 9:11 11:1

store 5:8 9:15 46:10 70:2 186:22

stories 24:8

story 28:15

Straits 88:16

strategies 101:1

Straw 21:9 30:16 31:7,8 55:1 63:12 84:9

Street 25:6 58:9,10 76:18 104:9 126:14 185:8 186:5

streets 105:16

strict 126:7 183:17

strong 36:9 108:21, 25 159:10 179:5 188:11

stronger 102:11

strongest 148:6

strongly 44:4 183:18

struck 91:25

structural 16:24 structures 115:9 **stuck** 114:9

studied 188:19

study 4:18 106:12

stuff 64:16,17 65:3,6, 7,12,22 135:5,9,22

Stumbo 147:11

stunned 45:5

subbed 24:2

subcontractor 23:20

subject 18:5 136:25 155:3 179:16

subjected 17:11

submit 17:23 49:4 50:19 121:17

submitted 3:4 39:11 50:20 73:25 107:2 136:11

submitting 18:2 subsequent 74:17

substances 19:9

substantial 28:17 169:22

success 126:1 189:10

successful 43:24 47:4 182:20

successfully 165:11 189:8

suffer 20:6 57:16

suffered 69:1

sufficient 14:24 154:3

suggest 7:20 64:18

suggested 171:5

suggestion 166:9, 10

suggests 5:5 6:15 151:1

Suisun 50:4 85:23 130:5 186:19

suit 96:5

sulphur 53:24

sum 55:13

summarize 118:2

summary 13:3 75:13 81:16

Sunflower 59:25 93:12

Sunflowers 56:18, 22

superior 64:9 66:15

supervisor 184:12

Supervisors 96:11

supplies 49:20 145:3

supply 33:12 50:9 87:25 109:14 183:19

support 13:12 30:25 36:20 39:18 41:20, 21,22 43:9 44:7,10, 12,19 80:18 81:4,22 85:13 97:23 106:24 107:9 108:16 110:1, 5,23 117:24 120:10 125:17,20 128:13 132:3 133:21 134:10 135:20 140:13 143:1 145:21 147:24 148:12 153:3 154:6 158:21,22 160:18 177:6 180:12 181:3, 18 183:6 184:1

supported 116:9 125:23

supporter 141:2

supporters 127:18 147:23

supporting 23:1 178:8 183:4 187:13

supports 21:21,23 44:15 106:19 120:2 183:4

supposed 84:12 114:2

surface 10:20 113:9

surrounding 4:7 88:18 99:25 184:15

survivability 103:10

sushi 185:9

suspect 130:9 **Tamara** 132:7 108:11 56:17 59:7 63:16 65:10 78:13 94:4 television 139:5 sustain 22:20 tank 5:10 6:8 14:12 98:20 104:15 122:16 31:20 32:1,16,21 sustainability 43:17 telling 8:16 182:7 128:12 135:10,25 33:4,8,13 48:22,24 128:19 158:7 142:11 143:6 144:16 49:17 51:25 52:8 tells 185:14 146:12 151:17 152:1 swear 63:22 54:25 86:1 100:25 161:16 169:13 174:9 temperature 48:4 101:7,9 102:4,9,17, swearing 64:24 65:8 19 103:2,4,6,7,10 things 17:18 25:10, 109:24 122:6,21 20 36:15 37:9 60:15 swift 108:5 temporary 39:2,25 63:18 64:7 67:14,16 128:25 131:5 138:21 88:6 146:20 swim 158:13 139:6 154:14,16,20 68:12 82:11 86:13 ten 31:25 87:15 155:1,4,7,14,15,16, 91:25 102:17 124:5, switch 88:9 113:5,6, 19,21,23 156:1,5,6,8, 7,17 139:12 140:10 14 114:1 Teresa 125:10 132:6 10,16,18,21,22 141:9,14,16 143:19 switching 7:6,25 term 4:18 13:24 40:1 157:1,4,6 145:6,13 151:3 10:9 34:11 103:24 124:23,24 133:17,22 168:19 176:9,16 tanker 47:25 183:2 185:15 189:12 **system** 33:23 64:20, terminal 5:12 122:1, tankers 48:15 49:10 21 65:20 83:17 12 thinking 78:17 66:4 138:12 160:8 92:14,15 173:5 terminals 9:10 66:20 tap 122:14 systems 33:16 34:3, thinks 74:4 terminate 150:5 13,19 100:17 124:12, tar 49:15 54:3,14 third-option 106:3 13 terms 17:15 40:24 71:15,17,21 72:4 94:16 96:7 149:25 third-party 118:12 Szloboda 79:23 terrible 130:11 90:25 91:3,5 target 159:14 **Thirdly** 33:3 150:13 **Tesoro** 87:13 targets 160:5,6 thought 45:7 134:14 **Test** 103:3 Т 172:8,15 187:12 tariffs 150:10 **tested** 56:13 thoughtful 140:7 table 75:12 78:23 task 155:7 **testify** 60:18 thousand-foot tabletops 189:17 taught 143:14 testimony 38:17 49:24 tackle 68:24 tax 15:14 20:4,5 testing 102:18 103:8 thousands 76:21 22:14,15 86:22 tags 169:20 **Texas** 14:21 46:17 105:18 106:22 threat 8:3,4 32:11 154:13 188:17 Tahoe 164:1 165:7 108:25 116:6 148:25 93:20 180:24 188:1 takes 15:3 28:12 thanking 140:1 threatened 115:5 147:17 45:18 taxes 44:9 75:1,2,7, threshold 163:7,11, 10 80:17 106:13 **Thanksgiving** taking 112:11 15 116:23 131:14 153:3 182:17 talk 31:14,15 35:3 thrived 106:10 taxpayer 181:16 **thefts** 64:4 57:1,2 60:4 64:7 thriving 46:9 47:5 67:17 82:2,7,16 teacher 21:15 75:5 theory 151:1 99:17 129:12 135:3, throw 182:5 team 42:8 **therapy** 90:17 12,21 143:20 144:23 thunder 75:24 76:1, 157:13 159:2 164:7 teams 21:20 73:23 thereof 74:2 2.3 174:4 182:6 183:10 74:1,5 153:1 **Theresa** 181:6 Thursday 63:20 talked 24:6 45:3 57:5 technical 13:18 34:8 170:6,10 thick 67:20 58:9 150:21 67:17 126:24 148:17 155:11 tie 114:18 thicker 102:11 talking 25:1,12 76:9 78:2 98:8 121:18 156:17 technically 73:5 ties 135:18,19 134:23 139:3 161:23 Thielvoldt 30:17 technological **Tim** 21:9 30:16 37:1,2 164:2 171:3 177:12 34:23,24 133:22 40:15 70:23 182:22 thing 9:2 23:14 24:1, technology 33:18 time 3:7,8 5:12 9:6 talks 74:19 186:11 8,13 37:20 40:8

18:3 19:11 20:14 23:17 26:18 29:4,20 31:17 37:21 42:2,24 50:25 52:4 56:19 77:14 80:6,7 82:3 83:18,20 88:7 100:12 103:23 117:2 119:1 128:24 129:10 134:6 136:10 137:8 139:20 141:3 142:5 147:8,16 149:13 152:6 154:3 157:9,11,15 162:16 168:7,25 173:11 179:17

time-tested 49:9

times 12:2 21:5 27:8 40:21 48:17 89:24,25 92:1 129:9 148:23 151:8 159:3 186:13

tipped 56:15

tipping 35:24

Tire 186:22

tired 25:23

tires 153:12

titles 52:4

today 8:12 9:7,16 11:10,25 36:12 38:16 46:8 56:18 58:16 60:1,4 61:14 98:3,8 180:3

told 28:20 76:23 77:2,3 90:16 113:10

Tom 80:2 136:9 140:16 147:10,11 149:17

tonight 3:14 4:3,6 31:16,17 37:9 51:16 76:1 87:23 89:25 99:17 100:21 101:2 103:25 110:6 125:16, 20 127:21 132:13 134:12 136:17 138:23 141:15,17,25 142:3 154:21 158:14 159:1 164:20 171:9 172:25 173:7,8 174:14,15,17,20 175:13 177:5 183:6 184:18,23 187:13

tonight's 139:18

tons 159:22,23 160:2

tools 189:8

top 24:16 102:12 103:14 115:21 156:22,23 174:20

top-end 14:16

topic 28:14 172:1

topics 101:3

topnotch 160:8

torch-light 185:6

total 4:20 43:18,22 59:6 86:21 148:12

totality 5:2,4 92:10

totally 83:10 104:14

Toth-smith 46:1 47:18 50:25 51:1,7

touch 65:2

touches 7:4

touchy 57:15

tough 18:16

town 16:24 23:9 46:7,13,19,24 57:21, 22 58:13 59:2 63:18, 23 65:9 66:23 73:11 77:24 78:1 79:11 87:15 88:16 106:25 114:23 115:4 118:25 122:5,6,15 128:17, 21,22 152:24 179:9 180:5 185:1,9,12 186:24

towns 44:24 49:8 88:15,18 89:4 114:24

toxic 49:6 50:15 54:3

toys 182:22

track 34:10 73:3 113:1 128:17

trackage 4:15 9:14

tracks 9:9,13,14 10:20,22,25 11:2 17:10 56:25 57:7 58:23 73:12 112:16, 19 114:9,17

tract 24:22

trade 150:9

trades 93:16 146:11

traffic 6:25 9:19 11:16,22 12:2,12 27:7 63:2 99:10 116:19

tragedy 31:24

tragic 142:15

trail 48:12

trailer 114:15

train 5:12 6:3,4, 7:6 9:22,23 10:5 11:14, 18 12:3 25:2,4,6,9, 19,22 26:1,5,6,8 31:21 33:17 34:2,4, 18 48:12,15,16 50:13 66:5 72:11 84:8,11 103:17 112:20,25 113:4 114:5,6,11,13, 16 123:8,11 124:9 130:13

trained 52:10 65:12 143:13

training 22:11 74:2 158:24

trains 4:25 6:15
10:10,22 11:6 20:11
25:8 29:14,18,20
32:6,13 48:17 49:13,
18 50:2 65:13 72:9,
12 73:5 82:25 83:13
84:16,20 87:15 99:12
102:20,21 122:24
123:4,9,13,14,18,21
124:23 151:8 164:19
165:4

trajectory 70:5

transition 133:20

translate 164:4

transparent 178:2

transplanted 188:16

transport 14:5,18 15:8 20:11 47:23 49:8 50:12,13 72:7 99:14 103:9 106:3,6 118:8 123:14 126:3, 11,16 156:7 178:19

transport-by-ship 126:4

transportation 6:9,

25 15:22 32:14 39:20 48:3,11 49:2 54:5 73:4,6 78:25 80:11 100:25 106:10 113:9 124:10 155:4,16 156:5,8

transported 6:5 13:25 14:22 15:10 47:24 81:6 165:11 180:5,6

transporter 129:23

transporting 15:5 48:4 111:24 154:16

trash 186:5

travel 49:17

traveling 17:10

traverse 49:13,23 50:2

tree-lighting 185:6

tremendous 35:10 108:19 116:5,10

Trestle 49:24

trestles 50:1

trial 60:7,11 Trinity 131:4

triple-hull 66:4

tripling 7:22

trips 164:1 165:7

trophies 64:14,22

trouble 83:17 143:9

troubles 7:6

truck 14:1,6,8,10

Truckee 73:9

true 46:11 71:24 77:3 78:18 92:4 140:11 143:22 173:16 182:12

trust 94:25 96:3

truth 30:1 61:20 79:9

Tuesday 63:20

turn 7:25 26:5 31:3 38:4 78:8 99:3 118:25 134:10 151:21

turning 4:5 turns 75:6 83:13 tutor 158:9 tutoring 21:17 149:7 158:10 **TV** 184:22 two-thirds 148:19 type 6:4 20:10 45:14 47:23 55:2 71:12 types 69:25 123:3 129:13 typically 3:10 **U.S.** 6:9 13:22 20:1 42:21 48:10 103:6,8 116:25 126:13,21 132:22 156:5 183:16 **UC** 160:11 188:20 **UCLA** 188:19 ugliest 152:5 ultimately 4:8 unable 33:1 184:16, 17 unacceptable 90:21 unaddressed 24:4 unavoidable 75:17, 21 163:3 164:5,7,8 underestimated

U

112:6 undergoing 14:15 underneath 65:17 underscore 108:24 understand 3:11 4:25 33:22 40:7,9,23 74:25 75:11 118:16 127:19 134:25 140:10 141:18 162:17 163:5 173:4 174:5,25 175:2 178:18 179:24 189:7, 18 understandable 162:18

understanding 39:24 161:10 162:21 165:3,6 understands 35:6 Understood 3:24 undertaking 179:15 undesirable 7:23 unemployed 127:23 unforeseen 19:14 UNIDENTIFIED 9:24 10:2 21:11 71:7 152:12 165:19 170:20 175:6 unintentional 123:12 union 5:4,15,22,24 11:5 27:11 34:6,15 47:7 48:9,13 62:5 103:17 112:1,19 113:3,13,20 129:23 150:18 155:2 164:17 172:7,12 177:3,4 180:13

83:18,19 99:10 101:7 unions 93:16

unique 35:7,19 54:4 67:14 86:10 176:17, 20

United 33:21 59:12 95:1,4,5 132:20 187:18

unit 9:23 103:17

unknown 19:7 unknowns 29:8 **Unlike** 49:8

unloaded 5:12

unloading 18:23 122:1

unmanned 130:13 unsafe 23:11,12,15 32:17 33:7 48:2 49:17 187:13

unstable 41:5,17 42:23

untangle 28:13

untold 149:6 unused 11:3 unworkable 137:12 **UP'S** 5:18 6:19 7:18 **update** 124:13 **updated** 124:11 updates 82:6 upgrade 48:22 129:21 148:23 upgraded 31:25 84:19 upline 91:12 114:24 **uprail** 4:22 22:12 33:5 49:12 upset 89:14 upside 62:25 63:1 upstream 135:4 uptick 122:23 urban 94:1 120:16 urge 22:24 52:16 81:13,22 93:7 96:20 132:3 178:7 **urged** 138:22 urgently 77:6 urging 37:13 162:5 **users** 11:4 **usual** 41:10 **Utilize** 136:5

utmost 119:25

٧

Valero 4:17 5:8,15 6:1,23 9:9 13:12 15:21 16:11 17:8,24 19:10,21,22 21:17, 19,20,21,23,25 22:18,20 23:1,14,19 27:4,11,14,25 28:3,8, 23 29:18 30:4,9 32:20,25 33:1,14 35:4,9,13,18,20,22, 25 37:11 38:22 40:25 42:14,25 43:7 44:1,8, 13,14,16,18 45:1,17

46:13,15,16,21 47:5, 6,11 48:18,19,21,23 58:3 59:2,11 60:22 62:4,6,13,19 63:1 66:16 69:9,21 70:2 71:11,19 74:6,13,14, 23,25 75:2,3,9,14 76:5,12,15,20 77:25 78:1 79:5,15 85:11, 14 86:18,25 88:5,16, 17,21,22 91:24 93:19 94:22 97:14,22 98:11 99:21 100:22 101:2, 21 102:5,10,11,22 103:16 105:9,12,21 106:6,11,12,20 107:10,23 108:3 109:6,12 110:22 111:9,15 113:23 115:13,16 116:6,8 117:1,10 118:3,8,21, 23 119:14,15,16,22, 24 120:2, 121:23 122:22 125:20. 126:23 127:11,17 128:6,23 129:4,21 130:17 131:1,9,22,25 134:9 136:14 141:4, 14 146:6,10,13,15,21 147:7,21 148:15,18 150:8,11,13 151:6,9, 17 152:21 155:18,23 156:1 157:4 158:7, 10,21 162:14 164:17, 20 176:10,11,17,20, 22 177:4,23 178:2, 18,25 179:5 180:13 181:2,7,11,19,21 182:8 183:2,3,11 185:18,21 187:22 188:9

Valero's 4:8 5:20 7:10,13 8:1,16 15:8 22:3,4 24:1 29:2 32:23 33:3 41:12,20, 22 49:13,14 62:22 63:6 86:21 94:14 97:10,19 107:14 108:20 109:14, 110:23 112:15 117:5 119:18 130:19 144:13 148:12 150:23

Valero-owned 44:21 Valero-sponsored 100:19

Valero/benicia villain 187:6 175:14 75:25 76:10 102:15 39:21 123:24 142:12 violation 75:16 **waiting** 168:17 valid 53:4 weigh-in 124:2 violations 76:22 walk 51:14 validity 119:10 weighing 96:11 77:4,11 walked 45:4 Vallejo 35:2 36:7 violent 32:3 weight 16:5 walks 21:21 40:21 45:13 46:19 Virginia 31:22 48:25 weight-carrying 63:24 64:12 88:23 Wall 25:6 126:14 49:5 55:3 101:17 11:1 159:1,3 180:20 Walsh-hill 21:9 186:19 virtually 54:15 74:18 **Weiss** 49:1 30:15,19 Vallejo's 159:5 Vista 186:2 Welch 105:4,6 107:2 wanted 37:17 121:23 Vallejo/benicia 85:5 visual 4:12 well-educated 129:21 141:15 143:9 145:16 167:8 172:1 80:13 Valley 123:22 vital 8:5 50:6 84:1 173:12,19 well-financed 46:12 105:8,19 131:17 values 35:13,21 war 135:14,16 145:2 69:15,17 94:8 160:9 vocabulary 163:5 well-managed warfarin 90:16 46:12,21 valves 156:19,23 voice 68:7 139:3,6,13 **warned** 52:11 well-supported 140:3 183:6 **Van** 55:20,24 63:10 159:11 voices 147:24 wartime 8:24 vanish 105:23 well-versed 58:14 volatile 14:16 28:17 waste-water 126:2 vapor 144:3 WELLMAN 3:3 54:1,13 87:14 watch 99:11 144:12 vaporized 26:11,15 129:12,14 165:13 167:22 168:1,10 watched 184:20 169:12,17 171:6 variable 118:20 volatility 129:16 173:11,19 175:8,18, watching 184:22 varied 149:22 **volume** 150:19 21 185:5,7 varies 163:12,15,16 volumes 6:5 123:15 west 10:16 15:17 water 49:7,18,21 66:20 110:2 113:13 variety 107:12 146:7 voluntary 46:24 50:9 62:10 63:17,18, 114:4 115:6 144:19 82:22,24 83:5,9 20 64:8 72:21,23 151:22 vastly 27:21 61:15 87:25 88:3 95:23,25 volunteer 21:17 westbound 112:20 **vault** 41:2 179:1 153:2 185:25 114:14 waterfront 21:22 Venezuela 41:4,6 volunteerism 22:23 wetland 85:24 116:3 venture 35:16 volunteers 21:24 Waters 52:9 wetlands 99:7 version 32:1 48:22 153:6 waterways 14:9 whatsoever 74:19 vested 149:5 179:2 voodo 118:16 15:12 28:5 50:7,15 **wheat** 84:2 vetted 125:1 vote 67:25 68:8 69:19 98:19,22 wheel 34:10 188:4 wave 144:1 viability 107:15 VPP 119:18 153:21 whoever's 111:4 ways 10:22 29:1 **viable** 44:17 80:21 140:18 176:13 181:22,25 54:18 60:19 71:10 81:18 115:10 179:5 **VPPP** 129:6 **Wicklow** 152:17 107:12 183:15 160:15,17 weak 84:7 viably 93:22 vu 93:13 wife 21:15 80:3 93:5 weather 65:9 Vibrant 105:15 121:14 142:23 W website 14:6 116:13 158:10 178:11,24 vice 108:9 184:5,9 Wednesday 63:19 video 11:11 52:1,20, **Wafa** 132:7 139:25 Wilburn 152:16,20 21.22 63:14 65:13 week 83:1 171:8 wages 108:17 116:24 willingly 182:3 view 88:25 137:22 131:14 146:19 weekend 121:14 win 110:20 viewpoint 176:20 wait 40:25 41:1 weeks 50:9 65:16 172:18 173:12 174:8

win-win 75:8 147:7 wind 133:21 wiped 65:24 **witching** 166:16 witnessed 113:5,17 witnesses 60:14 woman 71:7 92:14 wondered 151:4,15 wonderful 76:4 83:7 105:15 106:25 127:7 142:25 wondering 91:18 word 64:24 82:5 137:16 163:6 164:7 words 33:7 167:16 **work** 5:17,18 32:13 36:4,22 44:12 60:15, 18 61:1,25 64:12 92:8 93:10 101:6 103:1 110:2 118:17 119:23 135:25 143:3 146:12, 147:18 152:21 171:16 176:8, 18 177:14 181:10,17 182:4,18,21, 189:25 workable 151:18

worked 13:13,14 30:11 60:13 103:5 125:23 130:8 135:17, 23 137:2 143:3,7,15, 16 146:6 160:23 162:14 176:12,25 178:16 186:1 workers 45:3,5 52:10 63:5 94:6 106:16, 108:17 110:21 112:5 127:11 146:3 148:8 177:25 184:23 185:25 workforce 39:3 80:14

working 35:15 75:5, 11 117:1 161:24 177:10,13 179:11 180:21 181:13 185:3

workplace 188:23 189:1

works 8:22 60:15 68:8 133:23 137:1 141:8 146:10 182:14

world 35:14,15 66:2 133:12

worried 88:21 163:20 165:5

worry 85:25 86:10

worse 78:22

worst 110:16 164:22

worst-case 144:9

worth 42:11 134:14 142:13

worthy 43:8 44:7

wow 78:4 122:10

wreck 89:15

write-out 77:10

write-ups 118:20

writing 19:24 20:2 52:16 168:3

written 13:3 24:16 53:8 55:10 83:19

wrong 65:23 98:20 162:18

wrote 82:9 118:1

www. environmentalresearch.com. 14:7

Υ

Y-connector 9:4

Y-o-u-m-a-n-s 147:11

Y-u-h-a-s 37:2

Yacht 187:3

Yarbrough 140:17 142:22

yard 7:25 88:9 111:22

yards 185:3 187:11

year 7:11 11:12 21:14 31:21 32:8 48:2 50:13 56:20 69:1

86:6 89:22 90:5 100:20 106:14 112:18 129:8 130:12 131:20 159:6,15,23 160:2,5 161:22,24

years 11:3 13:11,13, 14,24 16:23,25 21:13 23:2,9 32:10 34:25 40:20 41:2,6,8 42:7,9 44:3 46:6 48:8 56:9, 21 60:8,11,24 61:3,6 63:11 64:15 66:3 73:19,20 86:3,7 89:1, 23 91:6,16 97:21 101:5 104:6,19 115:23 116:9 119:6, 15 121:10 125:15 126:12 127:20 128:3, 17,24 129:18 130:5,6 131:5.7 132:12.21 141:1,18 142:23 143:3 145:16 149:18, 24 155:3,6 158:11 159:6,9 160:18 161:10 162:15 177:1 178:11,17 184:11 186:22,23

years' 154:15

yellow 10:24 11:25

yield 20:25 100:12

yielding 136:10

Yolo 49:21 88:2 96:11 163:13,18,19

Youmans 147:11

YOUNG 157:13 167:4

youngest 111:17

youth 145:5

Yuhas 30:17 37:2 40:16 70:24

Ζ

Zimmerman 132:7 140:16 147:10

zone 150:9

zones 92:6

Zook 91:1 92:24 96:25